

EAU Guidelines on Urological Infections

G. Bonkat (Co-chair), R. Pickard (Co-chair), R. Bartoletti,
T. Cai, F. Bruyère, S.E. Geerlings, B. Köves, F. Wagenlehner
Guidelines Associates: A. Pilatz, B. Pradere,
R. Veeratterapillay

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

1.	INTRODUCTION	6
1.1	Aim and objectives	6
1.2	Panel composition	6
1.3	Available publications	6
1.4	Publication history	6
2.	METHODS	6
2.1	Introduction	6
2.2	Review	7
3.	THE GUIDELINE	7
3.1	Classification	7
3.2	Antimicrobial stewardship	8
3.3	Asymptomatic bacteriuria in adults	9
3.3.1	Evidence question	9
3.3.2	Background	9
3.3.3	Epidemiology, aetiology and pathophysiology	9
3.3.4	Diagnostic evaluation	9
3.3.5	Evidence summary	9
3.3.6	Disease management	9
3.3.6.1	Patients without identified risk factors	9
3.3.6.2	Patients with ABU and recurrent UTI, otherwise healthy	9
3.3.6.3	Pregnant women	10
3.3.6.3.1	Is treatment of ABU beneficial in pregnant women?	10
3.3.6.3.2	Which treatment duration should be applied to treat ABU in pregnancy?	10
3.3.6.3.2.1	Single dose vs. short course treatment	10
3.3.6.4	Patients with identified risk-factors	10
3.3.6.4.1	Diabetes mellitus	10
3.3.6.4.2	ABU in post-menopausal women	11
3.3.6.4.3	Elderly institutionalised patients	11
3.3.6.4.4	Patients with renal transplants	11
3.3.6.4.5	Patients with dysfunctional and/or reconstructed lower urinary tracts	11
3.3.6.4.6	Patients with catheters in the urinary tract	11
3.3.6.4.7	Patients with ABU subjected to catheter placements/exchanges	11
3.3.6.4.8	Immuno-compromised and severely diseased patients, patients with candiduria	12
3.3.6.5	Prior to urological surgery	12
3.3.6.6	Prior to orthopaedic surgery	12
3.3.6.7	Pharmacological management	12
3.3.7	Follow-up	12
3.3.8	Summary of evidence and recommendations for the management of ABU	12
3.4	Uncomplicated cystitis	13
3.4.1	Introduction	13
3.4.2	Epidemiology, aetiology and pathophysiology	13
3.4.3	Diagnostic evaluation	13
3.4.3.1	Clinical diagnosis	13
3.4.3.2	Differential diagnosis	13
3.4.3.3	Laboratory diagnosis	13
3.4.3.4	Summary of evidence and recommendations for the diagnostic evaluation of uncomplicated cystitis	14
3.4.4	Disease management	14
3.4.4.1	Cystitis in pregnancy	14
3.4.4.2	Cystitis in men	14
3.4.4.3	Renal insufficiency	15
3.4.4.4	Summary of evidence and recommendations for antimicrobial therapy for uncomplicated cystitis	15

3.4.5	Follow-up	15
3.5	Recurrent UTIs	16
3.5.1	Introduction	16
3.5.2	Diagnostic evaluation	16
3.5.3	Disease management and follow-up	16
3.5.3.1	Behavioural modifications	16
3.5.3.2	Non-antimicrobial prophylaxis	16
3.5.3.2.1	Hormonal replacement	16
3.5.3.2.2	Immunoactive prophylaxis	16
3.5.3.2.3	Prophylaxis with probiotics (<i>Lactobacillus</i> spp.)	16
3.5.3.2.4	Prophylaxis with cranberry	16
3.5.3.2.5	Prophylaxis with D-mannose	17
3.5.3.2.6	Endovesical instillation	17
3.5.3.3	Antimicrobials for preventing rUTI	17
3.5.3.3.1	Continuous low-dose antimicrobial prophylaxis and post-coital prophylaxis	17
3.5.3.3.2	Self-diagnosis and self-treatment	17
3.5.4	Summary of evidence and recommendations for the diagnostic evaluation and treatment of rUTIs	17
3.6	Uncomplicated pyelonephritis	18
3.6.1	Diagnostic evaluation	18
3.6.1.1	Clinical diagnosis	18
3.6.1.2	Differential diagnosis.	18
3.6.1.3	Laboratory diagnosis	18
3.6.1.4	Imaging diagnosis	18
3.6.2	Summary of evidence and recommendations for the diagnostic evaluation of uncomplicated pyelonephritis	18
3.6.3	Disease management	18
3.6.3.1	Outpatient treatment	18
3.6.3.2	Inpatient treatment	19
3.6.3.2.1	Summary of evidence and recommendations for the treatment of uncomplicated pyelonephritis.	19
3.6.4	Follow-up	20
3.7	Complicated UTIs	20
3.7.1	Introduction	20
3.7.2	Diagnostic evaluation	21
3.7.2.1	Clinical presentation	21
3.7.2.2	Urine culture	21
3.7.3	Microbiology (spectrum and antimicrobial resistance)	21
3.7.4	General principles of cUTI treatment	21
3.7.4.1	Choice of antimicrobials	21
3.7.4.2	Duration of antimicrobial therapy	21
3.7.5	Summary of evidence and recommendations for the treatment of complicated UTIs.	22
3.8	Catheter-associated UTIs	22
3.8.1	Introduction	22
3.8.2	Epidemiology, aetiology and pathophysiology	22
3.8.3	Diagnostic evaluation	22
3.8.3.1	Clinical diagnosis	22
3.8.3.2	Laboratory diagnosis	23
3.8.3.3	Summary of evidence table and recommendations for diagnostic evaluation of CA-UTI	23
3.8.4	Disease management	23
3.8.4.1	Recommendations for disease management and prevention of CA-UTI	24
3.8.5	Removal of indwelling bladder catheter	24
3.8.5.1	Evidence question	24
3.8.5.2	Review of evidence	24
3.8.5.3	Summary of evidence and recommendations for removal of indwelling bladder catheter	24

3.9	Urosepsis	24
3.9.1	Introduction	24
3.9.2	Epidemiology, aetiology and pathophysiology	25
3.9.3	Diagnostic evaluation	25
3.9.4	Physiology and biochemical markers	25
3.9.4.1	Cytokines as markers of the septic response	25
3.9.4.2	Biochemical markers	26
3.9.5	Disease management	26
3.9.5.1	Prevention	26
3.9.5.1.1	Preventive measures of proven or probable efficacy	26
3.9.5.1.2	Appropriate peri-operative antimicrobial prophylaxis	26
3.9.5.2	Treatment	26
3.9.5.2.1	Antimicrobial therapy	27
3.9.5.2.2	Source control	27
3.9.5.2.3	Adjunctive measures	27
3.9.5.3	Summary of evidence and recommendations for the treatment of urosepsis	27
3.10	Urethritis	28
3.10.1	Introduction	28
3.10.2	Epidemiology, aetiology and pathogenesis	28
3.10.3	Diagnostic evaluation	28
3.10.4	Disease management	28
3.10.4.1	Summary of evidence and recommendations for the treatment of urosepsis	29
3.10.5	Follow-up	29
3.11	Bacterial Prostatitis	29
3.11.1	Introduction	29
3.11.2	Evidence Question	30
3.11.3	Evidence Summary	30
3.11.4	Epidemiology, aetiology and pathogenesis	30
3.11.5	Diagnostic evaluation	30
3.11.5.1	History and symptoms	30
3.11.5.2	Symptom questionnaires	31
3.11.5.3	Clinical findings	31
3.11.5.4	Urine cultures and expressed prostatic secretion	31
3.11.5.5	Prostate biopsy	31
3.11.5.6	Other tests	31
3.11.5.7	Additional investigations	31
3.11.5.7.1	Ejaculate analysis	31
3.11.5.7.2	First-void urine sample	31
3.11.5.7.3	Prostate specific antigen (PSA)	31
3.11.5.8	Summary of evidence and recommendations for the diagnosis of bacterial prostatitis	32
3.11.6	Disease management	32
3.11.6.1	Antimicrobials	32
3.11.6.2	Intraprostatic injection of antimicrobials	32
3.11.6.3	Combined treatments	32
3.11.6.4	Drainage and surgery	33
3.11.6.5	Summary of evidence and recommendations for the disease management of bacterial prostatitis.	33
3.11.7	Follow-up	33
3.12	Acute Infective Epididymitis	34
3.12.1	Evidence question	34
3.12.2	Epidemiology, Aetiology and Pathophysiology	34
3.12.3	Diagnostic Evaluation	34
3.12.4	Disease Management	34
3.12.5	Evidence Summary	34
3.12.6	Screening	35
3.12.7	Summary of evidence and recommendations for the diagnosis and treatment of acute infective epididymitis	35

3.13	Fournier's Gangrene (Necrotizing fasciitis of the perineum and external genitalia)	36
3.13.1	Evidence questions	36
3.13.2	Epidemiology, Aetiology and Pathophysiology	36
3.13.3	Diagnostic Evaluation	36
3.13.4	Disease Management	36
3.13.5	Evidence Summary	37
3.13.6	Summary of evidence and recommendations for the disease management of Fournier's Gangrene	37
3.14	Detection of bacteriuria prior to urological procedures	38
3.14.1	Evidence question	38
3.14.2	Background	38
3.14.3	Evidence summary	38
3.14.3.1	Reagents strip (dipstick) urinalysis	38
3.14.3.2	Automated microscopy	38
3.14.3.3	Dipslide culture	38
3.14.3.4	Flow cytometry	38
3.15	Peri-Procedural Antibiotic Prophylaxis	39
3.15.1	General Principles	39
3.15.1.1	Definition of infectious complications	39
3.15.1.2	Non-antibiotic measures for asepsis	39
3.15.1.3	Choice of agent	39
3.15.2	Specific procedures and evidence question	39
3.15.2.1	Urodynamics	39
3.15.2.2	Cystoscopy	40
3.15.2.3	Interventions for urinary stone treatment	40
3.15.2.3.1	Extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy	40
3.15.2.3.2	Ureteroscopy	40
3.15.2.3.3	Per-cutaneous nephrolithotomy	40
3.15.2.4	Transurethral resection of prostate	40
3.15.2.5	Transurethral resection bladder tumour	41
3.15.3	Summary of evidence and recommendations for peri-procedural antibiotic prophylaxis	41
3.16	Prostate biopsy	41
3.16.1	Evidence question	41
3.16.2	Epidemiology, Aetiology and Pathophysiology	41
3.16.3	Diagnostic Evaluation	42
3.16.4	Disease Management	42
3.16.5	Evidence summary	42
3.16.6	Non-antimicrobial interventions	42
3.16.6.1	Number of biopsy cores	42
3.16.6.2	Peri-prostatic injection of local anaesthetic	42
3.16.6.3	Route of biopsy	42
3.16.6.4	Rectal preparation	42
3.16.6.5	Other interventions	42
3.16.7	Antimicrobial prophylaxis	43
4.	REFERENCES	43
5.	CONFLICT OF INTEREST	66
6.	CITATION INFORMATION	66

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Aim and objectives

The European Association of Urology (EAU) Urological Infections Guidelines Panel has compiled these clinical guidelines to provide medical professionals with evidence-based information and recommendations for the prevention and treatment of urinary tract infections (UTIs) and male accessory gland infections. These guidelines also aim to address the important public health aspects of infection control and antimicrobial stewardship. Separate EAU guidelines documents are available addressing paediatric urological infections [1] and infections in patients with neurological urinary tract dysfunction [2].

It must be emphasised that clinical guidelines present the best evidence available to the experts. However, following guideline recommendations will not necessarily result in the best outcome. Guidelines can never replace clinical expertise when making treatment decisions for individual patients, but rather help to focus decisions - also taking personal values and preferences/individual circumstances of patients into account. Guidelines are not mandates and do not purport to be a legal standard of care.

1.2 Panel composition

The EAU Urological Infections Guidelines Panel consists of a multi-disciplinary group of urologists, with particular expertise in this area, and an infectious disease specialist. All experts involved in the production of this document have submitted potential conflict of interest statements, which can be viewed on the EAU website Uroweb: <http://uroweb.org/guideline/urological-infections/>.

1.3 Available publications

A quick reference document, the Pocket Guidelines, is available in print and as an app for iOS and Android devices. These are abridged versions, which may require consultation together with the full text version. All documents are accessible through the EAU website Uroweb: <http://uroweb.org/guideline/urological-infections/>.

1.4 Publication history

The Urological Infections Guidelines were first published in 2001. This 2018 document presents a limited update of the 2017 publication.

2. METHODS

2.1 Introduction

For the 2018 Urological Infections Guidelines, new and relevant evidence has been identified, collated and appraised through a structured assessment of the literature for the following sections:

- 3.11 Bacterial Prostatitis
- 3.13 Fournier's Gangrene
- 3.15 Peri-Procedural Antibiotic Prophylaxis

Broad and comprehensive literature searches, covering sections 3.11, 3.13 and 3.15 were performed. Databases searched included Medline, EMBASE, and the Cochrane Libraries, covering a time frame between 1980 and February 1st 2017. A total of 1,661, 640 and 2,657 unique records were identified, retrieved and screened for relevance for sections 3.11, 3.13 and 3.15, respectively. Detailed search strategies are available online: <http://uroweb.org/guideline/urological-infections/?type=appendices-publications>.

Specific chapters were updated based on systematic reviews of topics or questions prioritised by the Guideline Panel. These reviews were performed using standard Cochrane systematic review methodology, <http://www.cochranelibrary.com/about/about-cochrane-systematicreviews.html>. The systematic review results for the following evidence question are included in the 2018 Urological Infections Guidelines:

1. What is the best antimicrobial prophylaxis strategy to reduce risk of infectious complication of prostate biopsy [3]?

For the 2018 edition of the EAU Guidelines the Guidelines Office have transitioned to a modified GRADE methodology across all 20 guidelines [4, 5]. For each recommendation within the guidelines there is an accompanying online strength rating form which addresses a number of key elements namely:

1. the overall quality of the evidence which exists for the recommendation, references used in this text are graded according to a classification system modified from the Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine Levels of Evidence [6];

2. the magnitude of the effect (individual or combined effects);
3. the certainty of the results (precision, consistency, heterogeneity and other statistical or study related factors);
4. the balance between desirable and undesirable outcomes;
5. the impact of patient values and preferences on the intervention;
6. the certainty of those patient values and preferences.

These key elements are the basis which panels use to define the strength rating of each recommendation. The strength of each recommendation is represented by the words ‘strong’ or ‘weak’ [7]. The strength of each recommendation is determined by the balance between desirable and undesirable consequences of alternative management strategies, the quality of the evidence (including certainty of estimates), and nature and variability of patient values and preferences. The strength rating forms will be available online.

Additional information can be found in the general Methodology section of this print, and online at the EAU website; <http://www.uroweb.org/guideline/>. A list of associations endorsing the EAU Guidelines can also be viewed online at the above address.

2.2 Review


This document was subject to independent peer review prior to publication in 2015.

3. THE GUIDELINE

3.1 Classification

Different classification systems of UTI exist. Most widely used are those developed by the Centres for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) [8], Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) [9], European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID) [10] as well as the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) [11, 12]. Current UTI guidelines frequently use the concept of uncomplicated and complicated UTI with a number of modifications (Figure 1). In 2011 the EAU Section of Infections in Urology proposed the ORENUC classification system based on the clinical presentation of the UTI, the anatomical level of the UTI, the grade of severity of the infection, the categorisation of risk factors and availability of appropriate antimicrobial therapy [13].

Figure 1: Concept of uncomplicated and complicated UTI



The following classification of UTIs is adopted in the EAU Urological Infections Guidelines:

Classification of UTI	
Uncomplicated UTIs	Acute, sporadic or recurrent lower (uncomplicated cystitis) and/or upper (uncomplicated pyelonephritis) UTI, limited to non-pregnant, pre-menopausal women with no known relevant anatomical and functional abnormalities within the urinary tract or comorbidities.
Complicated UTIs	All UTIs which are not defined as uncomplicated. Meaning in a narrower sense UTIs in a patient with an increased chance of a complicated course: i.e. all men, pregnant women, patients with relevant anatomical or functional abnormalities of the urinary tract, indwelling urinary catheters, renal diseases, and/or with other concomitant immunocompromising diseases for example, diabetes.
Recurrent UTIs	Recurrences of uncomplicated and/or complicated UTIs, with a frequency of at least three UTIs/year or two UTIs in the last six months.
Catheter-associated UTIs	Catheter-associated urinary tract infection (CA-UTI) refers to UTIs occurring in a person whose urinary tract is currently catheterised or has had a catheter in place within the past 48 hours.
Urosepsis	Urosepsis is defined as life threatening organ dysfunction caused by a dysregulated host response to infection originating from the urinary tract and/or male genital organs [14].

3.2 Antimicrobial stewardship

Although the benefits to patients of antibiotic use are clear, overuse and misuse have contributed to the growing problem of resistance amongst uropathogenic bacteria, which is a serious threat to public health [15, 16]. In acute care hospitals, 20-50% of prescribed antibiotics are either unnecessary or inappropriate [17]. In response, a worldwide initiative seeks to incorporate Antimicrobial Stewardship programs in healthcare [18]. Antimicrobial Stewardship aims to optimise clinical outcomes and ensure cost-effective therapy whilst minimising unintended consequences of antimicrobial use such as healthcare associated infections including *Clostridium difficile*, toxicity, selection of virulent organisms and emergence of resistant bacterial strains [19].

Stewardship programs have two main sets of actions. The first set mandates use of recommended care at the patient level conforming to guidelines. The second set describes strategies to achieve adherence to the mandated guidance. These include persuasive actions such as education and feedback together with restricting availability linked to local formularies. A Cochrane review of effectiveness of interventions to improve antibiotic prescribing practices for hospital inpatients, updated in 2017, found high-certainty evidence that such interventions are effective in increasing adherence with antibiotic policy leading to reduced antibiotic treatment duration and may also reduce hospital stay. The review found no evidence that reduced antibiotic usage increased mortality [20].

The important components of antimicrobial stewardship programs are [21]:

- regular training of staff in best use of antimicrobial agents;
- adherence to local, national or international guidelines;
- regular ward visits and consultation with infectious diseases physicians and clinical microbiologists;
- audit of adherence and treatment outcomes;
- regular monitoring and feedback to prescribers of their performance and local pathogen resistance profiles.

A 2016 systematic review of evidence for effectiveness of various Antimicrobial Stewardship interventions in healthcare institutions identified 145 studies of nine Stewardship objectives. Guideline-driven empirical therapy using a restricted choice of antibiotics and including de-escalation, intravenous to oral switch, Therapeutic Drug Monitoring, and bedside consultation resulted in a 35% (95% CI 20-46%) relative risk reduction (RRR) in mortality. Use of de-escalation (tailoring to a more narrow spectrum agent), showed a RRR of 56% (95% CI 34 – 70%) for mortality [22].

To facilitate local initiatives and audit, a set of valid, reliable, and applicable indicators of the quality of antibiotic use in the treatment of hospitalised patients with complicated UTI was developed [23]. Its use in the Netherlands appeared to result in shortened hospital stay [24]. A literature search of Pubmed from April 2014 [22], to February 2017 identified no further randomised controlled trials (RCTs) relating to stewardship

programmes for UTIs. Studies to provide high quality evidence of effectiveness of stewardship programmes in urology patients are urgently needed.

3.3 Asymptomatic bacteriuria in adults

3.3.1 Evidence question

What is the most effective management for people with asymptomatic bacteriuria?

3.3.2 Background

Urinary growth of bacteria in an asymptomatic individual (asymptomatic bacteriuria - ABU) is common, and corresponds to a commensal colonisation [25]. Clinical studies have shown that ABU may protect against superinfecting symptomatic UTI, thus treatment of ABU should be performed only in cases of proven benefit for the patient to avoid the risk of selecting antimicrobial resistance and eradicating a potentially protective ABU strain [26, 27]. The aim of this section is to support the clinician in deciding when ABU should or should not be treated.

3.3.3 Epidemiology, aetiology and pathophysiology

Asymptomatic bacteriuria occurs in an estimated 1-5% of healthy pre-menopausal females. Increasing to 4-19% in otherwise healthy elderly females and men, 0.7-27% in patients with diabetes, 2-10% in pregnant women, 15-50% in institutionalised elderly populations, and in 23-89% in patients with spinal cord injuries [28]. Asymptomatic bacteriuria in younger men is uncommon but, when detected, chronic bacterial prostatitis must be considered. The spectrum of bacteria in ABU is similar to species found in uncomplicated or complicated UTIs, depending on the presence of risk factors (see sections 3.4 and 3.7).

3.3.4 Diagnostic evaluation

Asymptomatic bacteriuria in an individual without urinary tract symptoms is defined by a mid-stream sample of urine showing bacterial growth $\geq 10^5$ cfu/mL in two consecutive samples in women [29] and in one single sample in men [30]. In a single catheterised sample bacterial growth may be as low as 10^2 cfu/mL to be considered representing true bacteriuria in both men and women [28, 31]. Diagnostic work-up should include measurement of residual urine while cystoscopy and/or imaging of the upper urinary tract is not mandatory if the medical history is otherwise without remark. If persistent growth of urease producing bacteria, i.e. *Proteus mirabilis* is detected, stone formation in the urinary tract must be excluded [32]. In men, a digital rectal examination (DRE) has to be performed to investigate the possibility of prostate diseases (see section 3.11).

3.3.5 Evidence summary

A systematic search of the literature from January 2000 to November 2016 identified 3,582 titles of which 224 titles were selected for full text review and 50 were included [33]. For the subgroups of pregnancy, prior to urologic surgeries, postmenopausal women and institutionalised elderly patients only data from randomised-controlled trials (RCT) were included, on which a meta-analysis was performed [33]. For the other subgroups non-RCTs were also included in the narrative analysis [33]. The following patient populations were not covered by the systematic review: immuno-compromised patients; patients with candiduria; patients with dysfunctional and/or reconstructed lower urinary tracts; patients with indwelling catheters. For these groups the guideline was updated using a structured PubMed search.

3.3.6 Disease management

3.3.6.1 Patients without identified risk factors

Asymptomatic bacteriuria does not cause renal disease or damage [34]. Only one prospective, non-randomised study investigated the effect of treatment of ABU in adult, non-diabetic, non-pregnant women [35], and found no difference in the rate of symptomatic UTIs. Furthermore, as the treatment of ABU has been proven to be unnecessary in most high-risk patient subgroups, there is panel consensus that the results of these subgroups can also be applied to patients without identified risk factors. Therefore, screening and treatment of ABU is not recommended in patients without risk factors.

3.3.6.2 Patients with ABU and recurrent UTI, otherwise healthy

One RCT investigated the effect of ABU treatment in female patients with recurrent symptomatic UTI and without identified risk factors [27] and demonstrated that treatment of ABU increases the risk for a subsequent symptomatic UTI episode, as compared to non-treated patients (RR 0.28, 95% CI 0.21 to 0.38; 673 patients). This protective effect of spontaneously developed ABU can be used as part of prevention in female patients with recurrent symptomatic UTI. Therefore, treatment of ABU is not recommended. However, occasionally the eradication of a strain considered the causative agent of recurrent episodes of UTI, may be justified.

3.3.6.3 Pregnant women

3.3.6.3.1 Is treatment of ABU beneficial in pregnant women?

Twelve RCTs comparing antibiotic treatments of ABU with placebo controls or no treatment [36-47], with different antibiotic doses and regimens were identified, ten published before 1988 and one in 2015. Eleven RCTs (n=2,002) reported on the rate of symptomatic UTIs [36, 38-46, 48]. Antibiotic treatment significantly reduced the number of symptomatic UTIs compared to placebo or no treatment (average RR 0.22, 95% CI 0.12 to 0.40).

Six RCTs reported on the resolution of bacteriuria [36-38, 40, 43, 45]. Antibiotic treatment was effective in the resolution of bacteriuria compared to placebo (average RR 2.99, 95% CI 1.65 to 5.39; n=716). Eight RCTs reported on the rate of low birthweights [36, 38-41, 44, 47, 48]. Antibiotic treatment was associated with lower rates of low birthweight compared to placebo or no treatment (average RR 0.58, 95% CI 0.36 to 0.94; n=1689). Four RCTs reported on the rate of preterm deliveries [44, 45, 47, 48]. Antibiotic treatment was associated with lower rates of preterm delivery compared to placebo or no treatment (average RR 0.34, 95% CI 0.18 to 0.66; n=854).

Based on the beneficial maternal and foetal effects of antibiotic treatment pregnant women should be screened and treated for ABU. However, the panel would like to emphasise that most available studies have low methodological quality and are from the 60s to 80s. Diagnostic and treatment protocols and accessibility to medical services has dramatically changed since then; therefore, the quality of evidence for this recommendation is low. In a newer study of higher methodological quality the beneficial effects of antibiotic treatment are not as evident [48]. Therefore, it is advisable to consult national recommendations for pregnant women.

3.3.6.3.2 Which treatment duration should be applied to treat ABU in pregnancy?

Sixteen RCTs comparing the efficacy of different antibiotic treatments in pregnant women with ABU were identified [49-64]. There was significant heterogeneity amongst the studies. Studies compared different antibiotic regimens or the same antibiotic regimens with different durations. The duration of treatment ranged from single dose to continuous treatment (until delivery). For practical purposes the grouping strategy used by the previously published Cochrane Review by Widmer *et al.* was adopted with some modifications [65]. The following treatment groups were used for comparison:

1. single dose (single day);
2. short course (2-7 days);
3. long course (8-14 days);
4. continuous (until delivery).

Nine studies compared single dose to short course treatment [50, 54, 55, 59-64], one study compared single dose to long course treatment [58] and one study compared long course to continuous treatment [51]. As long term and continuous antibiotic treatment is not used in current practice, only studies comparing single dose to standard short course treatment are presented.

3.3.6.3.2.1 Single dose vs. short course treatment

Three RCTs reported on the rate of symptomatic UTIs [54, 63, 64], with no significant difference between the two durations (average RR 1.07, 95% CI 0.47 to 2.47; n=891). Nine RCTs reported on the rate of ABU resolution [50, 54, 55, 59-64], with no significant difference between the two durations (average RR 0.97, 95% CI 0.89 to 1.07; n=1,268). Six RCTs reported on the rate of side effects [50, 54, 59, 60, 62, 63]. Single dose treatment was associated with significantly less side effects compared to short course treatment (average RR 0.40, 95% CI 0.22 to 0.72; n=458). Three RCTs reported on the rate of preterm deliveries [54, 56, 64], with no significant difference between the two durations (average RR 1.16, 95% CI 0.75 to 1.78; n=814). One RCT reported on the rate of low birthweights [64]. There were significantly more babies with low birthweight in the single dose duration compared to short course treatment (average RR 1.65, 95% CI 1.06 to 2.57; n=714).

According to the data analysis, single dose treatment was associated with a significantly lower rate of side effects but a significantly higher rate of low birthweight. Therefore, standard short course treatment should be applied to treat ABU in pregnancy, however it should be emphasised that the overall quality of the scientific evidence backing this recommendation is low.

3.3.6.4 Patients with identified risk-factors

3.3.6.4.1 Diabetes mellitus

Diabetes mellitus, even when well regulated, is reported to correlate to a higher frequency of ABU [66]. One RCT demonstrated that eradicating ABU did not reduce the risk of symptomatic UTI and infectious complications in patients with diabetes mellitus. The time to first symptomatic episode was also similar in both

groups. Furthermore, untreated ABU did not correlate to diabetic nephropathy [67]. Screening and treatment of ABU in well-controlled diabetes mellitus is therefore not recommended. However, poorly regulated diabetes is a risk factor for symptomatic UTI and infectious complications.

3.3.6.4.2 ABU in post-menopausal women

Elderly women have an increased incidence of ABU [68]. Four RCTs compared antibiotic treatment of ABU with placebo controls or no treatment, in a post-menopausal female population, with different antibiotic doses and regimens [69-72]. Women in these studies were mostly nursing home residents, which may bias the results of this analysis. Three RCTs reported on the rate of symptomatic UTIs (average RR 0.71, 95% CI 0.49 to 1.05; 208 women) and the resolution of bacteriuria (average RR 1.28, 95% CI 0.50 to 3.24; 203 women) [54, 63, 64], with no significant benefit of antibiotic treatment. Therefore, ABU in post-menopausal women does not require treatment, and should be managed as for pre-menopausal women.

3.3.6.4.3 Elderly institutionalised patients

The rate of ABU is 15-50% in elderly institutionalised patients [73]. Differential diagnosis of ABU from symptomatic UTI is difficult in the multi-diseased and mentally deteriorated patient, and is probably a cause of unnecessary antibiotic treatment [74, 75]. Seven RCTs compared antibiotic treatment of ABU with placebo controls or no treatment in elderly patients, with different antibiotic doses and regimens [69-72, 76-78].

Three RCTs reported on the rate of symptomatic UTIs [69, 71, 76]. Antibiotic treatment was not significantly beneficial in reducing the rate of symptomatic UTIs compared to placebo or no treatment (average RR 0.68, 95% CI 0.46 to 1.00; n=210). Six RCTs reported on the resolution of bacteriuria [69, 71, 72, 76-78]. There was no benefit of antibiotic treatment compared to placebo in the resolution of ABU (average RR 1.33, 95% CI 0.63 to 2.79; n=328). One RCT compared the rates of incontinence in this patient group before and after the eradication of ABU, and found no effect of antibiotic treatment [79]. Therefore, screening and treatment of ABU is not recommended in this patient group.

3.3.6.4.4 Patients with renal transplants

Two RCTs and two retrospective studies compared the effect of antibiotic treatment to no treatment in renal transplant patients [80-83]. Meta-analysis of the two RCTs did not find antibiotic treatment beneficial in terms of reducing symptomatic UTIs (RR=0.86, 95% CI 0.51 to 1.45; n=200). The two retrospective studies reached the same conclusion. Furthermore, there were no significant differences in the rate of ABU clearance, graft loss or change in renal function during long-term follow-up up to 24 months [80-83]. Therefore, treatment of ABU is not recommended in renal transplant recipients.

3.3.6.4.5 Patients with dysfunctional and/or reconstructed lower urinary tracts

Patients with lower urinary tract dysfunction (LUTD) (e.g. neurogenic bladder patients secondary to multiple sclerosis, spinal cord injury patients, patients with incomplete bladder emptying, patients with neo-bladder and ileo-cystoplasty, patients using clean intermittent catheterisation (CIC), and patients with ileal conduits, orthotopic bladder replacement and continent reservoirs) frequently become colonised [84, 85]. Studies have shown no benefit in ABU treatment in these patient groups [84, 85]. Furthermore, in LUTD patients who do not spontaneously develop ABU, deliberate colonisation with an ABU strain (*Escherichia coli* 83972) has shown a protective effect against symptomatic recurrences [86, 87]. Screening and treatment of ABU in these patient groups is therefore, not recommended. If these patient groups develop recurrent symptomatic UTI (see section 3.5) the potential protective effect of a spontaneously developed ABU against lower UTI must be considered before any treatment.

3.3.6.4.6 Patients with catheters in the urinary tract

Patients with indwelling or suprapubic catheters, and nephrostomy tubes, invariably become carriers of ABU, with antibiotic treatment showing no benefit. This is also applicable for patients with ABU and indwelling ureteral stents [88]. Routine treatment of catheter associated bacteriuria is not recommended. For detailed recommendations see section 3.8.

3.3.6.4.7 Patients with ABU subjected to catheter placements/exchanges

In patients subjected to uncomplicated placement/exchanges of indwelling catheters ABU is not considered a risk factor and should not be screened or treated [89]. In patients subjected to placement/exchanges of nephrostomy tubes and indwelling ureteral stents, ABU is considered a risk factor for infectious complications [90]. Therefore, screening and treatment prior to the procedure is recommended.

3.3.6.4.8 Immuno-compromised and severely diseased patients, patients with candiduria

These patient groups have to be considered individually and the benefit of screening and treatment of ABU should be reviewed in each case. Patients with asymptomatic candiduria may, although not necessarily, have an underlying disorder or defect. Treatment of asymptomatic candiduria is not recommended in patients with an otherwise uncomplicated medical history [91].

3.3.6.5 Prior to urological surgery

In diagnostic and therapeutic procedures not entering the urinary tract, ABU is generally not considered as a risk factor, and screening and treatment are not considered necessary. On the other hand, in procedures entering the urinary tract and breaching the mucosa, particularly in endoscopic urological surgery, bacteriuria is a definite risk factor.

Two RCTs [92, 93] and two prospective non-randomised studies [94, 95] compared the effect of antibiotic treatment to no treatment before transurethral prostate or bladder tumour resections. Antibiotic treatment significantly reduced the number of post-operative symptomatic UTIs compared to no treatment in the meta-analysis of the two RCTs (average RR 0.20, 95% CI 0.05 to 0.86; n=167). The rates of post-operative fever and septicaemia were also significantly lower in case of antibiotic treatment compared to no treatment in the two RCTs. One RCT including patients with spinal cord injury undergoing elective endoscopic urological surgeries found no significant difference in the rate of post-operative UTIs between single-dose or 3-5 days short term pre-operative antibiotic treatment of ABU [96].

A urine culture must therefore be taken prior to such interventions and in case of ABU, pre-operative treatment is recommended. The recommendations for antibiotic prophylaxis in different urological procedures are given in section 3.15.

3.3.6.6 Prior to orthopaedic surgery

One RCT (471 patients) and one multicentre cohort study (303 patients) comparing the treatment of ABU with no treatment prior to orthopaedic surgery (hip arthroplasty/hemiarthroplasty or total knee arthroplasty) were identified [97, 98]. Neither of the studies showed a beneficial effect of antibiotic treatment in terms of prosthetic joint infection (3.8% vs. 0% and 3.9% vs. 4.7%, respectively) The cohort study reported no significant difference in the rate of postoperative symptomatic UTI (0.65% vs. 2.7%) [98]. Therefore, treatment of bacteriuria is not recommended prior to arthroplasty surgery.

3.3.6.7 Pharmacological management

If the decision is taken to eradicate ABU, the same choice of antibiotics and treatment duration as in symptomatic uncomplicated (section 3.4.4.4) or complicated (section 3.7.5) UTI could be given, depending on gender, medical background and presence of complicating factors. Treatment should be tailored and not empirical. Based on clinical experience, if ABU patients complain of odour and mild dysuria, methenamine hippurate 1 g two to three times daily, and/or increased water intake, may be considered.

3.3.7 Follow-up

There are no studies focusing on follow-up after treatment of ABU. However, if the resolution of ABU has a clinical significance (e.g. in pregnancy), follow-up with subsequent urine culture is needed to secure the treatment effect.

3.3.8 Summary of evidence and recommendations for the management of ABU

Summary of Evidence	LE
Treatment of asymptomatic bacteriuria is not beneficial in the following conditions: <ul style="list-style-type: none">women without risk factors;patients with well-regulated diabetes mellitus;post-menopausal women;elderly institutionalised patients;patients with dysfunctional and/or reconstructed lower urinary tracts;patients with renal transplants;patients prior to arthroplasty surgeries.	2a 1b 1a 1a 2b 1a 1b
Treatment of asymptomatic bacteriuria is harmful in patients with recurrent urinary tract infections.	1b
Treatment of asymptomatic bacteriuria is beneficial prior to urological procedures breaching the mucosa.	1a
Treatment of asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnant women was found to be beneficial by meta-analysis of the available evidence. However, evidence for an improved outcome is low and not supported by a single recent study.	1a

Recommendations	Strength rating
Do not screen or treat asymptomatic bacteriuria in the following conditions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> women without risk factors; patients with well-regulated diabetes mellitus; post-menopausal women; elderly institutionalised patients; patients with dysfunctional and/or reconstructed lower urinary tracts; patients with renal transplants; patients prior to arthroplasty surgeries; patients with recurrent urinary tract infections. 	Strong Strong Strong Strong Strong Strong Strong Strong
Screen for and treat asymptomatic bacteriuria prior to urological procedures breaching the mucosa.	Strong
Screen for and treat asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnant women with standard short course treatment.	Weak

3.4 Uncomplicated cystitis

3.4.1 Introduction

Uncomplicated cystitis is defined as acute, sporadic or recurrent cystitis limited to non-pregnant, pre-menopausal women with no known relevant anatomical and functional abnormalities within the urinary tract or comorbidities.

3.4.2 Epidemiology, aetiology and pathophysiology

Almost half of all women will experience at least one episode of cystitis during their lifetime. Nearly one in three women will have had at least one episode of cystitis by the age of 24 years [99]. Risk factors include sexual intercourse, use of spermicide, a new sexual partner, a mother with a history of UTI and a history of UTI during childhood. The most common causative agent of uncomplicated UTIs is *E. coli*, followed by *Staphylococcus saprophyticus*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *P. mirabilis* [100].

3.4.3 Diagnostic evaluation

3.4.3.1 Clinical diagnosis

The diagnosis of uncomplicated cystitis can be made with a high probability based on a focused history of lower urinary tract symptoms (dysuria, frequency and urgency) and the absence of vaginal discharge or irritation [101, 102]. In elderly women genitourinary symptoms are not necessarily related to cystitis [103].

3.4.3.2 Differential diagnosis

Uncomplicated cystitis should be differentiated from ABU, which is considered not to be infection but rather a commensal colonisation, which should not be treated and therefore not screened for, except if it is considered a risk factor in clearly defined situations (see section 3.3).

3.4.3.3 Laboratory diagnosis

In patients presenting with typical symptoms of an uncomplicated cystitis urine analysis (i.e. urine culture, dip stick testing, etc.) leads only to a minimal increase in diagnostic accuracy [104]. However, if the diagnosis is unclear dipstick analysis can increase the likelihood of a uncomplicated cystitis diagnosis if leukocytes and nitrite are positive, only nitrite or nitrite and blood are positive or leukocytes and blood are positive [105, 106]. Taking a urine culture is recommended in patients with atypical symptoms, as well as those who fail to respond to appropriate antimicrobial therapy [107, 108]. In patients presenting with symptoms of uncomplicated cystitis a colony count of 10^3 cfu/mL of uropathogens confirms microbiologically the diagnosis [109].

3.4.3.4 Summary of evidence and recommendations for the diagnostic evaluation of uncomplicated cystitis

Summary of Evidence	LE
An accurate diagnosis of uncomplicated cystitis can be based on a focused history of lower urinary tract symptoms and the absence of vaginal discharge or irritation.	2b
A colony count of $\geq 10^3$ cfu/mL of uropathogens is microbiologically diagnostic in women who present with symptoms of uncomplicated cystitis.	3

Recommendations	Strength rating
Diagnose uncomplicated cystitis in women who have no other risk factors for complicated urinary tract infections based on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a focused history of lower urinary tract symptoms (dysuria, frequency and urgency); the absence of vaginal discharge or irritation. 	Strong
Use urine dipstick testing for diagnosis of acute uncomplicated cystitis.	Weak
Urine cultures should be done in the following situations: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> suspected acute pyelonephritis; symptoms that do not resolve or recur within four weeks after the completion of treatment; women who present with atypical symptoms; pregnant women. 	Strong

3.4.4 Disease management

Antimicrobial therapy is recommended because clinical success is significantly more likely in women treated with antimicrobials compared with placebo [110]. The choice of antimicrobial therapy should be guided by [101]:

- spectrum and susceptibility patterns of the aetiological pathogens;
- efficacy for the particular indication in clinical studies;
- tolerability and adverse reactions;
- adverse ecological effects;
- costs;
- availability.

According to these principles and the available susceptibility patterns in Europe, fosfomycin trometamol 3 g single dose, pivmecillinam 200 mg three times a day for three to five days, and nitrofurantoin (e.g. nitrofurantoin monohydrate/macrocrystals 100 mg twice daily for 5 days), are considered as drugs of first choice, when available [111-114].

Alternative antimicrobials include trimethoprim alone or combined with a sulphonamide. Co-trimoxazole (160/800 mg twice daily for three days) or trimethoprim (200 mg twice daily for five days) should only be considered as drugs of first choice in areas with known resistance rates for *E. coli* of < 20% [115, 116]. Despite lower resistance rates in certain countries, fluoroquinolones are not considered first choice because of adverse effects including negative ecological effects and selection for resistance.

Aminopenicillins are no longer suitable for empirical therapy because of worldwide high *E. coli* resistance. Aminopenicillins in combination with a beta-lactamase inhibitor such as ampicillin/sulbactam or amoxicillin/clavulanic acid and oral cephalosporins are, in general, not effective as short-term therapy and are not recommended for empirical therapy due to ecological collateral damage, but may be used in selected cases [117, 118].

3.4.4.1 Cystitis in pregnancy

Short courses of antimicrobial therapy can also be considered for treatment of cystitis in pregnancy [119], but not all antimicrobials are suitable during pregnancy. In general, penicillins, cephalosporins, fosfomycin, nitrofurantoin (not in case of glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency and during the end of pregnancy), trimethoprim (not in the first trimester) and sulphonamides (not in the last trimester), can be considered.

3.4.4.2 Cystitis in men

Uncomplicated cystitis without involvement of the prostate is uncommon, and therefore treatment with antimicrobials penetrating into the prostate tissue is needed in males with symptoms of UTI. A treatment duration of at least seven days is recommended, preferably with trimethoprim sulphamethoxazole or a fluoroquinolone if in accordance with susceptibility testing (see section 3.4.4.4) [120].

3.4.4.3 Renal insufficiency

In patients with renal insufficiency the choice of antimicrobials may be influenced by decreased renal excretion. However, most antimicrobials, have a wide therapeutic index. No adjustment of dose is necessary until glomerular filtration rate (GFR) is < 20 mL/min, except for antimicrobials with nephrotoxic potential, e.g. aminoglycosides. Combination of loop diuretics (e.g. furosemide) and a cephalosporin is nephrotoxic. Nitrofurantoin and tetracyclines are contraindicated, with the exception of doxycycline [120].

3.4.4.4 Summary of evidence and recommendations for antimicrobial therapy for uncomplicated cystitis

Summary of Evidence	LE
Clinical success for the treatment of uncomplicated cystitis is significantly more likely in women treated with antimicrobials than placebo.	1b
Aminopenicillins and fluoroquinolones are no longer suitable for antimicrobial therapy in uncomplicated cystitis because of negative ecological effects and worldwide high resistance rates.	3

Recommendations	Strength rating
Prescribe fosfomycin trometamol, pivmecillinam or nitrofurantoin as first-line treatment for uncomplicated cystitis in women.	Strong
Do not use aminopenicillins or fluoroquinolones to treat uncomplicated cystitis.	Strong

Table 1: Suggested regimens for antimicrobial therapy in uncomplicated cystitis

Antimicrobial	Daily dose	Duration of therapy	Comments
First-line women			
Fosfomycin trometamol	3 g SD	1 day	Recommended only in women with uncomplicated cystitis.
Nitrofurantoin macrocrystal	50-100 mg four times a day	5 days	
Nitrofurantoin monohydrate/macrocrystals	100 mg b.i.d	5 days	
Nitrofurantoin macrocrystal prolonged release	100 mg b.i.d	5 days	
Pivmecillinam	200 mg t.i.d	3-5 days	
Alternatives			
Cephalosporins (e.g. cefadroxil)	500 mg b.i.d	3 days	Or comparable
If the local resistance pattern for E. coli is < 20%			
Trimethoprim	200 mg b.i.d	5 days	Not in the first trimester of pregnancy
Trimethoprim-sulphamethoxazole	160/800 mg b.i.d	3 days	Not in the last trimester of pregnancy
Treatment in men			
Trimethoprim-sulphamethoxazole	160/800 mg b.i.d	7 days	Restricted to men, fluoroquinolones can also be prescribed in accordance with local susceptibility testing.

SD = single dose; b.i.d = twice daily; t.i.d = three times daily.

3.4.5 Follow-up

Routine post-treatment urinalysis or urine cultures in asymptomatic patients are not indicated [28]. In women whose symptoms do not resolve by end of treatment, and in those whose symptoms resolve but recur within two weeks, urine culture and antimicrobial susceptibility testing should be performed [121]. For therapy in this situation, one should assume that the infecting organism is not susceptible to the agent originally used. Retreatment with a seven day regimen using another agent should be considered [121].

3.5 Recurrent UTIs

3.5.1 Introduction

Recurrent UTIs (rUTIs) are recurrences of uncomplicated and/or complicated UTIs, with a frequency of at least three UTIs/year or two UTIs in the last six months. Although rUTIs include both lower tract infection (cystitis) and upper tract infection (pyelonephritis), repeated pyelonephritis should prompt consideration of a complicated aetiology.

3.5.2 Diagnostic evaluation

Recurrent UTIs are common. Risk factors are outlined in Table 2. Diagnosis of rUTI should be confirmed by urine culture. An extensive routine workup including cystoscopy, imaging, etc. is not routinely recommended as the diagnostic yield is low [122]. However, it should be performed without delay in atypical cases, for example, if renal calculi, outflow obstruction, interstitial cystitis or urothelial cancer is suspected.

Table 2: Age-related risk factors for rUTI in women [73, 103, 123]

Young and pre-menopausal women	Post-menopausal and elderly women
Sexual intercourse	History of UTI before menopause
Use of spermicide	Urinary incontinence
A new sexual partner	Atrophic vaginitis due to oestrogen deficiency
A mother with a history of UTI	Cystocele
History of UTI during childhood	Increased post-void urine volume
Blood group antigen secretory status	Blood group antigen secretory status
	Urine catheterisation and functional status
	deterioration in elderly institutionalised women

3.5.3 Disease management and follow-up

Prevention of rUTIs includes counselling regarding avoidance of risk factors, non-antimicrobial measures and antimicrobial prophylaxis [121]. These interventions should be attempted in this order. Any urological risk factors must be identified and treated. Significant residual urine should be treated optimally, including by CIC when judged to be appropriate.

3.5.3.1 Behavioural modifications

A number of behavioural and personal hygiene measures (e.g. reduced fluid intake, habitual and post-coital delayed urination, wiping from front to back after defecation, douching and wearing occlusive underwear) have been suggested to increase the risk of rUTI. However, studies that have explored these risk factors have consistently documented the lack of association with rUTI [121].

3.5.3.2 Non-antimicrobial prophylaxis

There are many non-antimicrobial measures recommended for rUTIs but only a few are supported by well-designed studies [124, 125].

3.5.3.2.1 Hormonal replacement

In post-menopausal women vaginal oestrogen replacement, but not oral oestrogen, showed a trend towards preventing rUTI [124, 126].

3.5.3.2.2 Immunoactive prophylaxis

OM-89 is sufficiently well documented and has been shown to be more effective than placebo in several randomised trials with a good safety profile. Therefore, it can be recommended for immunoprophylaxis in female patients with rUTIs [124, 127-129]. Efficacy in other groups of patients relative to antimicrobial prophylaxis remains to be established.

3.5.3.2.3 Prophylaxis with probiotics (*Lactobacillus* spp.)

Pooled data from a recent meta-analysis shows no convincing benefit of lactobacillus products as prophylaxis for rUTI [130]. However, differences in effectiveness between available preparations suggest further trials are needed before any definitive recommendation for or against their use can be made.

3.5.3.2.4 Prophylaxis with cranberry

Limited studies have suggested that cranberry is useful in reducing the rate of lower UTIs in women [131, 132]. However, a meta-analysis including 24 studies and comprising 4,473 participants showed that cranberry

products did not significantly reduce the occurrence of symptomatic UTI for women with rUTI [133]. Due to these contradictory results, no recommendation on the daily consumption of cranberry products can be made.

3.5.3.2.5 Prophylaxis with D-mannose

In a randomised placebo-controlled non-blinded clinical trial, it was shown that a daily dose of 2 g D-mannose was significantly superior to placebo and as effective as 50 mg nitrofurantoin in preventing rUTI [134]. This is indicative but not sufficient for a recommendation; therefore, D-mannose should at present only be used within the context of clinical investigations.

3.5.3.2.6 Endovesical instillation

Endovesical instillation of hyaluronic acid and chondroitin sulphate have been used for glycosaminoglycan (GAG) layer replenishment in the therapy of interstitial cystitis, overactive bladder, radiation cystitis, and for prevention of rUTI [135]. A recent review of 27 clinical studies concluded that large-scale trials are urgently needed to assess the benefit of this type of therapy [136]. Therefore, no general recommendation is possible at this stage.

3.5.3.3 Antimicrobials for preventing rUTI

3.5.3.3.1 Continuous low-dose antimicrobial prophylaxis and post-coital prophylaxis

Antimicrobials may be given as continuous low-dose prophylaxis for longer periods (three to six months), or as post-coital prophylaxis, as both regimens reduce the rate of rUTI [137]. It is mandatory to offer both options after counselling, and when behavioural modifications and non-antimicrobial measures have been unsuccessful. Regimens include nitrofurantoin 50 mg or 100 mg once daily, fosfomycin trometamol 3 g every ten days, and during pregnancy cephalexin 125 mg or 250 mg or cefaclor 250 mg once daily [121]. Post-coital prophylaxis should be considered in pregnant women with a history of frequent UTIs before onset of pregnancy, to reduce their risk of UTI [138].

3.5.3.3.2 Self-diagnosis and self-treatment

In patients with good compliance, self-diagnosis and self-treatment with a short course regimen of an antimicrobial agent should be considered [139]. The choice of antimicrobials is the same as for sporadic acute uncomplicated UTI (section 3.4.4.4).

3.5.4 Summary of evidence and recommendations for the diagnostic evaluation and treatment of rUTIs

Summary of Evidence	LE
Extensive routine workup including cystoscopy, imaging, etc. has a low diagnostic yield for the diagnosis of rUTI.	3
Studies that have investigated behavioural risk factors in the development of rUTIs have consistently documented the lack of association with rUTI.	3
Vaginal oestrogen replacement has shown a trend towards preventing rUTI in post-menopausal women.	1b
OM-89 has been shown to be more effective than placebo for immunoprophylaxis in female patients with rUTIs in several randomised trials with a good safety profile.	1a
Both continuous low-dose antimicrobial prophylaxis and post-coital antimicrobial prophylaxis, have been shown to reduce the rate of rUTI.	1b
A prospective cohort study showed that intermittent self-start therapy is effective, safe and economical in women with rUTIs.	2b

Recommendations	Strength rating
Diagnose recurrent UTI by urine culture.	Strong
Do not perform an extensive routine workup (e.g cystoscopy, full abdominal ultrasound) in women younger than 40 years of age with recurrent UTI and no risk factors.	Weak
Advise patients on behavioural modifications which might reduce the risk of recurrent UTI.	Weak
Use vaginal oestrogen replacement in post-menopausal women to prevent recurrent UTI.	Weak
Use immunoactive prophylaxis to reduce recurrent UTI in all age groups.	Strong
Use continuous or post-coital antimicrobial prophylaxis to prevent recurrent UTI when non-antimicrobial interventions have failed. Counsel patients regarding possible side effects.	Strong
For patients with good compliance self-administered short term antimicrobial therapy should be considered.	Strong

3.6 Uncomplicated pyelonephritis

Uncomplicated pyelonephritis is defined as pyelonephritis limited to non-pregnant, pre-menopausal women with no known relevant urological abnormalities or comorbidities.

3.6.1 *Diagnostic evaluation*

3.6.1.1 *Clinical diagnosis*

Pyelonephritis is suggested by fever (> 38°C), chills, flank pain, nausea, vomiting, or costovertebral angle tenderness, with or without the typical symptoms of cystitis [140]. Pregnant women with acute pyelonephritis need special attention, as this kind of infection may not only have an adverse effect on the mother with anaemia, renal and respiratory insufficiency, but also on the unborn child with more frequent pre-term labour and birth [141].

3.6.1.2 *Differential diagnosis*

It is vital to differentiate as soon as possible between uncomplicated and complicated mostly obstructive pyelonephritis, as the latter can rapidly lead to urosepsis. This differential diagnosis should be made by the appropriate imaging technique (see section 3.6.1.4).

3.6.1.3 *Laboratory diagnosis*

Urinalysis including the assessment of white and red blood cells and nitrite, is recommended for routine diagnosis [142]. In addition, urine culture and antimicrobial susceptibility testing should be performed in all cases of pyelonephritis.

3.6.1.4 *Imaging diagnosis*

Evaluation of the upper urinary tract with ultrasound (US) should be performed to rule out urinary obstruction or renal stone disease [143]. Additional investigations, such as an unenhanced helical computed tomography (CT), or excretory urography should be considered if the patient remains febrile after 72 hours of treatment [143]. For diagnosis of complicating factors in pregnant women, US or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) should be used preferentially to avoid radiation risk to the foetus [143].

3.6.2 *Summary of evidence and recommendations for the diagnostic evaluation of uncomplicated pyelonephritis*

Summary of Evidence	LE
Urine culture and antimicrobial susceptibility testing should be performed in all cases of pyelonephritis in addition to urinalysis.	4
A prospective observational cohort study found that radiologic imaging can selectively be applied in adults with febrile UTI without loss of clinically relevant information by using a simple clinical prediction rule.	2b
Additional imaging investigations, such as an unenhanced helical computed tomography should be done if the patient remains febrile after 72 hours of treatment or in patients with suspected complications e.g. sepsis.	4

Recommendations	Strength rating
Perform urinalysis (e.g. using a dipstick method), including the assessment of white and red blood cells and nitrite, for routine diagnosis.	Strong
Perform urine culture and antimicrobial susceptibility testing in patients with pyelonephritis.	Strong
Perform imaging of the urinary tract to exclude urgent urological disorders.	Strong

3.6.3 *Disease management*

3.6.3.1 *Outpatient treatment*

Fluoroquinolones and cephalosporines are the only antimicrobial agents that can be recommended for oral empirical treatment of uncomplicated pyelonephritis. However, oral cephalosporines achieve significantly lower concentrations than intravenous cephalosporines. Local fluoroquinolone resistance should be < 10%. Other agents such as nitrofurantoin, fosfomycin, and pivmecillinam should be avoided because these agents do not achieve adequate renal tissue levels [144]. In the setting of fluoroquinolone hypersensitivity or known resistance, other acceptable choices include trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (160/800 mg) or an oral beta-lactam, if the uropathogen is known to be susceptible. If such agents are used in the absence of antimicrobial susceptibility results, an initial intravenous dose of a long-acting parenteral antimicrobial (e.g. ceftriaxone) should be administered.

3.6.3.2 Inpatient treatment

Patients with uncomplicated pyelonephritis requiring hospitalisation should be treated initially with an intravenous antimicrobial regimen e.g. a fluoroquinolone, an aminoglycoside (with or without ampicillin), or an extended-spectrum cephalosporin or penicillin [145]. Consider carbapenems only in patients with early culture results indicating the presence of multi-drug resistance organisms. The choice between these agents should be based on local resistance patterns and optimised on the basis of drug susceptibility results. In patients presenting with signs of urosepsis empiric antimicrobial coverage for extended-spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBL)-producing organisms is warranted [146]. Patients initially treated with parenteral therapy who improve clinically and can tolerate oral fluids may transition to oral antimicrobial therapy [147].

3.6.3.2.1 Summary of evidence and recommendations for the treatment of uncomplicated pyelonephritis

Summary of Evidence	LE
Fluoroquinolones and cephalosporines are the only microbial agents that can be recommended for oral empirical treatment of uncomplicated pyelonephritis.	1b
Intravenous antimicrobial regimens for uncomplicated pyelonephritis may include a fluoroquinolone, an aminoglycoside (with or without ampicillin), or an extended-spectrum cephalosporin or penicillin.	1b
Carbapenems should only be considered in patients with early culture results indicating the presence of multi-drug resistance organisms.	4
The appropriate antimicrobial should be chosen based on local resistance patterns and optimised on the basis of drug susceptibility results.	3

Recommendations	Strength rating
Treat patients with uncomplicated pyelonephritis not requiring hospitalisation with short course fluoroquinolones as first-line treatment.	Strong
Treat patients with uncomplicated pyelonephritis requiring hospitalisation with an intravenous antimicrobial regimen initially.	Strong
Switch patients initially treated with parenteral therapy, who improve clinically and can tolerate oral fluids, to oral antimicrobial therapy.	Strong
Do not use nitrofurantoin, fosfomycin, and pivmecillinam to treat uncomplicated pyelonephritis.	Strong

Table 3: Suggested regimens for empirical oral antimicrobial therapy in uncomplicated pyelonephritis

Antimicrobial	Daily dose	Duration of therapy	Comments
Ciprofloxacin	500-750 mg b.i.d	7 days	Fluoroquinolone resistance should be less than 10%.
Levofloxacin	750 mg q.d	5 days	
Trimethoprim sulphamethoxazol	160/800 mg b.i.d	14 days	If such agents are used empirically, an initial intravenous dose of a long-acting parenteral antimicrobial (e.g. ceftriaxone) should be administered.
Cefpodoxime	200 mg b.i.d	10 days	
Ceftibuten	400 mg q.d	10 days	

b.i.d = twice daily; q.d = every day.

Table 4: Suggested regimens for empirical parenteral antimicrobial therapy in uncomplicated pyelonephritis

Antimicrobial	Daily dose	Comments
First-line treatment		
Ciprofloxacin	400 mg b.i.d	
Levofloxacin	750 mg q.d	
Cefotaxime	2 g t.i.d	Not studied as monotherapy in acute uncomplicated pyelonephritis.
Ceftriaxone	1-2 g q.d	Lower dose studied, but higher dose recommended.
Second-line treatment		
Cefepime	1-2 g b.i.d	Lower dose studied, but higher dose recommended.
Piperacillin/tazobactam	2.5-4.5 g t.i.d	
Ceftolozane/tazobactam	1.5 g t.i.d	
Ceftazidime/avibactam	2.5 g t.i.d	
Gentamicin	5 mg/kg q.d	Not studied as monotherapy in acute uncomplicated pyelonephritis.
Amikacin	15 mg/kg q.d	
Alternatives		
Imipenem/cilastatin	0.5 g t.i.d	Consider carbapenems only in patients with early culture results indicating the presence of multi-drug resistance organisms.
Meropenem	1 g t.i.d	

b.i.d = twice daily; t.i.d = three times daily; q.d = every day.

In pregnant women with pyelonephritis, outpatient management with appropriate antimicrobials may also be considered, provided symptoms are mild and close follow-up is feasible [148, 149]. In more severe cases of pyelonephritis, hospitalisation and supportive care are usually required. After clinical improvement parenteral therapy can also be switched to oral therapy for a total treatment duration of seven to ten days. In men with febrile UTI, pyelonephritis, or recurrent infection, or whenever a complicating factor is suspected a minimum treatment duration of two weeks is recommended, preferably with a fluoroquinolone since prostatic involvement is frequent [150].

3.6.4 Follow-up

Routine post-treatment urinalysis or urine cultures in asymptomatic patients are not indicated, except in pregnant women, if asymptomatic bacteriuria is an issue (see section 3.3.6.3).

3.7 Complicated UTIs

3.7.1 Introduction

A complicated UTI (cUTI) occurs in an individual in whom factors related to the host (e.g. underlying diabetes or immunosuppression) or specific anatomical or functional abnormalities related to the urinary tract (e.g. obstruction, incomplete voiding due to detrusor muscle dysfunction) are believed to result in an infection that will be more difficult to eradicate than an uncomplicated infection [151-153]. The underlying factors that are generally accepted to result in a cUTI are outlined in Table 5. The designation of cUTI encompasses a wide variety of underlying conditions that result in a remarkably heterogeneous patient population. Therefore, it is readily apparent that a universal approach to the evaluation and treatment of cUTIs is not sufficient, although there are general principles of management that can be applied to the majority of patients with cUTIs. The following recommendations are based on the Stichting Werkgroep Antibioticabeleid (SWAB) Guidelines from the Dutch Working Party on Antibiotic Policy [154].

Table 5: Common factors associated with complicated UTIs [154-156]

Obstruction at any site in the urinary tract	UTI in males
Foreign body	Pregnancy
Incomplete voiding	Diabetes
Vesicoureteral reflux	Immunosuppression
Recent history of instrumentation	Healthcare-associated infections

3.7.2 **Diagnostic evaluation**

3.7.2.1 *Clinical presentation*

A cUTI is associated with clinical symptoms (e.g. dysuria, urgency, frequency, flank pain, costovertebral angle tenderness, suprapubic pain and fever), although in some clinical situations the symptoms may be atypical for example, in neuropathic bladder disturbances or catheter-associated UTI (CA-UTI). Clinical presentation can vary from severe obstructive acute pyelonephritis with imminent urosepsis to a post-operative CA-UTI, which might disappear spontaneously as soon as the catheter is removed. Clinicians must also recognise that symptoms, especially lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS), are not only caused by UTIs but also by other urological disorders, such as, for example, benign prostatic hyperplasia and autonomic dysfunction in patients with spinal lesions and neurogenic bladders. Concomitant medical conditions, such as diabetes mellitus and renal failure, which can be related to urological abnormalities, are often also present in a cUTI.

3.7.2.2 *Urine culture*

Laboratory urine culture is the recommended method to determine the presence or absence of clinically significant bacteriuria in patients suspected of having a cUTI.

3.7.3 **Microbiology (spectrum and antimicrobial resistance)**

A broad range of micro-organisms cause cUTIs. The spectrum is much larger than in uncomplicated UTIs and the bacteria are more likely to be resistant (especially in treatment-related cUTI) than those isolated in uncomplicated UTIs [155, 156]. *E. coli*, *Proteus spp.*, *Klebsiella spp.*, *Pseudomonas spp.*, *Serratia spp.* and *Enterococcus spp.* are the most common species found in cultures. Enterobacteriaceae predominate (60-75%), with *E. coli* as the most common pathogen; particularly if the UTI is a first infection. Otherwise, the bacterial spectrum may vary over time and from one hospital to another [157].

3.7.4 **General principles of cUTI treatment**

Appropriate management of the urological abnormality or the underlying complicating factor is mandatory. Optimal antimicrobial therapy for cUTI depends on the severity of illness at presentation, as well as local resistance patterns and specific host factors (such as allergies). In addition, urine culture and susceptibility testing should be performed, and initial empirical therapy should be tailored and followed by (oral) administration of an appropriate antimicrobial agent on the basis of the isolated uropathogen.

3.7.4.1 *Choice of antimicrobials*

In the IDSA guidelines for the treatment of uncomplicated UTI, it is recommended that the resistance percentages of causative micro-organisms must be < 20% to consider an agent suitable for empirical treatment of a lower UTI and must be < 10% for treatment of an upper UTI. Considering the current resistance percentages of amoxicillin, co-amoxiclav, trimethoprim and trimethoprim-sulphamethoxazole, it can be concluded that these agents are not suitable for the empirical treatment of pyelonephritis in a normal host and, therefore, also not for treatment of all cUTIs [158]. The same applies to ciprofloxacin and other fluoroquinolones in urological patients [158].

Patients with a UTI with systemic symptoms requiring hospitalisation should be initially treated with an intravenous antimicrobial regimen, such as an aminoglycoside with or without amoxicillin or a second or third generation cephalosporin or an extended-spectrum penicillin with or without an aminoglycoside [154]. The choice between these agents should be based on local resistance data, and the regimen should be tailored on the basis of susceptibility results [144]. These recommendations are not only suitable for pyelonephritis but for all other cUTIs.

In view of the high degree of resistance, particularly among patients admitted to the department of urology, fluoroquinolones are not automatically suitable as empirical antimicrobial therapy, especially when the patient has used ciprofloxacin in the last six months [159]. Fluoroquinolones can only be recommended as empirical treatment when the patient is not seriously ill and it is considered safe to start initial oral treatment or if the patient has had an anaphylactic reaction to beta-lactam antimicrobials.

3.7.4.2 *Duration of antimicrobial therapy*

Treatment for seven to fourteen days is generally recommended, but the duration should be closely related to the treatment of the underlying abnormality [9].

3.7.5 Summary of evidence and recommendations for the treatment of complicated UTIs.

Summary of Evidence	LE
Patients with a UTI with systemic symptoms requiring hospitalisation should be initially treated with an intravenous antimicrobial regimen chosen based on local resistance data, and the regimen should be tailored on the basis of susceptibility result.	1b
If the prevalence of fluoroquinolone resistance is thought to be > 10% and the patient has contraindications for third generation cephalosporins or an aminoglycoside, ciprofloxacin can be prescribed as an empirical treatment in women with uncomplicated pyelonephritis.	2
In the event of hypersensitivity to penicillin, a third generation cephalosporin can still be prescribed, with the exception of systemic anaphylaxis in the past.	2
In patients with a UTI with systemic symptoms empirical treatment should cover ESBL in the initial treatment only in patients who are colonised with ESBL-producing micro-organisms.	2

ESBL = Extended-spectrum beta-lactamase.

Recommendations	Strength rating
Use the combination of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> amoxicillin plus an aminoglycoside; a second generation cephalosporin plus an aminoglycoside; a third generation cephalosporin intravenously as empirical treatment of complicated UTI with systemic symptoms. 	Strong
Only use ciprofloxacin provided that the local resistance percentages are < 10% when; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the entire treatment is given orally; patients do not require hospitalisation; patient has an anaphylaxis for beta-lactam antimicrobials. 	Strong
Do not use ciprofloxacin and other fluoroquinolones for the empirical treatment of complicated UTI in patients from the urology department or when patients have used fluoroquinolones in the last six months.	Strong
Manage any urological abnormality and/or underlying complicating factors.	Strong

3.8 Catheter-associated UTIs

3.8.1 Introduction

Catheter-associated UTI refers to UTIs occurring in a person whose urinary tract is currently catheterised or has been catheterised within the past 48 hours. The urinary catheter literature is problematic as many published studies use the term CA-bacteriuria without providing information on what proportion are CA-ABU and CA-UTI, and some studies use the term CA-UTI when referring to CA-ABU or CA-bacteriuria [155]. The following recommendations are based on the Stichting Werkgroep Antibioticabeleid (SWAB) Guidelines from the Dutch Working Party on Antibiotic Policy [154] as well as the IDSA Guidelines [155].

3.8.2 Epidemiology, aetiology and pathophysiology

Catheter-associated UTIs are the leading cause of secondary health care-associated bacteraemia. Approximately 20% of hospital-acquired bacteraemias arise from the urinary tract, and the mortality associated with this condition is approximately 10% [160]. The incidence of bacteriuria associated with indwelling catheterisation is 3-8% per day [161-165]. The duration of catheterisation is presumable the most important risk factor for the development of a CA-UTI [166, 167]. Urinary catheterisation perturbs host defence mechanisms and provides easier access of uropathogens to the bladder. Indwelling urinary catheters facilitate colonisation with uropathogens by providing a surface for the attachment of host cell binding receptors recognised by bacterial adhesins, thus enhancing microbial adhesion. In addition, the uroepithelial mucosa is disrupted, exposing new binding sites for bacterial adhesins, and residual urine in the bladder is increased through pooling below the catheter bulb [168]. Catheter-associated UTIs are often polymicrobial and caused by multiple-drug resistant uropathogens.

3.8.3 Diagnostic evaluation

3.8.3.1 Clinical diagnosis

Signs and symptoms compatible with CA-UTI include new onset or worsening of fever, rigors, altered mental status, malaise, or lethargy with no other identified cause, flank pain, costovertebral angle tenderness, acute haematuria, pelvic discomfort and in those whose catheters have been removed dysuria, urgent or frequent urination and suprapubic pain or tenderness [154]. In the catheterised patient, the presence or absence of odorous or cloudy urine alone should not be used to differentiate CA-ABU from CA-UTI [154, 155].

3.8.3.2 Laboratory diagnosis

Microbiologically CA-UTI is defined by microbial growth of $\geq 10^3$ cfu/mL of one or more bacterial species in a single catheter urine specimen or in a mid-stream voided urine specimen from a patient whose urethral, suprapubic, or condom catheter has been removed within the previous 48 hours. In catheterised patients, pyuria is not diagnostic for CA-UTI. The presence, absence, or degree of pyuria should not be used to differentiate CA-ABU from CA-UTI. Pyuria accompanying CA-ABU should not be interpreted as an indication for antimicrobial treatment. The absence of pyuria in a symptomatic patient suggests a diagnosis other than CA-UTI [155].

3.8.3.3 Summary of evidence table and recommendations for diagnostic evaluation of CA-UTI

Summary of Evidence	LE
Patients with indwelling or suprapubic catheters become carriers of ABU, with antibiotic treatment showing no benefit.	1a
In the catheterised patient, the presence or absence of odorous or cloudy urine alone should not be used to differentiate CA-ABU from CA-UTI	2
Microbiologically CA-UTI is defined by microbial growth of $\geq 10^3$ cfu/mL of one or more bacterial species in a single catheter urine specimen or in a midstream voided urine specimen from a patient whose catheter has been removed within the previous 48 hours.	3

Recommendations	Strength rating
Do not carry out routine urine culture in an asymptomatic catheterised patients.	Strong
Do not use pyuria as an indicator for catheter-associated UTI.	Strong
Do not use the presence or absence of odorous or cloudy urine alone to differentiate catheter-associated asymptomatic bacteriuria from catheter-associated UTI.	Strong

3.8.4 Disease management

A urine specimen for culture should be obtained prior to initiating antimicrobial therapy for presumed CA-UTI due to the wide spectrum of potential infecting organisms and the increased likelihood of antimicrobial resistance. The urine culture should be obtained from the freshly placed catheter prior to the initiation of antimicrobial therapy [155]. Based on the global prevalence on infections in urology (GPIU) study, the causative micro-organisms in CA-UTI are comparable with the causative micro-organisms in other complicated UTIs; therefore, symptomatic CA-UTIs should be treated according to the recommendations for complicated UTI (see section 3.7.5) [169].

Seven days is the recommended duration of antimicrobial treatment for patients with CA-UTI who have prompt resolution of symptoms, and two to fourteen days of treatment is recommended for those with a delayed response, regardless of whether the patient remains catheterised or not [155]. A five-day regimen of levofloxacin may be considered in patients with CA-UTI who are not severely ill. Data are insufficient to make such a recommendation about other fluoroquinolones.

A three-day antimicrobial regimen may be considered for women aged ≤ 65 years who develop CA-UTI without upper urinary tract symptoms after an indwelling catheter has been removed. If an indwelling catheter has been in place for two weeks at the onset of CA-UTI and is still indicated, the catheter should be replaced to hasten resolution of symptoms and to reduce the risk of subsequent CA-bacteriuria and CA-UTI. If use of the catheter can be discontinued, a culture of a voided mid-stream urine specimen should be obtained prior to the initiation of antimicrobial therapy to help guide treatment [155]. Long-term indwelling catheters should be changed at intervals adapted to the individual patient [170, 171].

3.8.4.1 Recommendations for disease management and prevention of CA-UTI

Recommendations	Strength rating
Treat symptomatic CA-UTI according to the recommendations for complicated UTI (see section 3.7.5).	Strong
Take a urine culture prior to initiating antimicrobial therapy in catheterised patients in whom the catheter has been removed.	Strong
Do not treat catheter-associated asymptomatic bacteriuria in general.	Strong
Treat catheter-associated asymptomatic bacteriuria prior to traumatic urinary tract interventions (e.g. transurethral resection of the prostate).	Strong
Replace or remove the indwelling catheter before starting antimicrobial therapy.	Strong
Do not apply topical antiseptics or antimicrobials to the catheter, urethra or meatus.	Strong
Do not use prophylactic antimicrobials to prevent catheter-associated UTIs.	Strong
The duration of catheterisation should be minimal.	Strong

3.8.5 Removal of indwelling bladder catheter

3.8.5.1 Evidence question

- Does antibiotic prophylaxis reduce the rate of symptomatic UTI in adults following indwelling bladder catheter removal?

3.8.5.2 Review of evidence

The structured literature search identified one systematic review and meta-analysis [172] with a search date of November 2012 and one subsequent RCT [173]. Marschall *et al.*, identified seven RCTs with 1,520 participants. Meta-analysis showed overall benefit for use of prophylaxis RR (95%CI) = 0.45 (0.28-0.72); ARR 5.8% (from 10.5% to 4.7%) with a number needed to treat (NNT) of 17. Results for individual trials were inconsistent with five trials including the possibility of no benefit [172]. The trial reported by Fang *et al.*, recruited 172 participants undergoing laparoscopic radical prostatectomy randomised to seven days of ciprofloxacin (n=80) or no treatment (n=80) at the time of catheter removal which occurred at a mean of nine days post-operatively. There was no difference in infective complications recorded at up to four weeks after catheter removal. More isolates obtained from the prophylaxis group (11) were resistant to ciprofloxacin compared to the no treatment group (3) [173].

3.8.5.3 Summary of evidence and recommendations for removal of indwelling bladder catheter

Summary of Evidence	LE
A meta-analysis showed overall benefit for use of prophylaxis for reduction of infective complications after catheter removal; however, results from individual trials were inconsistent with five out of seven trials including the possibility of no benefit.	1a
A subsequent RCT found no benefit of antibiotic prophylaxis for reduction of infective complications at up to four weeks after catheter removal.	1b

Recommendations	Strength rating
Do not routinely use antibiotic prophylaxis to prevent clinical UTI after urethral catheter removal.	Weak

3.9 Urosepsis

3.9.1 Introduction

Patients with urosepsis should be diagnosed at an early stage, especially in the case of a cUTI. Systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS), characterised by fever or hypothermia, leukocytosis or leukopenia, tachycardia and tachypnoea, has been recognised as a set of alerting symptoms [174, 175], however, SIRS is no longer included in the recent terminology of sepsis (Table 6), [14]. Mortality is considerably increased the more severe the sepsis is.

The treatment of urosepsis involves adequate life-supporting care, appropriate and prompt antimicrobial therapy, adjunctive measures and the optimal management of urinary tract disorders [176]. The decompression of any obstruction and drainage of larger infectious abscesses in the urinary tract is essential as first-line focus control [176]. Urologists are recommended to treat patients in collaboration with intensive care and infectious diseases specialists.

Urosepsis is seen in both community-acquired and healthcare associated infections. Nosocomial urosepsis may be reduced by measures used to prevent nosocomial infection, e.g. reduction of hospital stay, early removal of indwelling urinary catheters, avoidance of unnecessary urethral catheterisation, correct use of closed catheter systems, and attention to simple daily aseptic techniques to avoid cross-infection.

Sepsis is diagnosed when clinical evidence of infection is accompanied by signs of systemic inflammation, presence of symptoms of organ dysfunction and persistent hypotension associated with tissue anoxia (Table 6).

3.9.2 **Epidemiology, aetiology and pathophysiology**

Urinary tract infections can manifest from bacteriuria with limited clinical symptoms to sepsis or severe sepsis, depending on localised and potential systemic extension. It is important to note that a patient can move from an almost harmless state to severe sepsis in a very short time.

Mortality rates associated with severe sepsis vary depending on the organ source [177] with urinary tract sepsis generally having a lower mortality than that from other sources [178]. Sepsis is more common in men than in women [179]. In recent years, the overall incidence of sepsis arising from all sources has increased by 8.7% per year [177], but the associated mortality has decreased, which suggests improved management of patients (total in-hospital mortality rate fell from 27.8% to 17.9% from 1995 to 2000) [180]. Although the rate of sepsis due to fungal organisms has increased, Gram-positive bacteria have become the predominant pathogen overall. Gram-negative bacteria remain predominant in urosepsis [169, 181].

In urosepsis, as in other types of sepsis, the severity depends mostly upon the host response. Patients who are more likely to develop urosepsis include elderly patients, diabetics, immunosuppressed patients, such as transplant recipients and patients receiving cancer chemotherapy or corticosteroids. Urosepsis also depends on local factors, such as urinary tract calculi, obstruction at any level in the urinary tract, congenital uropathy, neurogenic bladder disorders, or endoscopic manoeuvres. However, all patients can be affected by bacterial species that are capable of inducing inflammation within the urinary tract.

3.9.3 **Diagnostic evaluation**

For diagnosis of systemic symptoms in sepsis either the full Sequential [Sepsis-related] Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score, or the quickSOFA score should be applied (Table 6). Microbiology sampling should be applied to urine, two sets of blood cultures [182], and if appropriate drainage fluids. Imaging investigations, such as sonography and CT-scan should be performed early [183].

Table 6. Definition and criteria of sepsis and septic shock [14, 174, 175]

Disorder	Definition
Sepsis	Life-threatening organ dysfunction caused by a dysregulated host response to infection. For clinical application, organ dysfunction can be represented by an increase in the Sequential [Sepsis-related] Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score of 2 points or more. For rapid identification a quickSOFA (qSOFA) score was developed: respiratory rate of 22/min or greater, altered mentation, or systolic blood pressure of 100 mmHg or less.
Septic shock	Septic shock should be defined as a subset of sepsis in which particularly profound circulatory, cellular, and metabolic abnormalities are associated with a greater risk of mortality than with sepsis alone. Patients with septic shock can be clinically identified by a vasopressor requirement to maintain a mean arterial pressure of 65 mm Hg or greater and serum lactate level greater than 2 mmol/L (>18 mg/dL) in the absence of hypovolemia.

3.9.4 **Physiology and biochemical markers**

E. coli remains the most prevalent micro-organism. In several countries, bacterial strains can be resistant or multi-resistant and therefore difficult to treat [181]. Most commonly, the condition develops in compromised patients (e.g. those with diabetes or immunosuppression), with typical signs of generalised sepsis associated with local signs of infection.

3.9.4.1 *Cytokines as markers of the septic response*

Cytokines are involved in the pathogenesis of sepsis syndrome [178]. They are molecules that regulate the amplitude and duration of the host inflammatory response. They are released from various cells including monocytes, macrophages and endothelial cells, in response to various infectious stimuli. The complex balance between pro- and anti-inflammatory responses is modified in severe sepsis. An immunosuppressive phase follows the initial pro-inflammatory mechanism. Sepsis may indicate an immune system that is severely

compromised and unable to eradicate pathogens or a non-regulated and excessive activation of inflammation, or both. Genetic predisposition is a probable explanation of sepsis in several patients. Mechanisms of organ failure and death in patients with sepsis remain only partially understood [178].

3.9.4.2 *Biochemical markers*

Procalcitonin is the inactive pro-peptide of calcitonin. Normally, levels are undetectable in healthy humans. During severe generalised infections (bacterial, parasitic and fungal) with systemic manifestations, procalcitonin levels rise [184]. In contrast, during severe viral infections or inflammatory reactions of non-infectious origin, procalcitonin levels show only a moderate or no increase. Mid-regional proadrenomedullin is another sepsis marker. Mid-regional proadrenomedullin has been shown to play a decisive role in the induction of hyperdynamic circulation during the early stages of sepsis and progression to septic shock [185]. Procalcitonin monitoring may be useful in patients likely to develop sepsis and to differentiate from a severe inflammatory status not due to bacterial infection [184, 186]. In addition, serum lactate is a marker of organ dysfunction and is associated with mortality in sepsis [187]. Serum lactate should therefore also be monitored in patients with severe infections.

3.9.5 **Disease management**

3.9.5.1 *Prevention*

Septic shock is the most frequent cause of death for patients hospitalised for community-acquired and nosocomial infection (20-40%). Urosepsis treatment requires a combination of treatment including treatment of the cause (obstruction of the urinary tract), adequate life-support care, and appropriate antimicrobial therapy [178, 183]. In such a situation, it is recommended that urologists collaborate with intensive care and infectious disease specialists for the best management of the patient.

3.9.5.1.1 Preventive measures of proven or probable efficacy

The most effective methods to prevent nosocomial urosepsis are the same as those used to prevent other nosocomial infections [188, 189] they include:

- Isolation of all patients infected with multi-resistant organisms to avoid cross-infection.
- Prudent use of antimicrobial agents for prophylaxis and treatment of established infections, to avoid selection of resistant strains. Antibiotic agents should be chosen according to the predominant pathogens at a given site of infection in the hospital environment.
- Reduction in hospital stay. Long inpatient periods before surgery lead to a greater incidence of nosocomial infections.
- Early removal of indwelling urethral catheters, as soon as allowed by the patient's condition. Nosocomial UTIs are promoted by bladder catheterisation as well as by ureteral stenting [190]. Antibiotic prophylaxis does not prevent stent colonisation, which appears in 100% of patients with a permanent ureteral stent and in 70% of those temporarily stented.
- Use of closed catheter drainage and minimisation of breaks in the integrity of the system, e.g. for urine sampling or bladder wash-out.
- Use of least-invasive methods to release urinary tract obstruction until the patient is stabilised.
- Attention to simple everyday techniques to assure asepsis, including the routine use of protective disposable gloves, frequent hand disinfection, and using infectious disease control measures to prevent cross-infections.

3.9.5.1.2 Appropriate peri-operative antimicrobial prophylaxis

For appropriate peri-operative antimicrobial prophylaxis see section 3.15. The potential side-effects of antibiotics must be considered before their administration in a prophylactic regimen.

3.9.5.2 *Treatment*

Early goal-directed resuscitation was initially shown to improve survival for emergency department patients presenting with septic shock in a randomised, controlled, single-centre study [191]. However, follow up studies in an improved emergency medicine background have not achieved positive effects with this strategy [192-194]. An individual patient data meta-analysis of the later three multicentre trials concluded that early goal-directed therapy did not result in better outcomes than usual care and was associated with higher hospitalisation costs [195].

3.9.5.2.1 Antimicrobial therapy

Initial empiric antimicrobial therapy should provide broad antimicrobial coverage against all likely causative pathogens and should be adapted on the basis of culture results, once available [176, 183]. The dosage of the antimicrobial substances is of paramount importance in patients with sepsis syndrome and should generally be high, with the exception of patients in renal failure [176]. Antimicrobials must be administered no later than one hour after clinical assumption of sepsis [176].

3.9.5.2.2 Source control

Obstruction in the urinary tract is the most frequent urological source of urosepsis. Drainage of obstruction and removal of foreign bodies, such as urinary catheters or stones is therefore the most important source control strategy. These are key components of the strategy. This condition is an absolute emergency.

3.9.5.2.3 Adjunctive measures

The most important adjunctive measures in the management of sepsis are the following [176, 183]:

- fluid therapy with crystalloids, or albumin, if crystalloids are not adequately increasing blood pressure, passive leg raising-induced changes in cardiac output and in arterial pulse pressure are predictors of fluid responsiveness in adults [196];
- as vasopressors norepinephrine should be used primarily, dobutamine in myocardial dysfunction;
- hydrocortisone should be given only if fluid and vasopressors do not achieve a mean arterial pressure of ≥ 65 mmHg;
- blood products should be given to target a haemoglobin level of 7-9 g/dL;
- mechanical ventilation should be applied with a tidal volume 6 ml/kg and plateau pressure ≤ 30 cm H₂O and a high positive end-expiratory pressure;
- sedation should be given minimally, neuromuscular blocking agents should be avoided;
- glucose levels should be target at ≤ 180 mg/dL;
- deep vein thrombosis prevention should be given with low-molecular weight heparin subcutaneously;
- stress ulcer prophylaxis should be applied in patients at risk, using proton pump inhibitors;
- enteral nutrition should be started early (< 48 hours).

In conclusion, sepsis syndrome in urology remains a severe situation with an considerable mortality rate. A recent campaign, 'Surviving Sepsis Guidelines', aims to reduce mortality by 25% in the next years [176, 183, 197]. Early recognition of the symptoms may decrease the mortality by timely treatment of urinary tract disorders, e.g. obstruction, or urolithiasis. Adequate life-support measures and appropriate antimicrobial treatment provide the best conditions for improving patient survival. The prevention of sepsis syndrome is dependent on good practice to avoid nosocomial infections and using antimicrobial prophylaxis and therapy in a prudent and well-accepted manner.

3.9.5.3 Summary of evidence and recommendations for the treatment of urosepsis

Summary of Evidence	LE
Initial high dose empiric antimicrobial therapy, administered within the first hour, should provide broad antimicrobial coverage against all likely causative pathogens and should be adapted on the basis of culture results, once available.	2b
Source control interventions should be implemented as soon as possible to control or eliminate diagnosed and/or suspected infectious foci.	3

Recommendations	Strength rating
Perform the quickSOFA score to identify patients with potential sepsis.	Strong
Take a urine culture and two sets of blood cultures before starting antimicrobial treatment.	Strong
Administer parenteral high dose broad spectrum antimicrobials within the first hour after clinical assumption of sepsis.	Strong
Adapt initial empiric antimicrobial therapy on the basis of culture results.	Strong
Remove foreign bodies from and obstruction of the urinary tract.	Strong
Provide immediate adequate life-support measures.	Strong

Table 7: Suggested regimens for antimicrobial therapy for urosepsis

Antimicrobials	Daily dose	Comments
Cefotaxime	2 g t.i.d	7-10 days Longer courses are appropriate in patients who have a slow clinical response
Ceftazidime	1-2 g t.i.d	
Ceftriaxone	1-2 g q.d	
Cefepime	2 g b.i.d	
Piperacillin/tazobactam	4.5 g t.i.d	
Ceftolozane/tazobactam	1.5 g t.i.d	
Ceftazidime/avibactam	2.5 g t.i.d	
Gentamicin*	5 mg/kg q.d	
Amikacin*	15 mg/kg q.d	
Ertapenem	1 g q.d	
Imipenem/cilastatin	0.5 g t.i.d	
Meropenem	1 g t.i.d	

* Not studied as monotherapy in urosepsis

b.i.d = twice daily; t.i.d = three times daily; q.d = every day.

3.10 Urethritis

3.10.1 Introduction

Inflammation of the urethra presents usually with LUTS and must be distinguished from other infections of the lower urinary tract. The following recommendations are based on a review of several European national guidelines and are aligned with the CDC's guidelines on sexual transmitted diseases (STDs) [198-202].

3.10.2 Epidemiology, aetiology and pathogenesis

From a therapeutic and clinical point of view, *gonorrhoeal urethritis* (GU) must be differentiated from non-*gonococcal urethritis* (NGU). Infection is spread by sexual contact. Causative pathogens include *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* (NG), *Chlamydia trachomatis* (CT), *Mycoplasma genitalium* (MG), *Trichomonas vaginalis* (TV), and *Ureaplasma urealyticum* (UU) [203-208]. In a study of 367 patients with NGU, isolated causative pathogens were: CT in 22.3%, MG in 12.5%, TV in 2.5%, and UU in 24.0%, with multiple pathogens detected in 9.5% and no aetiology in 29.2% [203]. There is limited evidence to support the role of *Mycoplasma hominis* in urethritis [209, 210].

Causative agents either remain extracellularly on the epithelial layer or penetrate into the epithelium (*N. gonorrhoeae* and *C. trachomatis*) and cause pyogenic infection. Although arising from urethritis, chlamydiae and gonococci can spread further through the urogenital tract to cause epididymitis in men or cervicitis, endometritis and salpingitis in women [211-213].

Mucopurulent or purulent discharge, alguria, dysuria and urethral pruritus are symptoms of urethritis. However, many infections of the urethra are asymptomatic.

3.10.3 Diagnostic evaluation

A Gram stain of urethral discharge or a urethral smear that shows more than five leukocytes per high power field ($\times 1,000$) and eventually, gonococci located intracellularly as Gram-negative diplococci, indicate pyogenic urethritis [214]. Laboratories should use validated nucleic acid amplification tests (NAATs) to detect chlamydia and gonorrhoea, in first void urine samples, as they are better than any of the other tests available for the diagnosis of chlamydial and gonococcal infections [215]. *N. gonorrhoeae* and chlamydia cultures are mainly to evaluate treatment failures and monitor developing resistance to current treatment.

In all patients with urethritis, and when sexual transmission is suspected, the aim should be to identify the pathogenic organisms. *Trichomonas* spp. can usually be identified microscopically [213].

3.10.4 Disease management

Broad spectrum empirical antibiotic therapy may be started on presentation followed by antibiotic treatment refinement according to the results of microbiological investigations [216, 217].

3.10.4.1 Summary of evidence and recommendations for the treatment of urosepsis

Summary of Evidence	LE
Validated NAATs of first void urine samples are better than any of the other tests available for the diagnosis of chlamydial and gonococcal infections.	2a
A Gram stain of urethral discharge or a urethral smear that shows more than five leukocytes per high power field ($\times 1,000$) and gonococci located intracellularly as Gram-negative diplococci, indicate pyogenic urethritis.	3b

Recommendations	Strength rating
Perform a gram stain of urethral discharge or a urethral smear to preliminarily diagnose pyogenic urethritis.	Strong
Perform a validated nucleic acid amplification tests on a mid-stream urine sample or urethral smear to diagnosis chlamydial and gonococcal infections.	Strong
Use a pathogen directed treatment based on local resistance data.	Strong

Table 8: Suggested regimens for antimicrobial therapy for urethritis

Pathogen	Antimicrobial	Dosage & Duration of therapy	Alternative regimens
<i>Gonococcal Infection</i>	Ceftriaxone	1 g i.m., SD	Cefixime 400 mg p.o., SD Or Azithromycin 1-1.5 g p.o., SD
	Azithromycin	1-1.5 g p.o., SD	
	Cefixime	800 mg p.o., SD	
<i>Non-Gonococcal infection (non-identified pathogen)</i>	Doxycycline	100 mg b.i.d, p.o., 7-10 days	Azithromycin 0.5 g p.o., day 1, 250 mg p.o., days 2-5
<i>Chlamydia trachomatis</i>	Azithromycin	1.0-1.5 g p.o., SD	Doxycycline 100 mg b.i.d, p.o., for 7 days
<i>Mycoplasma genitalium</i>	Azithromycin	0.5 g p.o., day 1, 250 mg p.o., day 2-5	Moxifloxacin 400 mg q.d., 5 days however, because of reported failures, some experts recommend 10 -14 days
<i>Ureaplasma urealiticum</i>	Doxycycline	100 mg b.i.d, p.o., 7 days	Azithromycin 1.0-1.5 g p.o., single dose Or Clarithromycin 500 mg b.i.d, 7 days (resistance against macrolides is possible)
<i>Trichomonas vaginalis</i>	Metronidazole	2 g p.o., SD	In case of persistence 4 g daily for 3-5 days

SD = single dose; b.i.d = twice daily; q.d = everyday; p.o. = orally, i.m. = intramuscular.

3.10.5 Follow-up

Patients should be followed-up for control of eradication or if symptoms persist or recur after completion of therapy. Patients should be instructed to abstain from sexual intercourse for seven days after therapy is initiated, provided their symptoms have resolved and their sexual partners have been adequately treated. Reporting and source tracing should be done in accordance with national guidelines and in co-operation with specialists in venereology, whenever required. Persons who have been diagnosed with a new STD should receive testing for other STDs, including syphilis and HIV.

3.11 Bacterial Prostatitis

3.11.1 Introduction

Bacterial prostatitis is a clinical condition caused by bacterial pathogens. It is recommended that urologists use the classification suggested by the National Institute of Diabetes, Digestive and Kidney Diseases (NIDDK) of the National Institutes of Health (NIH), in which bacterial prostatitis, with confirmed or suspected infection, is distinguished from chronic pelvic pain syndrome (CPPS) (Table 9) [218-220].

Table 9: Classification of prostatitis and CPPS according to NIDDK/NIH [218-220]

Type	Name and description
I	Acute bacterial prostatitis
II	Chronic bacterial prostatitis
III	Chronic non-bacterial prostatitis – CPPS
IIIA	Inflammatory CPPS (white cells in semen/EPS/VB3)
IIIB	Non-inflammatory CPPS (no white cells in semen/EPS/VB3)
IV	Asymptomatic inflammatory prostatitis (histological prostatitis)

CPPS = chronic pelvic pain syndrome; EPS = expressed prostatic secretion; VB3 = voided bladder urine specimen 3 (urine following prostatic massage).

3.11.2 Evidence Question

In men with NIDDK/NIH Category I or II prostatitis what is the best antimicrobial treatment strategy for clinical resolution and eradication of the causative pathogen?

3.11.3 Evidence Summary

A systematic literature search from 1980 till June 2017 was performed. One systematic review [221], six RCTs [222-227], two narrative reviews [228, 229], one prospective cohort study [230], two prospective cross-sectional studies [231, 232], and one retrospective cohort study [224], were selected from 856 references.

A retrospective study from Croatia [233], investigated the potential role of unusual pathogens in prostatitis syndrome in 1,442 patients over a 4 year period. An infectious aetiology was determined in 74.2% of patients; *C. trachomatis*, *T. vaginalis* and *Ureaplasma urealyticum* infections were found in 37.2%, 10.5% and 5% of patients respectively whilst *E.coli* infection was found in only 6.6% of cases. Cross sectional studies confirmed the validity of the Meares and Stamey test to determine the bacterial strain and targeted antibiotic therapies [231, 232]. The evidences level was very good, in particular those regarding information on atypical strains, epidemiology and the antibiotic treatments.

A systematic review on antimicrobial therapy for CBP [221] compared multiple antibiotic regimens from 18 selected studies enrolling a total of 2,196 patients. The role of fluoroquinolones as first line agents was confirmed with no significant differences between levofloxacin, ciprofloxacin and prulifloxacin in terms of microbiological eradication, clinical efficacy and adverse events. The efficacy of macrolides and tetracyclines on atypical pathogens was confirmed.

Randomised controlled trials on combined treatments [226, 227] indicated that the combination of plants/herbal extracts or PDE5Is with antibiotics may improve quality of life and symptoms in patients with CBP; however, the number of enrolled patients was inadequate to obtain definitive conclusions.

A review of treatment of bacterial prostatitis [228] indicated that the treatment of CBP is hampered by the lack of an active antibiotic transport mechanism into infected prostate tissue and fluids and underlined the potential effect of different compounds in the treatment of ABP and CBP on the basis of over 40 studies on the topic.

One RCT compared the effects of two different metronidazole regimens for the treatment of CBP caused by *Trichomonas vaginalis* [225]. Metronidazole 500 mg t.i.d. dosage for 14 days was found to be efficient for micro-organism eradication in 93.3% of patients with clinical failure in 3.33% of cases.

3.11.4 Epidemiology, aetiology and pathogenesis

Prostatitis is a common diagnosis but less than 10% of cases have proven bacterial infection [228]. The Enterobacteriaceae, especially *E. coli*, are the predominant pathogens in acute bacterial prostatitis (ABP) [234]. In chronic bacterial prostatitis (CBP), the spectrum of species is wider and may include atypical microorganisms [228]. In patients with immune deficiency or HIV infection, prostatitis may be caused by fastidious pathogens, such as *M. tuberculosis*, *Candida sp.* and other rare pathogens, such as *Coccidioides immitis*, *Blastomyces dermatitidis*, and *Histoplasma capsulatum* [235]. The significance of identified intracellular bacteria, such as *C. trachomatis*, is uncertain [236], however, two studies have highlighted its possible role as a causative pathogen in CBP [237, 238].

3.11.5 Diagnostic evaluation

3.11.5.1 History and symptoms

Acute bacterial prostatitis usually presents abruptly with voiding symptoms and distressing but poorly localised pain. It is often associated with malaise and fever. Transrectal prostate biopsy increases the risk of ABP despite adequate antibiotic prophylaxis and antiseptic prevention procedures [222]. Chronic bacterial prostatitis is

defined by symptoms that persist for at least three months [239-241]. The predominant symptoms are pain at various locations including the perineum, scrotum, penis and inner part of the leg as well as LUTS [218-220].

3.11.5.2 Symptom questionnaires

In CBP symptoms appear to have a strong basis for use as a classification parameter [242]. Prostatitis symptom questionnaires have therefore been developed to assess severity and response to therapy [242, 243]. They include the validated Chronic Prostatitis Symptom Index (CPSI) however, its usefulness in clinical practice is uncertain [230].

3.11.5.3 Clinical findings

In ABP, the prostate may be swollen and tender on DRE. Prostatic massage should be avoided as it can induce bacteraemia and sepsis. Urine dipstick testing for nitrite and leukocytes has a positive predictive value of 95% and a negative predictive value of 70% [244]. Blood culture and complete blood count are useful in ABP. Various imaging studies can detect a suspected prostatic abscess [228].

In case of longer lasting symptoms CPPS as well as other urogenital and ano-rectal disorders must be taken into consideration. Symptoms of CBP or CPPS can mask prostate tuberculosis. Pyospermia and hematospermia in men in endemic regions or with a history of tuberculosis should be investigated for urogenital tuberculosis.

3.11.5.4 Urine cultures and expressed prostatic secretion

The most important investigation in the evaluation of a patient with ABP is mid-stream urine culture [228]. In CBP, quantitative bacteriological localisation cultures and microscopy of the segmented urine and expressed prostatic secretion (EPS), as described by Meares and Stamey [245] are still important investigations to categorise clinical prostatitis [231, 232]. Accurate microbiological analysis of samples from the Meares and Stamey test may also provide useful information on the presence of atypical pathogens such as *C. trachomatis*, *Trichomonas vaginalis* and *Ureaplasma urealiticum* [233]. The two-glass test has been shown to offer similar diagnostic sensitivity to the four-glass test [246].

3.11.5.5 Prostate biopsy

Prostate biopsies cannot be recommended as routine work-up and are not advisable in patients with untreated bacterial prostatitis due to the increased risk of sepsis.

3.11.5.6 Other tests

Transrectal ultrasound may reveal intraprostatic abscesses, calcification in the prostate, and dilatation of the seminal vesicles but is unreliable and cannot be used as a diagnostic tool in prostatitis [247].

3.11.5.7 Additional investigations

3.11.5.7.1 Ejaculate analysis

Performing an ejaculated semen culture improves the diagnostic utility of the 4-glass test [231], however, semen cultures are positive more often than EPS cultures in men with non-bacterial prostatitis [232]. Bladder outflow and urethral obstruction should always be considered and ruled out by uroflowmetry, retrograde urethrography, or endoscopy.

3.11.5.7.2 First-void urine sample

First-void urine (FVU) is the preferred specimen for the diagnosis of urogenital *C. trachomatis* infection in men by NAATs, since it is non-invasive and yet allows the detection of infected epithelial cells and associated *C. trachomatis* particles [21].

3.11.5.7.3 Prostate specific antigen (PSA)

Prostate specific antigen is increased in about 60% and 20% of men with acute and chronic bacterial prostatitis, respectively [229]. The PSA level decrease after antibiotic therapy (which occurs in approximately 40% of patients) correlates with clinical and microbiological improvement [223]. Measurement of free and total PSA adds no practical diagnostic information in prostatitis [248].

3.11.5.8 Summary of evidence and recommendations for the diagnosis of bacterial prostatitis

Summary of Evidence	LE
Urine dipstick testing for nitrite and leukocytes has a positive predictive value of 95% and a negative predictive value of 70% in patients with ABP.	3
The four-glass Meares and Stamey test is the optimum test for diagnosis of CBP. The two-glass test has been shown to offer similar diagnostic sensitivity in a comparison study.	2b
First-void urine is the preferred specimen for the diagnosis of urogenital <i>C. trachomatis</i> infection in men by NAATs.	2b
Transrectal ultrasound is unreliable and cannot be used as a diagnostic tool in prostatitis.	3
Semen culture sensitivity is reported to be approximately 50%; therefore, it is not routinely part of the diagnostic assessment of CBP.	3
Prostate specific antigen levels may be elevated during active prostatitis; therefore, PSA testing should be avoided as it offers no practical diagnostic information for prostatitis.	3

Recommendations	Strength rating
Perform a gentle digital rectal examination to assess the condition of the prostate.	Weak
Take a mid-stream urine dipstick to check nitrite and leukocytes in patients with clinical suspicion of acute bacterial prostatitis.	Weak
Take a blood culture and a total blood count in case of prostatitis-related symptoms with malaise and fever.	Weak
Take a mid-stream urine culture in patients with acute prostatitis-related symptoms to guide diagnosis and plan adequate targeted antibiotic treatment.	Weak
Perform accurate microbiological evaluation for atypical pathogens such as <i>Chlamydia trachomatis</i> or <i>Mycoplasma</i> in patients with chronic bacterial prostatitis (CBP).	Weak
Perform the Meares and Stamey 2- or 4-glass test in patients with CBP.	Strong
Perform transrectal ultrasound in selected cases to rule out the presence of prostatic abscess, calcification in the prostate and dilatation of the seminal vesicles.	Weak
Do not routinely perform microbiological analysis of the ejaculate alone to diagnosis CBP.	Weak

3.11.6 Disease management

3.11.6.1 Antimicrobials

Antimicrobials are life-saving in ABP and recommended in CBP. Culture-guided antibiotic treatments are the optimum standard, however, empirical therapies should be considered in all patients with ABP.

In ABP parenteral administration of high doses of bactericidal antimicrobials, such as broad-spectrum penicillins, a third-generation cephalosporin or fluoroquinolones, is recommended [249]. For initial therapy, any of these antimicrobials may be combined with an aminoglycoside [234-243, 249-253]. Ancillary measures include adequate fluid intake and urine drainage [228]. After normalisation of infection parameters, oral therapy can be substituted and continued for a total of two to four weeks [254].

Fluoroquinolones, despite the high resistance rates of uropathogens, are recommended as first-line agents in the empirical treatment of CBP because of their favourable pharmacokinetic properties [255], their generally good safety profile, and antibacterial activity against Gram-negative pathogens, including *P. aeruginosa* and *C. trachomatis* infections [221, 256]. However, increasing bacterial resistance is a concern. Azithromycin and doxycycline are active against atypical pathogens such as *C. trachomatis* and genital mycoplasmas [224, 233]. Levofloxacin did not demonstrate significant clearance of *C. trachomatis* in patients with CBP [257]. Metronidazole treatment is indicated in patients with *T. vaginalis* infections [225].

Duration of fluoroquinolone treatment must be at least fourteen days while azithromycin and doxycycline treatments should be extended to at least three to four weeks [224, 233]. In CBP antimicrobials should be given for four to six weeks after initial diagnosis [228]. If intracellular bacteria have been detected or are suspected, macrolides or tetracyclines should be given [221, 255, 258].

3.11.6.2 Intraprostatic injection of antimicrobials

This treatment has not been evaluated in controlled trials and should not be considered [259, 260].

3.11.6.3 Combined treatments

A combination of fluoroquinolones with various herbal extracts may attenuate clinical symptoms without increasing the adverse events rate [226]. A combination of fluoroquinolones with vardenafil neither improves microbiological eradication rates nor attenuates pain or voiding symptoms in comparison with fluoroquinolone treatment alone [227].

3.11.6.4 Drainage and surgery

Approximately 10% of men with ABP will experience urinary retention [261] which can be managed by urethral or suprapubic catheterisation. However, recent evidence suggests that suprapubic catheterisation can reduce the risk of development of CBP [262].

In case of prostatic abscess, both drainage and conservative treatment strategies appear feasible [263], however, the abscess size may matter. In one study, conservative treatment was successful if the abscess cavities were < 1 cm in diameter, while larger abscesses were better treated by single aspiration or continuous drainage [264].

3.11.6.5 Summary of evidence and recommendations for the disease management of bacterial prostatitis

Summary of Evidence	LE
The treatment regimen for ABP is based on clinical experience and a number of uncontrolled clinical studies. For systemically ill patients with ABP, parenteral antibiotic therapy is preferable. After normalisation of infection parameters, oral therapy can be substituted and continued for a total of two to four weeks.	3
The role of fluoroquinolones as first-line agents for antimicrobial therapy for CBP was confirmed in a systematic review, with no significant differences between levofloxacin, ciprofloxacin and prulifloxacin in terms of microbiological eradication, clinical efficacy and adverse events.	1a
Metronidazole 500 mg t.i.d. dosage for 14 days was found to be efficient for micro-organism eradication in 93.3% of patients with <i>T. vaginalis</i> CBP.	1b
In patients with CBP caused by obligate intracellular pathogens, macrolides showed higher microbiological and clinical cure rates compared to fluoroquinolones.	1a
Clinicians should consider local drug-resistance patterns when choosing antibiotics.	3

Recommendations	Strength rating
Acute bacterial prostatitis	
Treat acute bacterial prostatitis according to the recommendations for complicated UTI (see section 3.7.5).	Strong
Chronic bacterial prostatitis (CBP)	
Prescribe a fluoroquinolone (e.g. ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin) as first-line treatment for CBP.	Strong
Prescribe a macrolide (e.g. azithromycin) or a tetracycline (e.g. doxycycline) if intracellular bacteria have been identified as the causative agent of CBP.	Strong
Prescribe metronidazole in patients with <i>T. vaginalis</i> CBP.	Strong

Table 10: Suggested regimens for antimicrobial therapy for chronic bacterial prostatitis

Antimicrobial	Daily dose	Duration of therapy	Comments
Floroquinolone	Optimal oral daily dose	4-6 weeks	
Doxycycline	100 mg b.i.d	10 days	Only for <i>C. trachomatis</i> or mycoplasma infections
Azithromycin	500 mg 3x weekly	3 weeks	Only for <i>C. trachomatis</i> infections
Metronidazole	500 mg t.i.d.	14 days	Only for <i>T. vaginalis</i> infections

b.i.d = twice daily; *t.i.d* = three times daily; *q.d* = every day.

3.11.7 Follow-up

In asymptomatic post-treatment patients routine urinalysis and/or urine culture is not mandatory as there are no validated tests of cure for bacterial prostatitis except for cessation of symptoms [228]. In patients with persistent symptoms and repeated positive microbiological results for sexually transmitted infectious pathogens, microbiological screening of the patient's partner/s is recommended. Antibiotic treatments may be repeated with a more prolonged course, higher dosage and/or different compounds [228].

3.12 Acute Infective Epididymitis

3.12.1 Evidence question

In men with acute epididymitis what is the best antimicrobial treatment strategy for clinical resolution and eradication of the causative pathogen in:

1. men at low risk of gonorrhoea infection;
2. men at high risk of gonorrhoea infection?

3.12.2 Epidemiology, Aetiology and Pathophysiology

Epididymitis is a common condition with incidence ranging from 25 to 65 cases per 10,000 adult males per year and can be acute, chronic or recurrent [265]. Acute epididymitis is clinically characterised by pain, swelling and increased temperature of the epididymis, which may involve the testis and scrotal skin. It is generally caused by migration of pathogens from the urethra or bladder. Torsion of the spermatic cord (testicular torsion) is the most important differential diagnosis in boys and young men.

The predominant pathogens isolated are *C. trachomatis*, Enterobacteriaceae (typically *E. coli*) and *N. gonorrhoeae* [266]. Men who have anal intercourse and those with abnormalities of the urinary tract resulting in bacteriuria are at higher risk of epididymitis caused by Enterobacteriaceae. The mumps virus should be considered if there are viral prodromal symptoms and salivary gland enlargement. Tuberculous epididymitis may occur in high-risk groups such as men with immunodeficiency and those from high prevalence countries, it frequently results in a discharging scrotal sinus. *Brucella* or *Candida* species are rare possible pathogens.

3.12.3 Diagnostic Evaluation

Culture of a mid-stream specimen of urine should be performed and any previous urine culture results should be checked. Sexually transmitted infection with *C. trachomatis* or *N. gonorrhoeae* should be detected by NAAT on first voided urine. A urethral swab or smear should be performed for Gram staining and culture if *N. gonorrhoeae* is likely [267]. Detection of these pathogens should be reported according to local arrangements. All patients with probable sexually transmitted infections (STIs) should be advised to attend an appropriate clinic to be screened for other STIs. Men with Enterobacteriaceae may require investigation for lower urinary tract abnormalities. If tuberculous epididymitis is suspected, three sequential early morning urine samples should be cultured for acid-fast bacilli (AFB) and sent for screening by NAAT for *M. tuberculosis* DNA [268]. If appropriate prostate secretion, ejaculate, discharge from a draining scrotal fistula, as well as fine needle aspiration and biopsy specimens should be investigated using microscopy, AFB culture and NAAT.

3.12.4 Disease Management

Men with suspected STI should be informed of the risks to others and advised not to have sex until free of infection. Empirical antimicrobial therapy has to be chosen by consideration of the most probable pathogen and degree of penetration into the inflamed epididymis and may need to be varied according to local pathogen sensitivities and guidance. Generally, both *C. trachomatis* and Enterobacteriaceae should be covered initially and the regimen modified according to pathogen identification. Doxycycline and some specific fluoroquinolones have good clinical and microbiological cure rates in patients with suspected *C. trachomatis* or *M. genitalium* and both achieve adequate levels in inflamed male genital tissues with oral dosing. Macrolide antibiotics such as azithromycin are effective against *C. trachomatis* but not tested in epididymitis. Fluoroquinolones remain effective for oral treatment of Enterobacteriaceae although resistance is increasing and local advice should be sought. Fluoroquinolones should not be considered for gonorrhoea. Single high parenteral dose of a third generation cephalosporin is effective against *N. gonorrhoeae*; current resistance patterns and local public health recommendations should guide choice of agent.

Clinical response to antibiotics in men with severe epididymitis should be assessed after about three days and men with likely or proven STI should be assessed at fourteen days to check cure and ensure tracing and treatment of contacts according to local public health recommendations.

3.12.5 Evidence Summary

Relating to this chapter, three guidelines based on systematic reviews were identified [267, 269, 270] with search dates of December 2009, March 2012 and April 2013 respectively. No evidence quality assessments were detailed. A structured search of the literature from January 2010 to May 2017 identified 1,108 titles of which 46 were selected for full text review and six were included [271-276]. In addition, a high quality RCT outside the search dates was identified which demonstrated that 10-day course of ciprofloxacin was superior to pivampicillin for clinical cure (80% versus 60%) in men aged > 40 years [277]. Data from a large comparative case series suggested that young age and history of sexual activity are not sufficiently predictive of a sexually transmitted pathogen to guide antibiotic treatment in acute epididymitis [275].

Empiric antibiotic regimens from existing guidelines [267, 269, 270] and panel consensus:

1. For men with acute epididymitis at low risk of gonorrhoea (e.g. no discharge) a single agent or combination of two agents of sufficient dose and duration to eradicate *C. trachomatis* and Enterobacteriaceae should be used. Appropriate options are:
 - A. A fluoroquinolone active against *C. trachomatis* orally once daily for ten to fourteen days*
 - OR**
 - B. Doxycycline 200 mg initial dose by mouth and then 100 mg twice daily for ten to fourteen days* plus an antibiotic active against Enterobacteriaceae** for ten to fourteen days*
2. For men with likely gonorrhoeal acute epididymitis a combination regimen active against Gonococcus and *C. trachomatis* must be used such as:
 - A. Ceftriaxone 500 mg intramuscularly single dose plus Doxycycline 200 mg initial dose by mouth and then 100 mg twice daily for ten to fourteen days*
3. For non-sexually active men with acute epididymitis a single agent of sufficient dose and duration to eradicate Enterobacteriaceae should be used. Appropriate option is a fluoroquinolone by mouth once daily for ten to fourteen days**

*Depending upon pathogen identification and clinical response.

** A parenteral option will be required for men with severe infection requiring hospitalisation.

Surgical exploration may be required to drain abscesses or debride tissue. A comparative cohort study found that lack of separation of epididymis and testis on palpation and the presence of abscess on US may predict requirement for surgery following initial antibiotic treatment [271].

A cohort study found semen parameters may be impaired during epididymitis but recovered following successful treatment [274]. Comparative clinician cohort studies suggest adherence to guidelines for assessment and treatment of epididymitis is low, particularly by urologists compared to sexual health specialists [272] and by primary care physicians [273].

3.12.6 Screening


A large cohort screening study for carriage of *C. trachomatis* including a randomly selected group of 5,000 men of whom 1,033 were tested showed no benefit in terms of reduction in risk of epididymitis over 9 years of observation [276].

3.12.7 Summary of evidence and recommendations for the diagnosis and treatment of acute infective epididymitis

Summary of Evidence	LE
In young sexually active patients both STIs and Enterobacteriaceae have to be considered as aetiological agents.	3
In patients > 40 years antibiotic therapy with ciprofloxacin is superior to pivmecillinam.	1b
A negative sexual risk history does not exclude STIs in sexually active men.	3

Recommendations	Strength rating
Obtain a mid-stream urine and a first voided urine for pathogen identification by culture and nucleic acid amplification test.	Strong
Initially prescribe a single antibiotic or a combination of two antibiotics active against <i>Chlamydia trachomatis</i> and Enterobacteriaceae in young sexually active men; in older men without sexual risk factors only Enterobacteriaceae have to be considered.	Strong
If gonorrhoeal infection is likely give single dose ceftriaxone 500 mg intramuscularly in addition to a course of an antibiotic active against <i>Chlamydia trachomatis</i> .	Strong
Adjust antibiotic agent when pathogen has been identified and adjust duration according to clinical response.	Weak
Follow national policies on reporting and tracing/treatment of contacts for sexually transmitted infections.	Strong

Figure 2: Diagnostic and treatment algorithm for adult men with acute epididymitis



3.13 Fournier's Gangrene (Necrotizing fasciitis of the perineum and external genitalia)

3.13.1 Evidence questions

1. What is the best antimicrobial treatment strategy to reduce mortality?
2. What is the best debridement and reconstruction strategy to reduce mortality and aid recovery?
3. Are there any effective adjuvant treatments that improve outcome?

3.13.2 Epidemiology, Aetiology and Pathophysiology

Fournier's gangrene is an aggressive and frequently fatal polymicrobial soft tissue infection of the perineum, peri-anal region, and external genitalia [278]. It is an anatomical sub-category of necrotising fasciitis with which it shares a common aetiology and management pathway.

3.13.3 Diagnostic Evaluation

Typically there is painful swelling of the scrotum or perineum with sepsis [278]. Examination shows small necrotic areas of skin with surrounding erythema and oedema. Crepitus on palpation and a foul-smelling exudate occurs with more advanced disease. Patient risk factors for occurrence and mortality include immunocompromise, most commonly diabetes or malnutrition, recent urethral or perineal surgery, and high body mass index (BMI). In up to 40% of cases, the onset is more insidious with undiagnosed pain often resulting in delayed treatment [279]. A high index of suspicion and careful examination, particularly of obese patients, is required. Computed tomography or MRI can help define para-rectal involvement, suggesting the need for bowel diversion [278].

3.13.4 Disease Management

The degree of internal necrosis is usually vastly greater than suggested by external signs, and consequently, adequate, repeated surgical debridement with urinary diversion by suprapubic catheter is necessary to reduce mortality [278]. Consensus from case series suggests that surgical debridement should be early (< 24 hours) and complete, as delayed and/or inadequate surgery may result in higher mortality [278]. Immediate empiric

parenteral antibiotic treatment should be given that covers all probable causative organisms and can penetrate inflammatory tissue. A suggested regime would comprise a broad-spectrum penicillin or third-generation cephalosporin, gentamicin and metronidazole or clindamycin [278]. This can then be refined, guided by microbiological culture.

3.13.5 Evidence Summary

A systematic literature search from 1980 to July 2017 was performed. From 640 references one RCT [280], two systematic reviews [281, 282], one narrative review [278], three registry studies [283-285], one prospective cohort study [286] and two retrospective comparative cohort studies with at least 25 patients [287, 288] were selected. The three registry studies from the United States [283-285], found mortality rates of 10%, 7.5% and 5% from 650, 1,641 and 9,249 cases, respectively. Older age, diabetes and high BMI were associated with higher risk. A prospective cohort study showed that disease-specific severity scores did predict outcome but were not superior to generic scoring systems for critical care [286]. Concerning the evidence questions:

1. A low quality retrospective case series [287] with 168 patients found no significant difference in mortality between patients given ≤ 10 days of parenteral antibiotics (80 patients) and those given > 10 days (88 patients).
2. A systematic review of wound closure techniques [282] found low quality evidence from 16 case series involving 425 male patients. They recommended primary or secondary wound closure for scrotal defects $\leq 50\%$ with the use of flaps or skin grafts for defects involving $> 50\%$ of the scrotum or with extension outside the scrotum.
3. A systematic review on the use of hyperbaric oxygen therapy [281] included three comparative case series and four other case series. All were retrospective and published prior to 2000. No consistent evidence of benefit was found; an RCT was advised. A more recent comparative case series [288] suggested benefit for use of hyperbaric oxygen therapy in 16 patients compared to 12 cases without use of such therapy in terms of reduced mortality and fewer debridements (low quality evidence). A low quality RCT [280] with 30 patients found that use of honey soaked dressings resulted in a shorter hospital stay (28 vs. 32 days) than dressing soaked with Edinburg solution of lime (EUSOL). We found no evidence of benefit for use of negative-pressure (vacuum) wound therapy in Fournier's gangrene.

3.13.6 Summary of evidence and recommendations for the disease management of Fournier's Gangrene

Summary of Evidence	LE
Immediate empiric parenteral antibiotic treatment should be given that covers all probable causative organisms and can penetrate inflammatory tissue.	3
A systematic review of wound closure techniques recommended primary or secondary wound closure for scrotal defects $\leq 50\%$ with the use of flaps or skin grafts for defects involving $> 50\%$ of the scrotum or with extension outside the scrotum.	3
No consistent evidence of benefit for hyperbaric oxygen therapy was found.	3
A low quality RCT found that dressings soaked in honey resulted in a shorter hospital stay than dressing soaked with EUSOL.	3
No evidence of benefit for use of negative-pressure (vacuum) wound therapy in Fournier's gangrene was found.	4

Recommendations	Strength rating
Start treatment for Fournier's gangrene with broad-spectrum antibiotics on presentation, with subsequent refinement according to culture and clinical response.	Strong
Commence repeated surgical debridement for Fournier's gangrene within 24 hours of presentation.	Strong
Do not use adjunctive treatments for Fournier's gangrene except in the context of clinical trials.	Weak

Table 11: Suggested regimens for antimicrobial therapy for Fournier's Gangrene of mixed microbiological aetiology [289].

Antimicrobial	Dosage
Piperacillin-tazobactam plus vancomycin	3.37 g every 6-8 h IV 15 mg/kg every 12 h
Imipenem-cilastatin	1 g every 6-8 h IV
Meropenem	1 g every 8 h IV
Ertapenem	1 g once daily
Cefotaxime plus metronidazole or clindamycin	2 g every 6 h IV 500 mg every 6 h IV 600-900 mg every 8 h IV

IV = intravenous

3.14 Detection of bacteriuria prior to urological procedures

3.14.1 Evidence question

What is the diagnostic accuracy of alternative urinary investigations compared with urine culture for the diagnosis of bacteriuria in adult patients prior to urological interventions?

3.14.2 Background

Identifying bacteriuria prior to diagnostic and therapeutic procedures aims to reduce the risk of infectious complications by controlling any pre-operative detected bacteriuria and to optimise antimicrobial coverage in conjunction with the procedure. However, the absence of bacteriuria by itself is not an assurance against infectious complications and antimicrobial prophylaxis according to section 3.15 is recommended. The standard method, laboratory culture of an appropriate urine sample, is time consuming and logistically difficult. Alternative rapid near-patient methods such as reagent strip (dipstick) urinalysis, automated microscopy, flow cytometry, and dipslide culture have been developed but their diagnostic accuracy is uncertain.

3.14.3 Evidence summary

A systematic search of the literature to February 2015 identified 3,033 titles of which 210 were selected for full text review and 18 studies investigating diagnostic accuracy of different index tests with urine culture as the reference standard were included [290-307]. None of the studies focused on a urology patient population.

3.14.3.1 Reagents strip (dipstick) urinalysis

Sixteen studies assessed dipstick urine analysis using a variety of criteria for a positive test [290-298, 301-303]. The criterion that resulted in the best overall diagnostic accuracy was when a positive test was defined as at least one of nitrite and leucocyte esterase being detected however, low sensitivity (0.8) limits clinical usefulness, in the setting of assessment of bacteriuria, prior to urological surgery.

3.14.3.2 Automated microscopy

Two studies used automated microscopy of urine sediment following centrifugation [299, 303]. Although sensitivity was high (0.98), specificity was too low for effective use in this setting (0.59) and optimum diagnostic thresholds were not determined.

3.14.3.3 Dipslide culture

Two studies on dipslide technology using different culture media were identified [300, 307]. In one study diagnostic accuracy was high (0.98) although contaminated samples were excluded [308]. The other study showed lower accuracy, below the level required in this setting [300]. Overall, dipslide technology is currently unsuited for routine use in this setting with further studies required to determine the best combination of culture media.

3.14.3.4 Flow cytometry

No studies on this technology met the inclusion criteria. The poor quality of available studies was confirmed in a meta-analysis [309]. In summary, laboratory urine culture remains the standard investigation to detect both the presence and absence of clinically relevant concentrations of bacteria in urine.

Summary of Evidence	LE
None of the alternative urinary investigations for the diagnosis of bacteriuria in adult patients prior to urological interventions can currently be recommended as an alternative to urine culture.	1b

Recommendations	Strength rating
Use laboratory urine culture to detect bacteriuria in patients prior to undergoing urological interventions breaching the mucosa.	Weak

3.15 Peri-Procedural Antibiotic Prophylaxis

3.15.1 General Principles

3.15.1.1 Definition of infectious complications

The European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) and the CDC have both presented similar definitions that are recommended for the evaluation of infectious complications [310, 311].

3.15.1.2 Non-antibiotic measures for asepsis

There are a number of non-antibiotic measures designed to reduce the risk of surgical site infection (SSI), many are historically part of the routine of surgery. The effectiveness of measures tested by RCTs are summarised in systematic reviews conducted by the Cochrane Wounds Group (<http://wounds.cochrane.org/news/reviews>). Urological surgeons and the institutions in which they work should consider and monitor maintenance of an aseptic environment to reduce risk of infection from pathogens within patients (microbiome) and from outside the patient (nosocomial/healthcare associated). This should include use of correct methods of instrument cleaning and sterilisation, frequent and thorough cleaning of operating rooms and recovery areas and thorough disinfection of any contamination. The surgical team should prepare to perform surgery by effective hand washing [312], donning of appropriate protective clothing and maintenance of asepsis. These measures should continue as required in recovery and ward areas.

Patients should be encouraged to shower pre-operatively but use of chlorhexidine soap does not appear to be beneficial [313]. Although evidence quality is low, any required hair removal appears best done by clipping, rather than shaving, just prior to incision [314]. Mechanical bowel preparation should not be used as evidence review suggests harm not benefit [315, 316]. There is some weak evidence that skin preparation using alcoholic solutions of chlorhexidine results in a lower rate of SSI than iodine solutions [317]. Studies of use of plastic adherent drapes showed no evidence of benefit in reducing SSI [318].

3.15.1.3 Choice of agent

Urologists should have knowledge of local pathogen prevalence for each type of procedure, their antibiotic susceptibility profiles and virulence in order to establish written local guidelines. These guidelines should cover the five modalities identified by the ECDC following a systematic review of the literature [319]. The agent should ideally not be one that may be required for treatment of infection. When risk of skin wound infection is low or absent, an aminoglycoside (gentamicin) should provide cover against likely uropathogens provided eGFR > 20 ml/min; second generation cephalosporins are an alternative [320]. Recent urine culture results including presence of any multi-resistant organisms, drug allergy, history of *C. difficile* associated diarrhoea, recent antibiotic exposure, evidence of symptomatic infection pre-procedure and serum creatinine should be checked. The panel have decided not to make recommendations for specific agents for particular procedures as there is considerable variation in Europe and worldwide regarding bacterial pathogens, their susceptibility and availability of antibiotic agents.

3.15.2 Specific procedures and evidence question

A literature search from 1980 to February 2017 identified RCTs, systematic reviews and meta-analyses that investigated the benefits and harms of using antibiotic prophylaxis prior to specific urological procedures. The available evidence enabled the panel to make recommendations concerning urodynamics, cystoscopy, stone procedures (extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy [ESWL], ureteroscopy and per-cutaneous nephrolithotomy [PCNL]), transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP) and transurethral resection of the bladder (TURB). For nephrectomy and prostatectomy the scientific evidence was too weak to allow the panel to make recommendations either for or against antibiotic prophylaxis. The general evidence question was: Does antibiotic prophylaxis reduce the rate of post-operative symptomatic urinary tract infection in patients undergoing each named procedure?

3.15.2.1 Urodynamics

The literature search identified one Cochrane review with search date of December 2009 [321] and two later RCTs [322, 323]. Foon *et al.*, identified nine RCTs enrolling 973 patients with overall low quality and high or unclear risks of bias. The outcome of clinical UTI was reported in four trials with no benefit found for antibiotic prophylaxis versus placebo [RR (95%CI) 0.73 (0.52-1.03)]. A meta-analysis of nine trials showed that use of antibiotics reduced the rate of post-procedural bacteriuria [RR (95%CI) 0.35 (0.22-0.56)] [321]. Neither Hirakauva *et al.*, or Gurburz *et al.*, reported a clinical UTI outcome and had conflicting findings for reduction in risk of bacteriuria [322, 323].

3.15.2.2 Cystoscopy

The literature search identified two systematic reviews and meta-analyses with search dates of April 2014 and December 2013 respectively [324, 325]. No additional RCTs subsequent to these dates were found. Garcia-Perdomo *et al.*, included seven RCTS with a total of 3,038 participants. The outcome of symptomatic UTI was measured by five trials of moderate quality overall and meta-analysis showed a benefit for using antibiotic prophylaxis (RR (95%CI) = 0.53 (0.31 – 0.90); ARR 1.3% (from 2.8% to 1.5%) with a NNT of 74 [325]. This benefit was not seen if only the two trials with low risk of bias were used in the meta-analysis. Carey *et al.*, included seven RCTs with 5,107 participants. Six trials were included in meta-analysis of the outcome of symptomatic bacteriuria which found benefit for use of antibiotic prophylaxis RR (95%CI) = 0.34 (0.27 – 0.47); ARR = 3.4% (from 6% to 2.6%) with NNT = 28 [324]. Given the low absolute risk of post-procedural UTI in well-resourced countries, the high number of procedures being performed, and the high risk of contributing to increasing antimicrobial resistance the panel consensus was to strongly recommend not to use antibiotic prophylaxis in patients undergoing urethroscopy (flexible or rigid).

3.15.2.3 Interventions for urinary stone treatment

3.15.2.3.1 Extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy

For patients without bacteriuria undergoing ESWL two systematic reviews and meta-analyses were identified with latest search dates of November 2011 and October 2012, respectively [326, 327]. The literature search to February 2017 identified one further trial [328]. Lu *et al.*, included nine RCTs with a total of 1,364 patients and found no evidence of benefit in terms of reducing the rate of post-procedural fever or bacteriuria [326]. Mrkobrada *et al.*, included eight RCTs with a total of 940 participants and found no evidence of benefit for antibiotic prophylaxis to reduce rate of fever or trial-defined infection [327]. The RCT reported by Hsieh *et al.*, with 274 patients had a severe risk of bias. It found no reduction in fever at up to one week post-procedure using a single dose of levofloxacin 500 mg and no difference in the rate of bacteriuria [328].

For patients with bacteriuria or deemed at high risk of complications one RCT comparing the use of ofloxacin or trimethoprim-sulphamethoxazole for three days prior and four days subsequent to ESWL in 56 patients with ureteric stents was identified [329]. They found no difference in rate of clinical UTI at seven days (no events) and no difference in post-ESWL bacteriuria.

3.15.2.3.2 Ureteroscopy

A single systematic review [330] and two meta-analyses [331, 332] with latest search date of December 2013 were identified. Bootsma *et al.*, and Dahm *et al.*, included two low quality RCTs with a total of 233 participants and showed low grade evidence that antibiotic prophylaxis reduced risk of bacteriuria but not of clinical UTI [330, 331]. Lo *et al.*, included four RCTs with a total of 386 patients and found no evidence of benefit in reducing rate of clinical UTI [332]. The rate of bacteriuria was reduced using antibiotic prophylaxis. Panel discussion considered that despite low quality evidence suggesting no benefit in reducing risk of clinical UTI, clinicians and patients would prefer to use prophylaxis to prevent kidney infection or sepsis. Ideally this should be examined in a robustly designed clinical study.

3.15.2.3.3 Per-cutaneous nephrolithotomy

A single systematic review and meta-analysis with latest search date of October 2012 was identified which addressed whether or not antibiotic prophylaxis reduce the rate of clinical urinary infection following PNL [327]. The update search to February 2017 identified no further trials. Mrkobrada *et al.*, included five RCTs with 448 participants and pooled patients undergoing PNL or ureteroscopy. They showed a moderate level of evidence that antibiotic prophylaxis was associated with a statistically significant reduction in the risk of post-procedural UTI.

Two RCTs with overall low risk of bias comparing different antibiotic regimes in PNL were identified [333, 334]. Seyrek *et al.*, compared the rate of SIRS following PNL in 191 patients receiving either a combination of sulbactam/ampicillin or cefuroxime. There was no difference in SIRS or urosepsis rates [333]. Tuzel *et al.*, investigated single dose ceftriaxone versus ceftriaxone plus a third-generation cephalosporin until after nephrostomy catheter withdrawal at mean (SD) of 3 (1) days in 73 participants undergoing PNL. They found no difference in rate of infectious complications between the two antibiotic regimens [334]. These two studies give moderate evidence that a single dose of a suitable agent was adequate for prophylaxis against clinical infection after PNL.

3.15.2.4 Transurethral resection of prostate

A systematic review of 39 RCTs with search date up to 2009 was identified [331]. The update search to February 2017 did not reveal any further relevant studies. Of the 39 RCTs reviewed by Dahm *et al.*, six trials involving 1,666 men addressed the risk of septic episodes, 17 trials reported procedure related fever and 39 investigated bacteriuria. Use of prophylactic antibiotics compared to placebo showed a relative risk reduction

(95% CI) for septic episode of 0.51 (0.27-0.96) with ARR of 2% from 3.4% to 1.4% NNT = 50. The risk reduction (95% CI) for fever was 0.64 (0.55-0.75) and 0.37 (0.32-0.41) for bacteriuria.

3.15.2.5 *Transurethral resection bladder tumour*

A literature search to February 2017 found one systematic review [330] which included two trials with a total of 152 participants. No more recent RCTs were identified. The two reviewed trials found no difference in rate of bacteriuria and either had no clinical UTI events, or did not report clinical UTI. The review did not attempt sub-group analysis according to presence of risk factors for post-operative infection such as tumour size. Panel discussion concluded that a weak recommendation to use antibiotic prophylaxis for patients undergoing TURBT who had a high risk of suffering post-operative sepsis would be appropriate.

3.15.3 **Summary of evidence and recommendations for peri-procedural antibiotic prophylaxis**

Summary of Evidence	LE
The outcome of clinical UTI was reported in four out of eleven RCTs with no benefit found for antibiotic prophylaxis versus placebo in patients following filling and voiding cystometry.	1b
A meta-analysis of five trials of moderate quality showed a benefit for using antibiotic prophylaxis for the reduction of symptomatic UTI in patients undergoing cystoscopy. However, this benefit was not seen if only the two trials with low risk of bias were used in the meta-analysis.	1a
Two meta-analyses found no benefit for antibiotic prophylaxis following ESWL in terms of reducing the rate of post-procedural fever and bacteriuria or trial-defined infection in patients without bacteriuria.	1a
Two meta-analyses found no evidence of benefit for antibiotic prophylaxis prior to ureteroscopy in reducing the rate of clinical UTI however, the rate of bacteriuria was reduced.	1a
A meta-analysis of five RCTs demonstrated a moderate level of evidence that antibiotic prophylaxis was associated with a statistically significant reduction in the risk of post-procedural UTI following PNL.	1a
Two RCTs concluded that a single dose of a suitable agent was adequate for prophylaxis against clinical infection after PNL.	1b
A systematic review of 39 RCTs concluded that antibiotic prophylaxis reduced the rate of infectious complications in men undergoing TURP.	1b
A systematic review of two RCTs found no benefit for antibiotic prophylaxis in patients undergoing TURB.	1b

Recommendations	Strength rating
Do not use antibiotic prophylaxis to reduce the rate of symptomatic urinary infection following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> urodynamics; cystoscopy; extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy. 	Strong
Use antibiotic prophylaxis to reduce the rate of symptomatic urinary infection following ureteroscopy.	Weak
Use single dose antibiotic prophylaxis to reduce the rate of clinical urinary infection following percutaneous nephrolithotomy.	Strong
Use antibiotic prophylaxis to reduce infectious complications in men undergoing transurethral resection of the prostate.	Strong
Use antibiotic prophylaxis to reduce infectious complications in high-risk patients undergoing transurethral resection of the bladder.	Weak

3.16 **Prostate biopsy**

3.16.1 **Evidence question**

Which strategies are effective for reducing the risk of infective complications in men undergoing prostate biopsy?

3.16.2 **Epidemiology, Aetiology and Pathophysiology**

Histological examination of needle biopsies of the prostate is the principle method for prostate cancer diagnosis. Prostate biopsy is a common procedure in high-resource countries with, for example, about 32,000 procedures performed in England during 2013 [335] giving a rate of 2.6/1,000 men at risk per year. Transrectal ultrasound-guided biopsy (TRUS) is the current standard technique although the transperineal route is also

used [336]. Infection is the most clinically significant harm experienced by men following prostate biopsy. There is some evidence that the risk is increasing [337]. Infection generally occurs by implantation of rectal commensal organisms into the prostate, urethra or bloodstream during needle insertion. Severity of infection will depend on bacterial inoculum, virulence and status of host defence.

3.16.3 **Diagnostic Evaluation**

Urine culture prior to prostate biopsy has an uncertain predictive value [338].

3.16.4 **Disease Management**

The focus is on prevention of infectious complications. Possible strategies include antimicrobial prophylaxis and non-antimicrobial strategies, the effectiveness of which will be described in this section. Established infection is treated according to standard pathways [335].

3.16.5 **Evidence summary**

A systematic search of the literature to September 2016 identified 1,834 titles of which 189 were selected for full text review and 100 RCTs were included [339-438].

3.16.6 **Non-antimicrobial interventions**

3.16.6.1 *Number of biopsy cores*

Meta-analysis of seven trials involving 1,290 men found no evidence that extended biopsy (> 6-24 cores) templates resulted in more infectious complications than standard templates (6-12 cores) [RR (95% CIs) = 1.71 (0.70 – 4.16)] [355, 363, 400, 402, 403, 405, 418].

3.16.6.2 *Peri-prostatic injection of local anaesthetic*

A meta-analysis of 28 RCTs with 3,912 participants found no evidence that use of peri-prostatic injection of local anaesthesia resulted in a higher rate of infectious complications compared to no injection [339, 344, 345, 350, 361, 364, 366, 369-371, 373-375, 377, 384, 390, 393, 395-398, 404, 406, 408, 411, 412, 416, 417]. Four other RCTs with 497 patients compared different numbers of injections performed for peri-prostatic injection of local anaesthetic. Here, no difference was found in infective complications [RR (95% CIs) = 1.51 (0.26 – 8.97)] [359, 380, 391, 439].

3.16.6.3 *Route of biopsy*

Three RCTs involving 646 men compared transrectal and transperineal routes of biopsy. Overall two men (0.4%) suffered infectious complications after transperineal biopsy, compared to five (1.1%) after transrectal biopsy [RR (95% CIs) = 0.45 (0.10 – 1.97)]. The studies were heterogeneous in design, did not state how infectious outcomes were assessed and used differing antimicrobial prophylaxis between arms.

3.16.6.4 *Rectal preparation*

A meta-analysis of three studies including 209 men evaluated the use of rectal preparation by enema before transrectal biopsy. No significant advantage was found regarding infectious complications [RR (95% CIs) = 0.76 (0.40 to 1.46)] [389, 399, 419].

Meta-analysis of six trials including 1,373 men showed that use of a rectal povidone-iodine preparation before biopsy in addition to antimicrobial prophylaxis resulted in a lower rate of infectious complications [RR (95% CIs) = 0.58 (0.43 to 0.76)] [356, 360, 376, 430, 434, 436]. Single RCTs showed no evidence of benefit for perineal skin disinfection [351] but reported an advantage for rectal povidone-iodine preparation before biopsy compared to after biopsy [349].

3.16.6.5 *Other interventions*

Combining data from two RCTs with 253 participants showed that biopsy using disposable needle guides resulted in nine infectious complications compared to 22 with reusable biopsy needle guides. The difference was not significant [RR (95% CIs) = 0.51 (0.24 to 1.06)] [365, 382]. A single RCT found no evidence that disinfection of a single patient use needle between cores resulted in fewer infectious complications [404]. Another single study evaluated the needle size and did not find significant differences between a 16 G and an 18 G needle size [394].

Recommendations	Strength rating
Use rectal cleansing with povidone-iodine in men prior to transrectal prostate biopsy.	Strong

3.16.7 Antimicrobial prophylaxis

The meta-analysis on eleven studies with 1,753 patients showed significantly reduced infections after biopsy when using antimicrobial prophylaxis as compared to placebo/control [RR (95% CIs) = 0.56 (0.40 to 0.77)] [389, 415, 420, 421, 423, 430, 431, 433, 436, 437]. Thus, antimicrobial prophylaxis is strongly recommended. However, the choice of regimens and duration of prophylaxis remains debatable. Most commonly fluorochinolones are applied [352, 372, 381, 414, 421, 438]. Due to the increase in fluorochinolone resistance recent studies have investigated alternatives like fosfomycin trometamol [352], or suggest targeted antimicrobial prophylaxis based on rectal swab [348]. While the available Cochrane review of 2011 suggests a one-day prophylaxis with a single agent [440], a recent systematic analysis has pointed towards an augmented antimicrobial therapy [441]. A meta-analysis on this issue by the guideline panel is ongoing and will be finalised next year.

Recommendations	Strength rating
Use antimicrobial prophylaxis in men prior to transrectal prostate biopsy.	Strong

4. REFERENCES

1. Stein, R., *et al.* Urinary tract infections in children: EAU/ESPU guidelines. *Eur Urol*, 2015. 67: 546. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25477258>
2. Blok, B., *et al.* EAU Guidelines on Neuro-urology. In: EAU Guidelines, edition presented at the annual EAU Congress Copenhagen 2018. ISBN 978-94-92671-01-1
3. MacLennan, S., *et al.* Which strategies are effective for reducing the risk of infective complications in men undergoing prostate biopsy? PROSPERO, 2015. CRD42015026354. http://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospéro/display_record.asp?ID=CRD42015026354
4. Guyatt, G.H., *et al.* GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ*, 2008. 336: 924. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18436948>
5. Guyatt, G.H., *et al.* What is "quality of evidence" and why is it important to clinicians? *BMJ*, 2008. 336: 995. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18456631>
6. Phillips B, *et al.* Oxford Centre for Evidence-based Medicine Levels of Evidence. Updated by Jeremy Howick March 2009. 1998. <http://www.cebm.net/oxford-centre-evidence-based-medicine-levels-evidence-march-2009/>
7. Guyatt, G.H., *et al.* Going from evidence to recommendations. *BMJ*, 2008. 336: 1049. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18467413>
8. Horan, T.C., *et al.* CDC/NHSN surveillance definition of health care-associated infection and criteria for specific types of infections in the acute care setting. *Am J Infect Control*, 2008. 36: 309. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18538699>
9. Rubin, R.H., *et al.* Evaluation of new anti-infective drugs for the treatment of urinary tract infection. Infectious Diseases Society of America and the Food and Drug Administration. *Clin Infect Dis*, 1992. 15 Suppl 1: S216. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1477233>
10. Rubin, U.H.S.E., *et al.* General guidelines for the evaluation of new anti-infective drugs for the treatment of urinary tract infection. The European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious diseases. Taukirchen, Germany., 1993: 240.
11. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, F.a.D.A., Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER). Guidance for Industry Uncomplicated Urinary Tract Infections — Developing Antimicrobial Drugs for Treatment. 1998. <https://www.fda.gov/ohrms/dockets/98fr/2567dft.pdf>
12. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, F.a.D.A., Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER). Complicated Urinary Tract Infections: Developing Drugs for Treatment Guidance for Industry 2015. <https://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/.../Guidances/ucm070981.pdf>
13. Johansen, T.E., *et al.* Critical review of current definitions of urinary tract infections and proposal of an EAU/ESIU classification system. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*, 2011. 38 Suppl: 64. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22018988>

14. Singer, M., *et al.* The Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock (Sepsis-3). *JAMA*, 2016. 315: 801.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26903338>
15. Bell, B.G., *et al.* A systematic review and meta-analysis of the effects of antibiotic consumption on antibiotic resistance. *BMC Infect Dis*, 2014. 14: 13.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24405683>
16. WHO. Antimicrobial resistance: global report on surveillance 2014.
<http://www.who.int/drugresistance/documents/surveillancereport/en/>
17. Hulscher, M.E., *et al.* Antibiotic prescribing in hospitals: a social and behavioural scientific approach. *Lancet Infect Dis*, 2010. 10: 167.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20185095>
18. Goff, D.A., *et al.* A global call from five countries to collaborate in antibiotic stewardship: united we succeed, divided we might fail. *Lancet Infect Dis*, 2017. 17: e56.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27866945>
19. Dellit, T.H., *et al.* Infectious Diseases Society of America and the Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America guidelines for developing an institutional program to enhance antimicrobial stewardship. *Clin Infect Dis*, 2007. 44: 159.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17173212>
20. Davey, P., *et al.* Interventions to improve antibiotic prescribing practices for hospital inpatients. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, 2017. 2: CD003543.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23633313>
21. Cefai, C., *et al.* Antimicrobial stewardship: systems and processes for effective antimicrobial medicine use. NICE Guidelines, 2015.
<https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng15>
22. Schuts, E.C., *et al.* Current evidence on hospital antimicrobial stewardship objectives: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis*, 2016. 16: 847.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26947617>
23. Hermanides, H.S., *et al.* Development of quality indicators for the antibiotic treatment of complicated urinary tract infections: a first step to measure and improve care. *Clin Infect Dis*, 2008. 46: 703.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18230045>
24. Spoorenberg, V., *et al.* Appropriate antibiotic use for patients with urinary tract infections reduces length of hospital stay. *Clin Infect Dis*, 2014. 58: 164.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24158412>
25. Lutay, N., *et al.* Bacterial control of host gene expression through RNA polymerase II. *J Clin Invest*, 2013. 123: 2366.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23728172>
26. Hansson, S., *et al.* Untreated asymptomatic bacteriuria in girls: II--Effect of phenoxymethylpenicillin and erythromycin given for intercurrent infections. *BMJ*, 1989. 298: 856.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2497823>
27. Cai, T., *et al.* The role of asymptomatic bacteriuria in young women with recurrent urinary tract infections: To treat or not to treat? *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 2012. 55: 771.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22677710>
28. Nicolle, L.E., *et al.* Infectious diseases society of America guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of asymptomatic bacteriuria in adults. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 2005. 40: 643.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15714408>
29. Kass, E.H. Asymptomatic infections of the urinary tract. *Trans Assoc Am Physicians*, 1956. 69: 56.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/13380946>
30. Gleckman, R., *et al.* Reliability of a single urine culture in establishing diagnosis of asymptomatic bacteriuria in adult males. *J Clin Microbiol*, 1979. 9: 596.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/383746>
31. Warren, J.W., *et al.* A prospective microbiologic study of bacteriuria in patients with chronic indwelling urethral catheters. *J Infect Dis*, 1982. 146: 719.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6815281>
32. Kunin CM. Urinary tract infections: detection, prevention and management. 5th ed. Baltimore: Williams and Wilkins., 1997.
33. Koves, B., *et al.* Benefits and Harms of Treatment of Asymptomatic Bacteriuria: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis by the European Association of Urology Urological Infection Guidelines Panel. *Eur Urol*, 2017.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28754533>

34. Tencer, J. Asymptomatic bacteriuria--a long-term study. *Scand J Urol Nephrol*, 1988. 22: 31.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3387908>
35. Asscher, A.W., *et al.* The clinical significance of asymptomatic bacteriuria in the nonpregnant woman. *J Infect Dis*, 1969. 120: 17.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/5803281>
36. Elder, H.A., *et al.* The natural history of asymptomatic bacteriuria during pregnancy: the effect of tetracycline on the clinical course and the outcome of pregnancy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*, 1971. 111: 441.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/4937729>
37. Elder, H.A., *et al.* Use of sulfasymazine in the treatment of bacteriuria of pregnancy. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother (Bethesda)*, 1966. 6: 142.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/4862162>
38. Gold, E.M., *et al.* Asymptomatic bacteriuria during pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol*, 1966. 27: 206.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/5325600>
39. Kass, E.H. Pyelonephritis and bacteriuria. A major problem in preventive medicine. *Ann Intern Med*, 1962. 56: 46.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14454174>
40. Kincaid-Smith, P., *et al.* Bacteriuria in Pregnancy. *Lancet*, 1965. 1: 395.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14238090>
41. Little, P.J. The incidence of urinary infection in 5000 pregnant women. *Lancet*, 1966. 2: 925.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/4162367>
42. Mulla, N. Bacteriuria in pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol*, 1960. 16: 89.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14425118>
43. Pathak, U.N., *et al.* Bacteriuria of pregnancy: results of treatment. *J Infect Dis*, 1969. 120: 91.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/5816817>
44. Robertson, J.G., *et al.* The management and complications of asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnancy. Report of a study on 8,275 patients. *J Obstet Gynaecol Br Commonw*, 1968. 75: 59.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/5635245>
45. Thomsen, A.C., *et al.* Antibiotic elimination of group-B streptococci in urine in prevention of preterm labour. *Lancet*, 1987. 1: 591.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2881132>
46. Williams, G.L., *et al.* Urinary concentrating ability in women with asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnancy. *Br Med J*, 1969. 3: 212.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/5792611>
47. Wren, B.G. Subclinical renal infection and prematurity. *Med J Aust*, 1969. 2: 596.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/5388374>
48. Kazemier, B.M., *et al.* Maternal and neonatal consequences of treated and untreated asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnancy: a prospective cohort study with an embedded randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Infect Dis*, 2015. 15: 1324.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26255208>
49. Christopher, L.J., *et al.* A trial of hippuramide in the treatment of bacteriuria of pregnancy. *Ir J Med Sci*, 1969. 8: 331.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/5806178>
50. Reeves, D.S. Laboratory and clinical studies with sulfametopyrazine as a treatment for bacteriuria in pregnancy. *J Antimicrob Chemother*, 1975. 1: 171.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1100589>
51. Whalley, P.J., *et al.* Short-term versus continuous antimicrobial therapy for asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol*, 1977. 49: 262.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/320525>
52. Bint, A., *et al.* A comparative trial of pivmecillinam and ampicillin in bacteriuria of pregnancy. *Infection*, 1979. 7: 290.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/232697>
53. Harris, R.E., *et al.* Single-dose antimicrobial therapy for asymptomatic bacteriuria during pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol*, 1982. 59: 546.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7070725>
54. Bailey, R.R., *et al.* Comparison of single dose with a 5-day course of co-trimoxazole for asymptomatic (covert) bacteriuria of pregnancy. *Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol*, 1983. 23: 139.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6606421>

55. Masterton, R.G., *et al.* Single-dose amoxicillin in the treatment of bacteriuria in pregnancy and the puerperium--a controlled clinical trial. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol*, 1985. 92: 498.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3888250>
56. Pedler, S.J., *et al.* Comparative study of amoxicillin-clavulanic acid and cephalexin in the treatment of bacteriuria during pregnancy. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, 1985. 27: 508.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/4004191>
57. Campbell-Brown, M., *et al.* Is screening for bacteriuria in pregnancy worth while? *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed)*, 1987. 294: 1579.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3113538>
58. Pregazzi, R., *et al.* [Single-dose antibiotic therapy of asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnancy. Results and complications]. *Minerva Ginecol*, 1987. 39: 289.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3601207>
59. Gerstner, G.J., *et al.* Amoxicillin in the treatment of asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnancy: a single dose of 3 g amoxicillin versus a 4-day course of 3 doses 750 mg amoxicillin. *Gynecol Obstet Invest*, 1989. 27: 84.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2659442>
60. Olsen, L., *et al.* Single-dose versus six-day therapy with sulfamethizole for asymptomatic bacteriuria during pregnancy. A prospective randomised study. *Dan Med Bull*, 1989. 36: 486.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2680315>
61. Thoumsin, H., *et al.* Single dose fosfomycin trometamol versus multiple dose nitrofurantoin in pregnant women with bacteriuria: preliminary results. *Infection*, 1990. 18 Suppl 2: S94.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2286469>
62. Bayrak, O., *et al.* Is single-dose fosfomycin trometamol a good alternative for asymptomatic bacteriuria in the second trimester of pregnancy? *Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct*, 2007. 18: 525.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16941068>
63. Estebanez, A., *et al.* Fosfomycin in a single dose versus a 7-day course of amoxicillin- clavulanate for the treatment of asymptomatic bacteriuria during pregnancy. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis*, 2009. 28: 1457.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19768649>
64. Lumbiganon, P., *et al.* One-day compared with 7-day nitrofurantoin for asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnancy: A randomized controlled trial. *Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 2009. 113: 339.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19155904>
65. Widmer, M., *et al.* Duration of treatment for asymptomatic bacteriuria during pregnancy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, 2015: CD000491.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26560337>
66. Zhanel, G.G., *et al.* Asymptomatic bacteriuria in patients with diabetes mellitus. *Rev Infect Dis*, 1991. 13: 150.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2017615>
67. Harding, G.K., *et al.* Antimicrobial treatment in diabetic women with asymptomatic bacteriuria. *N Engl J Med*, 2002. 347: 1576.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12432044>
68. Mody, L., *et al.* Urinary tract infections in older women: a clinical review. *JAMA*, 2014. 311: 844.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24570248>
69. Boscia, J.A., *et al.* Therapy vs no therapy for bacteriuria in elderly ambulatory nonhospitalized women. *JAMA*, 1987. 257: 1067.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3806896>
70. Abrutyn, E., *et al.* Does asymptomatic bacteriuria predict mortality and does antimicrobial treatment reduce mortality in elderly ambulatory women? *Ann Intern Med*, 1994. 120: 827.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7818631>
71. Abrutyn, E., *et al.* Does treatment of asymptomatic bacteriuria in older ambulatory women reduce subsequent symptoms of urinary tract infection? *J Am Geriatr Soc*, 1996. 44: 293.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8600199>
72. Nicolle, L.E., *et al.* Prospective randomized comparison of therapy and no therapy for asymptomatic bacteriuria in institutionalized elderly women. *Am J Med*, 1987. 83: 27.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3300325>
73. Nicolle, L.E. Asymptomatic bacteriuria in the elderly. *Infect Dis Clin North Am*, 1997. 11: 647.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9378928>

74. Silver, S.A., *et al.* Positive urine cultures: A major cause of inappropriate antimicrobial use in hospitals? *Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol*, 2009. 20: 107.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21119801>
75. Trautner, B.W. Asymptomatic bacteriuria: when the treatment is worse than the disease. *Nat Rev Urol*, 2011.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22143416>
76. Nicolle, L.E., *et al.* Bacteriuria in elderly institutionalized men. *N Engl J Med*, 1983. 309: 1420.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6633618>
77. Potts, L., *et al.* A double-blind comparative study of norfloxacin versus placebo in hospitalised elderly patients with asymptomatic bacteriuria. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr*, 1996. 23: 153.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15374159>
78. Renneberg, J., *et al.* Single-day treatment with trimethoprim for asymptomatic bacteriuria in the elderly patient. *J Urol*, 1984. 132: 934.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6387184>
79. Ouslander, J.G., *et al.* Does eradicating bacteriuria affect the severity of chronic urinary incontinence in nursing home residents? *Ann Intern Med*, 1995. 122: 749.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7717597>
80. Moradi, M., *et al.* Effect of antibiotic therapy on asymptomatic bacteriuria in kidney transplant recipients. *Urol J*, 2005. 2: 32.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17629893>
81. Amari, E.B.E., *et al.* Outcome of treated and untreated asymptomatic bacteriuria in renal transplant recipients. *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation*, 2011. 26: 4109.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21592976>
82. Green, H., *et al.* Consequences of treated versus untreated asymptomatic bacteriuria in the first year following kidney transplantation: Retrospective observational study. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis*, 2013. 32: 127.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22918514>
83. Origuén, J., *et al.* Should asymptomatic bacteriuria be systematically treated in kidney transplant recipients? Results from a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Transplant*, 2016.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27088545>
84. Nicolle, L.E. Urinary tract infections in patients with spinal injuries. *Curr Infect Dis Rep*, 2014. 16: 390.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24445675>
85. Wullt, B., *et al.* Bladder, bowel and bugs--bacteriuria in patients with intestinal urinary diversion. *World J Urol*, 2004. 22: 186.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15309491>
86. Darouiche, R.O., *et al.* Bacterial interference for prevention of urinary tract infection: a prospective, randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind pilot trial. *Clin Infect Dis*, 2005. 41: 1531.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16231269>
87. Sunden, F., *et al.* *Escherichia coli* 83972 bacteriuria protects against recurrent lower urinary tract infections in patients with incomplete bladder emptying. *J Urol*, 2010. 184: 179.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20473149>
88. Tenke, P., *et al.* European and Asian guidelines on management and prevention of catheter-associated urinary tract infections. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*, 2008. 31 Suppl 1: S68.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18006279>
89. Cooper, F.P., *et al.* Policies for replacing long-term indwelling urinary catheters in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, 2016. 7: CD011115.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27457774>
90. Dasgupta, R., *et al.* Preoperative antibiotics before endourologic surgery: current recommendations. *J Endourol*, 2009. 23: 1567.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19785548>
91. Sobel, J.D., *et al.* Candiduria: a randomized, double-blind study of treatment with fluconazole and placebo. The National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) Mycoses Study Group. *Clin Infect Dis*, 2000. 30: 19.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10619727>
92. Grabe, M., *et al.* The effect of a short antibiotic course in transurethral prostatic resection. *Scand J Urol Nephrol*, 1984. 18: 37.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6202000>

93. Grabe, M., *et al.* Controlled trial of a short and a prolonged course with ciprofloxacin in patients undergoing transurethral prostatic surgery. *Eur J Clin Microbiol*, 1987. 6: 11.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3569248>
94. Cafferkey, M.T., *et al.* Antibiotics for the prevention of septicaemia in urology. *J Antimicrob Chemother*, 1982. 9: 471.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7107549>
95. Murphy, D.M., *et al.* Bacteraemia during prostatectomy and other transurethral operations: influence of timing of antibiotic administration. *J Clin Pathol*, 1984. 37: 673.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6725613>
96. Chong, J.T., *et al.* Pre-procedural antibiotics for endoscopic urological procedures: Initial experience in individuals with spinal cord injury and asymptomatic bacteriuria. *J Spinal Cord Med*, 2015. 38: 187.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24621035>
97. Cordero-Ampuero, J., *et al.* Are antibiotics necessary in hip arthroplasty with asymptomatic bacteriuria? Seeding risk with/without treatment. *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*, 2013. 471: 3822.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23430723>
98. Sousa, R., *et al.* Is asymptomatic bacteriuria a risk factor for prosthetic joint infection? *Clin Infect Dis*, 2014. 59: 41.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24723280>
99. Foxman, B. Epidemiology of urinary tract infections: incidence, morbidity, and economic costs. *Dis Mon*, 2003. 49: 53.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12601337>
100. Naber, K.G., *et al.* Surveillance study in Europe and Brazil on clinical aspects and Antimicrobial Resistance Epidemiology in Females with Cystitis (ARESC): implications for empiric therapy. *Eur Urol*, 2008. 54: 1164.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18511178>
101. Wagenlehner, F.M., *et al.* Uncomplicated urinary tract infections. *Dtsch Arztebl Int*, 2011. 108: 415.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21776311>
102. Stamm, W.E., *et al.* Management of urinary tract infections in adults. *N Engl J Med*, 1993. 329: 1328.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8413414>
103. Foxman, B., *et al.* Urinary tract infection among women aged 40 to 65: behavioral and sexual risk factors. *J Clin Epidemiol*, 2001. 54: 710.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11438412>
104. Bent, S., *et al.* Does this woman have an acute uncomplicated urinary tract infection? *JAMA*, 2002. 287: 2701.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12020306>
105. Bradbury, S.M. Collection of urine specimens in general practice: to clean or not to clean? *J R Coll Gen Pract*, 1988. 38: 363.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3256648>
106. Lifshitz, E., *et al.* Outpatient urine culture: does collection technique matter? *Arch Intern Med*, 2000. 160: 2537.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10979067>
107. Fihn, S.D. Clinical practice. Acute uncomplicated urinary tract infection in women. *N Engl J Med*, 2003. 349: 259.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12867610>
108. Foxman, B., *et al.* Epidemiology of urinary tract infections: transmission and risk factors, incidence, and costs. *Infect Dis Clin North Am*, 2003. 17: 227.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12601337>
109. Kunin, C., *Urinary tract infections, in Detection, prevention and management.* 1997, Lea & Febiger: Philadelphia.
110. Falagas, M.E., *et al.* Antibiotics versus placebo in the treatment of women with uncomplicated cystitis: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Infect*, 2009. 58: 91.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19195714>
111. Gupta, K., *et al.* Short-course nitrofurantoin for the treatment of acute uncomplicated cystitis in women. *Arch Intern Med*, 2007. 167: 2207.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17998493>
112. Lecomte, F., *et al.* Single-dose treatment of cystitis with fosfomycin trometamol (Monuril): analysis of 15 comparative trials on 2,048 patients. *Giorn It Ost Gin*, 1997. 19: 399. [No abstract available].

113. Nicolle, L.E. Pivmecillinam in the treatment of urinary tract infections. *J Antimicrob Chemother*, 2000. 46 Suppl 1: 35.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11051622>
114. Huttner, A., *et al.* Nitrofurantoin revisited: a systematic review and meta-analysis of controlled trials. *J Antimicrob Chemother*, 2015. 70: 2456.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26066581>
115. Gupta, K., *et al.* Outcomes associated with trimethoprim/sulphamethoxazole (TMP/SMX) therapy in TMP/SMX resistant community-acquired UTI. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*, 2002. 19: 554.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12135847>
116. Warren, J.W., *et al.* Guidelines for antimicrobial treatment of uncomplicated acute bacterial cystitis and acute pyelonephritis in women. Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA). *Clin Infect Dis*, 1999. 29: 745.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10589881>
117. Hooton, T.M., *et al.* Cefpodoxime vs ciprofloxacin for short-course treatment of acute uncomplicated cystitis: a randomized trial. *JAMA*, 2012. 307: 583.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22318279>
118. Hooton, T.M., *et al.* Amoxicillin-clavulanate vs ciprofloxacin for the treatment of uncomplicated cystitis in women: a randomized trial. *Jama*, 2005. 293: 949.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15728165>
119. Vazquez, J.C., *et al.* Treatments for symptomatic urinary tract infections during pregnancy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, 2000: Cd002256.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10908537>
120. Wagenlehner, F.M., *et al.* Antimicrobials in urogenital infections. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*, 2011. 38 Suppl: 3.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22019184>
121. Hooton, T.M. Recurrent urinary tract infection in women. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*, 2001. 17: 259.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11295405>
122. van Haarst, E.P., *et al.* Evaluation of the diagnostic workup in young women referred for recurrent lower urinary tract infections. *Urology*, 2001. 57: 1068.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23867306>
123. Hooton, T.M., Prevention of recurrent urogenital tract infections in adult women, in *EAU/International Consultation on Urological Infections*. T, K.G. Naber, A.J. Schaeffer, C.F. Hynes & e. al., Editors. 2010, European Association of Urology: The Netherlands.
124. Beerepoot, M.A., *et al.* Nonantibiotic prophylaxis for recurrent urinary tract infections: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Urol*, 2013. 190: 1981.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23867306>
125. Wagenlehner, F.M., *et al.* Prevention of recurrent urinary tract infections. *Minerva Urol Nefrol*, 2013. 65: 9.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23538307>
126. Raz, R., *et al.* A controlled trial of intravaginal estriol in postmenopausal women with recurrent urinary tract infections. *N Engl J Med*, 1993. 329: 753.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8350884>
127. Bauer, H.W., *et al.* Prevention of recurrent urinary tract infections with immuno-active *E. coli* fractions: a meta-analysis of five placebo-controlled double-blind studies. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*, 2002. 19: 451.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12135831>
128. Naber, K.G., *et al.* Immunoactive prophylaxis of recurrent urinary tract infections: a meta-analysis. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*, 2009. 33: 111.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18963856>
129. Bauer, H.W., *et al.* A long-term, multicenter, double-blind study of an *Escherichia coli* extract (OM-89) in female patients with recurrent urinary tract infections. *Eur Urol*, 2005. 47: 542.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12135831>
130. Schwenger, E.M., *et al.* Probiotics for preventing urinary tract infections in adults and children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, 2015: CD008772.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26695595>
131. Kontiokari, T., *et al.* Randomised trial of cranberry-lingonberry juice and *Lactobacillus GG* drink for the prevention of urinary tract infections in women. *BMJ*, 2001. 322: 1571.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11431298>

132. Stothers, L. A randomized trial to evaluate effectiveness and cost effectiveness of naturopathic cranberry products as prophylaxis against urinary tract infection in women. *Can J Urol*, 2002. 9: 1558.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12121581>
133. Jepson, R.G., *et al.* Cranberries for preventing urinary tract infections. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, 2012. 10: Cd001321.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23076891>
134. Kranjcec, B., *et al.* D-mannose powder for prophylaxis of recurrent urinary tract infections in women: a randomized clinical trial. *World J Urol*, 2014. 32: 79.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23633128>
135. Damiano, R., *et al.* Prevention of recurrent urinary tract infections by intravesical administration of hyaluronic acid and chondroitin sulphate: a placebo-controlled randomised trial. *Eur Urol*, 2011. 59: 645.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21272992>
136. Madersbacher, H., *et al.* GAG layer replenishment therapy for chronic forms of cystitis with intravesical glycosaminoglycans--a review. *Neurourol Urodyn*, 2013. 32: 9.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22782909>
137. Albert, X., *et al.* Antibiotics for preventing recurrent urinary tract infection in non-pregnant women. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, 2004: Cd001209.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15266443>
138. Pfau, A., *et al.* Effective prophylaxis for recurrent urinary tract infections during pregnancy. *Clin Infect Dis*, 1992. 14: 810.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1576275>
139. Schaeffer, A.J., *et al.* Efficacy and safety of self-start therapy in women with recurrent urinary tract infections. *J Urol*, 1999. 161: 207.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10037399>
140. Scholes, D., *et al.* Risk factors associated with acute pyelonephritis in healthy women. *Ann Intern Med*, 2005. 142: 20.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15630106>
141. Hill, J.B., *et al.* Acute pyelonephritis in pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol*, 2005. 105: 18.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15625136>
142. Fulop, T. Acute Pyelonephritis Workup. 2017.
<http://emedicine.medscape.com/article/245559-workup>
143. van Nieuwkoop, C., *et al.* Predicting the need for radiologic imaging in adults with febrile urinary tract infection. *Clin Infect Dis*, 2010. 51: 1266.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21034195>
144. Gupta, K., *et al.* International clinical practice guidelines for the treatment of acute uncomplicated cystitis and pyelonephritis in women: A 2010 update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America and the European Society for Microbiology and Infectious Diseases. *Clin Infect Dis*, 2011. 52: e103.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21292654>
145. Hooton, T.M. Clinical practice. Uncomplicated urinary tract infection. *N Engl J Med*, 2012. 366: 1028.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22417256>
146. Pitout, J.D. Infections with extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing enterobacteriaceae: changing epidemiology and drug treatment choices. *Drugs*, 2010. 70: 313.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20166768>
147. Mombelli, G., *et al.* Oral vs intravenous ciprofloxacin in the initial empirical management of severe pyelonephritis or complicated urinary tract infections: a prospective randomized clinical trial. *Arch Intern Med*, 1999. 159: 53.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9892331>
148. Millar, L.K., *et al.* Outpatient treatment of pyelonephritis in pregnancy: a randomized controlled trial. *Obstet Gynecol*, 1995. 86: 560.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7675380>
149. Wing, D.A., *et al.* A randomized trial of three antibiotic regimens for the treatment of pyelonephritis in pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol*, 1998. 92: 249.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9699761>
150. Ulleryd, P., *et al.* Ciprofloxacin for 2 or 4 weeks in the treatment of febrile urinary tract infection in men: a randomized trial with a 1 year follow-up. *Scand J Infect Dis*, 2003. 35: 34.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12685882>

151. Reyner, K., *et al.* Urinary obstruction is an important complicating factor in patients with septic shock due to urinary infection. *Am J Emerg Med*, 2016. 34: 694.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26905806>
152. Heyns, C.F. Urinary tract infection associated with conditions causing urinary tract obstruction and stasis, excluding urolithiasis and neuropathic bladder. *World J Urol*, 2012. 30: 77.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21720861>
153. Spoorenberg, V., *et al.* [Better antibiotic use in complicated urinary tract infections; multicentre cluster randomised trial of 2 improvement strategies]. *Ned Tijdschr Geneesk*, 2016. 160: D460.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27438395>
154. Geerlings, S.E., *et al.* SWAB Guidelines for Antimicrobial Therapy of Complicated Urinary Tract Infections in Adults. *SWAB Guidelines*, 2013.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17100128>
155. Hooton, T.M., *et al.* Diagnosis, prevention, and treatment of catheter-associated urinary tract infection in adults: 2009 International Clinical Practice Guidelines from the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clin Infect Dis*, 2010. 50: 625.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20175247>
156. Peterson, J., *et al.* Identification and pretherapy susceptibility of pathogens in patients with complicated urinary tract infection or acute pyelonephritis enrolled in a clinical study in the United States from November 2004 through April 2006. *Clin Ther*, 2007. 29: 2215.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18042477>
157. Bader, M.S., *et al.* Management of complicated urinary tract infections in the era of antimicrobial resistance. *Postgrad Med*, 2010. 122: 7.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21084776>
158. Wagenlehner, F., *et al.* The Global Prevalence of Infections in Urology Study: A Long-Term, Worldwide Surveillance Study on Urological Infections. *Pathogens*, 2016. 5.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26797640>
159. van der Starre, W.E., *et al.* Risk factors for fluoroquinolone-resistant *Escherichia coli* in adults with community-onset febrile urinary tract infection. *J Antimicrob Chemother*, 2011. 66: 650.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21123286>
160. Gould, C.V., *et al.* Guideline for prevention of catheter-associated urinary tract infections 2009. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*, 2010. 31: 319.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20156062>
161. Garibaldi, R.A., *et al.* Factors predisposing to bacteriuria during indwelling urethral catheterization. *N Engl J Med*, 1974. 291: 215.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/4834750>
162. Kunin, C.M., *et al.* Prevention of catheter-induced urinary-tract infections by sterile closed drainage. *N Engl J Med*, 1966. 274: 1155.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/5934951>
163. Hartstein, A.I., *et al.* Nosocomial urinary tract infection: a prospective evaluation of 108 catheterized patients. *Infect Control*, 1981. 2: 380.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6795141>
164. Warren, J.W., *et al.* Fever, bacteremia, and death as complications of bacteriuria in women with long-term urethral catheters. *J Infect Dis*, 1987. 155: 1151.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3572035>
165. Classen, D.C., *et al.* Prevention of catheter-associated bacteriuria: clinical trial of methods to block three known pathways of infection. *Am J Infect Control*, 1991. 19: 136.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1863002>
166. Saint, S., *et al.* Preventing catheter-related bacteriuria: should we? Can we? How? *Arch Intern Med*, 1999. 159: 800.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10219925>
167. Maki, D.G., *et al.* Engineering out the risk for infection with urinary catheters. *Emerg Infect Dis*, 2001. 7: 342.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11294737>
168. Jacobsen, S.M., *et al.* Complicated catheter-associated urinary tract infections due to *Escherichia coli* and *Proteus mirabilis*. *Clin Microbiol Rev*, 2008. 21: 26.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18202436>
169. Cek, M., *et al.* Healthcare-associated urinary tract infections in hospitalized urological patients--a global perspective: results from the GPIU studies 2003-2010. *World J Urol*, 2014. 32: 1587.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24452449>

170. Saint, S., *et al.* Preventing Catheter-Associated Urinary Tract Infections. *N Engl J Med*, 2016. 375: 1298.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27682041>
171. Saint, S., *et al.* A Program to Prevent Catheter-Associated Urinary Tract Infection in Acute Care. *N Engl J Med*, 2016. 374: 2111.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27248619>
172. Marschall, J., *et al.* Antibiotic prophylaxis for urinary tract infections after removal of urinary catheter: Meta-analysis. *BMJ*, 2013. 346: f3147.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23757735>
173. Fang, Y.Q., *et al.* Antibiotic prophylaxis at time of catheter removal following laparoscopic radical prostatectomy: A prospective randomized study. *Acta Med Medit*, 2014. 30: 161.
<http://www.actamedicamediterranea.com/archive/2014/medica-1/antibiotic-prophylaxis-at-time-of-catheter-removal-following-laparoscopic-radical-prostatectomy-a-prospective-randomized-study>
174. Bone, R.C., *et al.* Definitions for sepsis and organ failure and guidelines for the use of innovative therapies in sepsis. The ACCP/SCCM Consensus Conference Committee. American College of Chest Physicians/Society of Critical Care Medicine. *Chest*, 1992. 101: 1644.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1303622>
175. Levy, M.M., *et al.* 2001 SCCM/ESICM/ACCP/ATS/SIS International Sepsis Definitions Conference. *Crit Care Med*, 2003. 31: 1250.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12682500>
176. Dellinger, R.P., *et al.* Surviving Sepsis Campaign: international guidelines for management of severe sepsis and septic shock, 2012. *Intensive Care Med*, 2013. 39: 165.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15090974>
177. Martin, G.S., *et al.* The epidemiology of sepsis in the United States from 1979 through 2000. *N Engl J Med*, 2003. 348: 1546.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12700374>
178. Hotchkiss, R.S., *et al.* The pathophysiology and treatment of sepsis. *N Engl J Med*, 2003. 348: 138.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12519925>
179. Rosser, C.J., *et al.* Urinary tract infections in the critically ill patient with a urinary catheter. *Am J Surg*, 1999. 177: 287.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10326844>
180. Brun-Buisson, C., *et al.* EPISEPSIS: a reappraisal of the epidemiology and outcome of severe sepsis in French intensive care units. *Intensive Care Med*, 2004. 30: 580.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14997295>
181. Tandogdu, Z., *et al.* Antimicrobial resistance in urosepsis: outcomes from the multinational, multicenter global prevalence of infections in urology (GPIU) study 2003-2013. *World J Urol*, 2016. 34: 1193.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26658886>
182. Wilson, M.L., *et al.* Principles and procedures for blood cultures; Approved Guideline. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute CLSI, 2007.
https://clsi.org/media/1448/m47a_sample.pdf
183. Howell, M.D., *et al.* Management of Sepsis and Septic Shock. *JAMA*, 2017. 317: 847.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28114603>
184. Brunkhorst, F.M., *et al.* Procalcitonin for early diagnosis and differentiation of SIRS, sepsis, severe sepsis, and septic shock. *Intensive Care Med*, 2000. 26 Suppl 2: S148.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18470710>
185. Angeletti, S., *et al.* Procalcitonin, MR-Proadrenomedullin, and Cytokines Measurement in Sepsis Diagnosis: Advantages from Test Combination. *Dis Markers*, 2015. 2015: 951532.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26635427>
186. Harbarth, S., *et al.* Diagnostic value of procalcitonin, interleukin-6, and interleukin-8 in critically ill patients admitted with suspected sepsis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*, 2001. 164: 396.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11500339>
187. Mikkelsen, M.E., *et al.* Serum lactate is associated with mortality in severe sepsis independent of organ failure and shock. *Crit Care Med*, 2009. 37: 1670.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19325467>
188. Carlet, J., *et al.* Guideliness for prevention of nosocomial infections in intensive care unit. *Arnette Ed Paris 1994*: 41. [No abstract available].
189. Riedl, C.R., *et al.* Bacterial colonization of ureteral stents. *Eur Urol*, 1999. 36: 53.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10364656>

190. DeGroot-Kosolcharoen, J., *et al.* Evaluation of a urinary catheter with a preconnected closed drainage bag. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*, 1988. 9: 72.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3343502>
191. Rivers, E., *et al.* Early goal-directed therapy in the treatment of severe sepsis and septic shock. *N Engl J Med*, 2001. 345: 1368.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11794169>
192. Mouncey, P.R., *et al.* Trial of early, goal-directed resuscitation for septic shock. *N Engl J Med*, 2015. 372: 1301.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25776532>
193. ARISE Investigators, *et al.* Goal-directed resuscitation for patients with early septic shock. *N Engl J Med*, 2014. 371: 1496.
<http://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMoa1404380>
194. ProCESS Investigators, *et al.* A randomized trial of protocol-based care for early septic shock. *N Engl J Med*, 2014. 370: 1683.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24635773>
195. The PRISM Investigators, *et al.* Early, Goal-Directed Therapy for Septic Shock - A Patient-Level Meta-Analysis. *N Engl J Med*, 2017. 376: 2223.
<http://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMoa1701380>
196. Monnet, X., *et al.* Prediction of fluid responsiveness: an update. *Ann Intensive Care*, 2016. 6: 111.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27858374>
197. Dellinger, R.P., *et al.* Surviving Sepsis Campaign guidelines for management of severe sepsis and septic shock. *Crit Care Med*, 2004. 32: 858.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15090974>
198. Sexually Transmitted Diseases Treatment Guidelines. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2010. 59: RR-12
<https://www.cdc.gov/std/treatment/2010/std-treatment-2010-rr5912.pdf>
199. Del Rio C, e.a. Update to CDC's Sexually Transmitted Diseases Treatment Guidelines, 2010: Oral cephalosporins no longer a recommended treatment for gonococcal infections. . *MMWR*, 2012. 61: 590.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22874837>
200. Bremer V, e.a. Gonorrhoea in adults and adolescents AWMF S2k guidelines. 2013. Nr. 059/004.
<http://www.egms.de/static/en/journals/id/2014-2/id000010.shtml>
201. Plettenberg, A. STI – Sexually transmitted infections. ifi, 2014.
<http://app.ifi-medizin.de/sti/>
202. Horner, P.J., *et al.* 2016 European guideline on the management of non-gonococcal urethritis. *Int J STD AIDS*, 2016. 27: 928.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27147267>
203. Wetmore, C.M., *et al.* Demographic, behavioral, and clinical characteristics of men with nongonococcal urethritis differ by etiology: a case-comparison study. *Sex Transm Dis*, 2011. 38: 180.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21285914>
204. Borchardt, K.A., *et al.* Prevalence of *Trichomonas vaginalis* in a male sexually transmitted disease clinic population by interview, wet mount microscopy, and the InPouch TV test. *Genitourin Med*, 1995. 71: 405.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8566985>
205. Busolo, F., *et al.* Detection of *Mycoplasma genitalium* and *Chlamydia trachomatis* DNAs in male patients with urethritis using the polymerase chain reaction. *New Microbiol*, 1997. 20: 325.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9385602>
206. Evans, B.A., *et al.* Racial origin, sexual behaviour, and genital infection among heterosexual men attending a genitourinary medicine clinic in London (1993-4). *Sex Transm Infect*, 1998. 74: 40.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9634302>
207. Evans, B.A., *et al.* Racial origin, sexual lifestyle, and genital infection among women attending a genitourinary medicine clinic in London (1992). *Sex Transm Infect*, 1998. 74: 45.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9634303>
208. Krieger, J.N. Trichomoniasis in men: old issues and new data. *Sex Transm Dis*, 1995. 22: 83.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9634303>
209. Ito, S., *et al.* Male non-gonococcal urethritis: From microbiological etiologies to demographic and clinical features. *Int J Urol*, 2016. 23: 325.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26845624>

210. You, C., *et al.* The first report: An analysis of bacterial flora of the first voided urine specimens of patients with male urethritis using the 16S ribosomal RNA gene-based clone library method. *Microb Pathog*, 2016. 95: 95.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27013259>
211. Haggerty, C.L., *et al.* Risk of sequelae after Chlamydia trachomatis genital infection in women. *J Infect Dis*, 2010. 201 Suppl 2: S134.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20470050>
212. Witkin, S.S., *et al.* Detection of Chlamydia trachomatis by the polymerase chain reaction in the cervixes of women with acute salpingitis. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*, 1993. 168: 1438.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8498424>
213. Workowski, K.A., *et al.* Sexually transmitted diseases treatment guidelines, 2015. *MMWR Recomm Rep*, 2015. 64: 1.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26042815>
214. Swartz, S.L., *et al.* Diagnosis and etiology of nongonococcal urethritis. *J Infect Dis*, 1978. 138: 445.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/213495>
215. Papp, J.R., *et al.* Recommendations for the Laboratory-Based Detection of Chlamydia trachomatis and Neisseria gonorrhoeae — 2014. *MMWR. Recommendations and reports : Morbidity and mortality weekly report. Recommendations and reports / Centers for Disease Control*, 2014. 63: 1.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24622331>
216. Kirkcaldy, R.D., *et al.* Neisseria gonorrhoeae Antimicrobial Susceptibility Surveillance - The Gonococcal Isolate Surveillance Project, 27 Sites, United States, 2014. *MMWR Surveill Summ*, 2016. 65: 1.
<https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/65/ss/ss6507a1.htm>
217. Yuan, Z., *et al.* Randomized controlled clinical trial on the efficacy of fosfomycin trometamol for uncomplicated gonococcal urethritis in men. *Clin Microbiol Infect*, 2016. 22: 507.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27064136>
218. Alexander, R.B., *et al.* Elevated levels of proinflammatory cytokines in the semen of patients with chronic prostatitis/chronic pelvic pain syndrome. *Urology*, 1998. 52: 744.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9801092>
219. Alexander, R.B., *et al.* Chronic prostatitis: results of an Internet survey. *Urology*, 1996. 48: 568.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8886062>
220. Zermann, D.H., *et al.* Neurourological insights into the etiology of genitourinary pain in men. *J Urol*, 1999. 161: 903.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10022711>
221. Perletti, G., *et al.* Antimicrobial therapy for chronic bacterial prostatitis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, 2013: CD009071.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23934982>
222. Dadashpour, M., *et al.* Acute Prostatitis After Transrectal Ultrasound-guided Prostate Biopsy: Comparing Two Different Antibiotic Prophylaxis Regimen. *Biomed Pharmacol J*, 2016. 9: 593.
<http://biomedpharmajournal.org/vol9no2/acute-prostatitis/>
223. Schaeffer, A.J., *et al.* Treatment of chronic bacterial prostatitis with levofloxacin and ciprofloxacin lowers serum prostate specific antigen. *J Urol*, 2005. 174: 161.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15947609>
224. Skerk, V., *et al.* Comparative analysis of azithromycin and ciprofloxacin in the treatment of chronic prostatitis caused by Chlamydia trachomatis. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*, 2003. 21: 457.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12727080>
225. Vickovic, N., *et al.* Metronidazole 1.5 gram dose for 7 or 14 days in the treatment of patients with chronic prostatitis caused by Trichomonas vaginalis: A randomized study. *J Chemother*, 2010. 22: 364.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21123162>
226. Cai, T., *et al.* Serenoa repens associated with Urtica dioica (ProstaMEV) and curcumin and quercetin (FlogMEV) extracts are able to improve the efficacy of prulifloxacin in bacterial prostatitis patients: results from a prospective randomised study. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*, 2009. 33: 549.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19181486>
227. Aliaev lu, G., *et al.* [Wardenafil in combined treatment of patients with chronic bacterial prostatitis]. *Urologiia*, 2008: 52.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19256057>
228. Lipsky, B.A., *et al.* Treatment of bacterial prostatitis. *Clin Infect Dis*, 2010. 50: 1641.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20459324>

229. Wise, G.J., *et al.* Atypical infections of the prostate. *Current Prostate Reports*, 2008. 6: 86.
<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11918-008-0014-2>
230. Turner, J.A., *et al.* Validity and responsiveness of the national institutes of health chronic prostatitis symptom index. *J Urol*, 2003. 169: 580.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12544311>
231. Zegarra Montes, L.Z., *et al.* Semen and urine culture in the diagnosis of chronic bacterial prostatitis. *Int Braz J Urol*, 2008. 34: 30.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18341719>
232. Budia, A., *et al.* Value of semen culture in the diagnosis of chronic bacterial prostatitis: a simplified method. *Scand J Urol Nephrol*, 2006. 40: 326.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16916775>
233. Skerk, V., *et al.* The role of unusual pathogens in prostatitis syndrome. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*, 2004. 24 Suppl 1: S53.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15364308>
234. Schneider, H., *et al.* The 2001 Giessen Cohort Study on patients with prostatitis syndrome--an evaluation of inflammatory status and search for microorganisms 10 years after a first analysis. *Andrologia*, 2003. 35: 258.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14535851>
235. Naber, K.G., *et al.*, Prostatitis, epididymitis and orchitis, in *Infectious diseases*, D. Armstrong & J. Cohen, Editors. 1999, Mosby: London.
236. Badalyan, R.R., *et al.* Chlamydial and ureaplasma infections in patients with nonbacterial chronic prostatitis. *Andrologia*, 2003. 35: 263.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14535852>
237. Berger, R.E., Epididymitis., in *Sexually transmitted diseases*, K.K. Holmes, P.-A. Mardh, P.F. Sparling & P.J. Wiesner, Editors. 1984, McGraw-Hill: New York.
238. Robinson, A.J., *et al.* Acute epididymitis: why patient and consort must be investigated. *Br J Urol*, 1990. 66: 642.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2265337>
239. Schaeffer, A.J. Prostatitis: US perspective. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*, 1999. 11: 205.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10394972>
240. Krieger, J.N., *et al.* NIH consensus definition and classification of prostatitis. *Jama*, 1999. 282: 236.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10422990>
241. Workshop Committee of the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Disease (NIDDK). *Chronic prostatitis workshop*. 1995: Bethesda, Maryland.
<http://jac.oxfordjournals.org/content/46/2/157.full>
242. Krieger, J.N., *et al.* Chronic pelvic pains represent the most prominent urogenital symptoms of "chronic prostatitis". *Urology*, 1996. 48: 715.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8911515>
243. Nickel, J.C. Effective office management of chronic prostatitis. *Urol Clin North Am*, 1998. 25: 677.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10026774>
244. Etienne, M., *et al.* Performance of the urine leukocyte esterase and nitrite dipstick test for the diagnosis of acute prostatitis. *Clin Infect Dis*, 2008. 46: 951.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18288905>
245. Meares, E.M., *et al.* Bacteriologic localization patterns in bacterial prostatitis and urethritis. *Invest Urol*, 1968. 5: 492.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/4870505>
246. Nickel, J.C., *et al.* How does the pre-massage and post-massage 2-glass test compare to the Meares-Stamey 4-glass test in men with chronic prostatitis/chronic pelvic pain syndrome? *J Urol*, 2006. 176: 119.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16753385>
247. Doble, A., *et al.* Ultrasonographic findings in prostatitis. *Urol Clin North Am*, 1989. 16: 763.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2683305>
248. Polascik, T.J., *et al.* Prostate specific antigen: a decade of discovery--what we have learned and where we are going. *J Urol*, 1999. 162: 293.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10411025>
249. Wagenlehner, F.M., *et al.* Bacterial prostatitis. *World J Urol*, 2013. 31: 711.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23519458>
250. Gill, B.C., *et al.* Bacterial prostatitis. *Curr Opin Infect Dis*, 2016. 29: 86.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26555038>

251. Wagenlehner, F.M., *et al.* Prostatitis: the role of antibiotic treatment. *World J Urol*, 2003. 21: 105.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12687400>
252. Krieger, J.N. Recurrent lower urinary tract infections in men. *J New Rem Clin*, 1998. 47: 4. [No abstract available]
253. Litwin, M.S., *et al.* The National Institutes of Health chronic prostatitis symptom index: development and validation of a new outcome measure. Chronic Prostatitis Collaborative Research Network. *J Urol*, 1999. 162: 369.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10411041>
254. Schaeffer, A.J., *et al.* Summary consensus statement: diagnosis and management of chronic prostatitis/chronic pelvic pain syndrome. *Eur Urol* 2003. 43: 1.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12521576>
255. Bjerklund Johansen, T.E., *et al.* The role of antibiotics in the treatment of chronic prostatitis: a consensus statement. *Eur Urol*, 1998. 34: 457.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9831786>
256. Cai, T., *et al.* Clinical and microbiological efficacy of prulifloxacin for the treatment of chronic bacterial prostatitis due to Chlamydia trachomatis infection: results from a prospective, randomized and open-label study. *Methods Find Exp Clin Pharmacol*, 2010. 32: 39.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20383345>
257. Smelov, V., *et al.* Chlamydia trachomatis survival in the presence of two fluoroquinolones (lomefloxacin versus levofloxacin) in patients with chronic prostatitis syndrome. *Andrologia*, 2005. 37: 61.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16026425>
258. Ohkawa, M., *et al.* Antimicrobial treatment for chronic prostatitis as a means of defining the role of Ureaplasma urealyticum. *Urol Int*, 1993. 51: 129.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8249222>
259. Jimenez-Cruz, J.F., *et al.* Treatment of chronic prostatitis: intraprostatic antibiotic injections under echography control. *J Urol*, 1988. 139: 967.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3283385>
260. Mayersak, J.S. Transrectal ultrasonography directed intraprostatic injection of gentamycin-xylocaine in the management of the benign painful prostate syndrome. A report of a 5 year clinical study of 75 patients. *Int Surg*, 1998. 83: 347.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10096759>
261. Hua, L.X., *et al.* [The diagnosis and treatment of acute prostatitis: report of 35 cases]. *Zhonghua Nan Ke Xue*, 2005. 11: 897.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16398358>
262. Yoon, B.I., *et al.* Acute bacterial prostatitis: how to prevent and manage chronic infection? *J Infect Chemother*, 2012. 18: 444.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22215226>
263. Ludwig, M., *et al.* Diagnosis and therapeutic management of 18 patients with prostatic abscess. *Urology*, 1999. 53: 340.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9933051>
264. Chou, Y.H., *et al.* Prostatic abscess: transrectal color Doppler ultrasonic diagnosis and minimally invasive therapeutic management. *Ultrasound Med Biol*, 2004. 30: 719.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15219951>
265. Çek, M., *et al.* Acute and Chronic Epididymitis in EAU-EBU Update Series. *Eur Urol Suppl* 2017. 16: 124.
<http://www.sciencedirect.com/journal/european-urology-supplements/vol/16/issue/4>
266. Harnisch, J.P., *et al.* Aetiology of acute epididymitis. *Lancet*, 1977. 1: 819.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/67333>
267. Street, E., *et al.* The 2016 European guideline on the management of epididymo-orchitis. *IUSTI*, 2016.
<https://www.iusti.org/regions/Europe/pdf/2017/EOguideline220117.pdf>
268. Abbara, A., *et al.* Etiology and management of genitourinary tuberculosis. *Nat Rev Urol*, 2011. 8: 678.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22157940>
269. Street, E., *et al.* BASHH 2010 United Kingdom national guideline for the management of epididymo-orchitis. 2010.
<http://www.bashh.org/documents/3546.pdf>

270. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2015 Sexually Transmitted Diseases Treatment Guidelines - Epididymitis. 2015.
<https://www.cdc.gov/std/tg2015/epididymitis.htm>
271. Banyra, O., *et al.* Acute epididymo-orchitis: staging and treatment. *Cent European J Urol*, 2012. 65: 139.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24578950>
272. Haddadeen, C., *et al.* Comparative regional audit of urology and genito-urinary departments in the management of acute epididymo-orchitis. *HIV Medicine*, 2010. 11: 45.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/70186144>
273. Nicholson, A., *et al.* Management of epididymo-orchitis in primary care: Results from a large UK primary care database. *Br J Gen Pract*, 2010. 60: e407.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20883615>
274. Pilatz, A., *et al.* Impact of bacterial epididymitis on semen quality after antibiotic treatment. *J Urol*, 2012. 1): e443.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/70720788>
275. Pilatz, A., *et al.* Acute Epididymitis Revisited: Impact of Molecular Diagnostics on Etiology and Contemporary Guideline Recommendations. *Eur Urol*, 2015. 68: 428.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25542628>
276. Andersen, B., *et al.* Impact of intensified testing for urogenital Chlamydia trachomatis infections: a randomised study with 9-year follow-up. *Sex Transm Infect*, 2011. 87: 156.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21097811>
277. Eickhoff, J.H., *et al.* A double-blind, randomized, controlled multicentre study to compare the efficacy of ciprofloxacin with pivampicillin as oral therapy for epididymitis in men over 40 years of age. *BJU Int*, 1999. 84: 827.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10532980>
278. Chennamsetty, A., *et al.* Contemporary diagnosis and management of Fournier's gangrene. *Therapeutic Advances in Urology*, 2015. 7: 203.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26445600>
279. Eke, N. Fournier's gangrene: a review of 1726 cases. *Br J Surg*, 2000. 87: 718.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10848848>
280. Subrahmanyam, U., *et al.* Honey dressing beneficial in treatment of fournier's gangrene. *Indian J Surg*, 2004. 66: 75.
<http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.554.4604&rep=rep1&type=pdf>
281. Jallali, N., *et al.* Hyperbaric oxygen as adjuvant therapy in the management of necrotizing fasciitis. *Am J Surg*, 2005. 189: 462.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15820462>
282. Karian, L.S., *et al.* Reconstruction of Defects After Fournier Gangrene: A Systematic Review. *Eplasty*, 2015. 15: e18.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26171090>
283. Furr, J., *et al.* Contemporary Trends in the Inpatient Management of Fournier's Gangrene: Predictors of Length of Stay and Mortality Based on Population-based Sample. *Urology*, 2017. 102: 79.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27693572>
284. Kim, S.Y., *et al.* A Contemporary Analysis of Fournier Gangrene Using the National Surgical Quality Improvement Program. *Urology*, 2015.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25770725>
285. Sorensen, M.D., *et al.* Fournier's Gangrene: Epidemiology and Outcomes in the General US Population. *Urol Int*, 2016. 97: 249.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27172977>
286. Roghmann, F., *et al.* Is there a need for the Fournier's gangrene severity index? Comparison of scoring systems for outcome prediction in patients with Fournier's gangrene. *BJU Int*, 2012. 110: 1359.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22494217>
287. Lauerman, M., *et al.* Less is More? Antibiotic duration and outcomes in fournier's gangrene. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg*, 2017. 83: 443.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28538648>
288. Li, C., *et al.* Hyperbaric oxygen therapy as an adjuvant therapy for comprehensive treatment of Fournier's gangrene. *Urol Int*, 2015. 94: 453.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25677386>

289. Stevens, D.L., *et al.* Practice guidelines for the diagnosis and management of skin and soft tissue infections: 2014 update by the infectious diseases society of America. *Clin Infect Dis*, 2014. 59: 147.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24947530>
290. Aigere, E.O., *et al.* Enhanced urinalysis in the detection of asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnancy. *Nig Q J Hosp Med*, 2013. 23: 105.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24579505>
291. Ajayi, A.B., *et al.* Reliability of urine multistix and gram stain in the detection of asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnancy. *West Afr J Med*, 2010. 29: 339.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21089022>
292. Al-Daghistani, H.I., *et al.* Diagnostic value of various urine tests in the Jordanian population with urinary tract infection. *Clin Chem Lab Med*, 2002. 40: 1048.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12476947>
293. Buchsbaum, G.M., *et al.* Utility of urine reagent strip in screening women with incontinence for urinary tract infection. *Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct*, 2004. 15: 391.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15278254>
294. D'Souza, H.A., *et al.* Practical bench comparison of BBL CHROMagar Orientation and standard two-plate media for urine cultures. *J Clin Microbiol*, 2004. 42: 60.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14715732>
295. Demilie, T., *et al.* Diagnostic accuracy of rapid urine dipstick test to predict urinary tract infection among pregnant women in Felege Hiwot Referral Hospital, Bahir Dar, North West Ethiopia. *BMC Res Notes*, 2014. 7: 481.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25073620>
296. Honey, R.J., *et al.* A prospective study examining the incidence of bacteriuria and urinary tract infection after shock wave lithotripsy with targeted antibiotic prophylaxis. *J Urol*, 2013. 189: 2112.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23276509>
297. Arinzon, Z., *et al.* Detection of urinary tract infection (UTI) in long-term care setting: Is the multireagent strip an adequate diagnostic tool? *Arch Gerontol Geriatr*, 2009. 48: 227.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18314207>
298. Eigbefoh, J.O., *et al.* The diagnostic accuracy of the rapid dipstick test to predict asymptomatic urinary tract infection of pregnancy. *J Obstet Gynaecol*, 2008. 28: 490.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18850421>
299. Falbo, R., *et al.* Bacteriuria screening by automated whole-field-image-based microscopy reduces the number of necessary urine cultures. *J Clin Microbiol*, 2007. 45: 1427.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22238436>
300. Greeff, A., *et al.* Uricult Trio as a screening test for bacteriuria in pregnancy. *S Afr Med J*, 2002. 92: 306.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12056364>
301. Khasriya, R., *et al.* The inadequacy of urinary dipstick and microscopy as surrogate markers of urinary tract infection in urological outpatients with lower urinary tract symptoms without acute frequency and dysuria. *J Urol*, 2010. 183: 1843.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20303096>
302. Koeijers, J.J., *et al.* Evaluation of the nitrite and leukocyte esterase activity tests for the diagnosis of acute symptomatic urinary tract infection in men. *Clin Infect Dis*, 2007. 45: 894.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17806056>
303. Lammers, R.L., *et al.* Comparison of test characteristics of urine dipstick and urinalysis at various test cutoff points. *Ann Emerg Med*, 2001. 38: 505.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11679861>
304. Mignini, L., *et al.* Accuracy of diagnostic tests to detect asymptomatic bacteriuria during pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol*, 2009. 113: 346.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19155905>
305. Millar, L., *et al.* Rapid enzymatic urine screening test to detect bacteriuria in pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol*, 2000. 95: 601.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10725497>
306. Panagamuwa, C., *et al.* Dipstick screening for urinary tract infection before arthroplasty: a safe alternative to laboratory testing? *Int J Clin Pract*, 2004. 58: 19.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14994965>
307. Raza-Khan, F., *et al.* Usefulness of urine dipstick in an urogynecologic population. *Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct*, 2006. 17: 489.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16408149>

308. Anderton, K.J., *et al.* High dose, short course amoxicillin in the treatment of bacteriuria in pregnancy. *Br J Clin Pract*, 1983. 37: 212.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6882650>
309. Shang, Y., *et al.* Systematic review and meta-analysis of flow cytometry in urinary tract infection screening. *Clinica Chimica Acta*, 2013. 424.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23721948>
310. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Annual Epidemiological Report 2016 – Healthcare-associated infections acquired in intensive care units. 2016.
<https://ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications-data/infections-acquired-intensive-care-units-annual-report-2016>
311. Center for Disease Control and Prevention. Procedure-associated Module 9: Surgical Site Infection (SSI) Event. 2017.
<https://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/pdfs/pscmanual/9pscscscurrent.pdf>
312. Tanner, J., *et al.* Surgical hand antisepsis to reduce surgical site infection. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, 2016: CD004288.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26799160>
313. Webster, J., *et al.* Preoperative bathing or showering with skin antiseptics to prevent surgical site infection. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, 2015: CD004985.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25927093>
314. Tanner, J., *et al.* Preoperative hair removal to reduce surgical site infection. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, 2011: CD004122.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22071812>
315. Arnold, A., *et al.* Preoperative Mechanical Bowel Preparation for Abdominal, Laparoscopic, and Vaginal Surgery: A Systematic Review. *J Minim Invasive Gynecol*, 2015. 22: 737.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25881881>
316. Guenaga, K.F., *et al.* Mechanical bowel preparation for elective colorectal surgery. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, 2011: CD001544.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21901677>
317. Dumville, J.C., *et al.* Preoperative skin antiseptics for preventing surgical wound infections after clean surgery. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, 2015: CD003949.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25897764>
318. Webster, J., *et al.* Use of plastic adhesive drapes during surgery for preventing surgical site infection. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, 2015: CD006353.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25901509>
319. Control., E.C.f.D.P.a. Systematic review and evidence-based guidance on perioperative antibiotic prophylaxis. 2013.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/>
320. [No authors listed]. Antibacterial prophylaxis in surgery: 2 - Urogenital, obstetric and gynaecological surgery. *Drug Ther Bull*, 2004. 42: 9.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/>
321. Foon, R., *et al.* Prophylactic antibiotics to reduce the risk of urinary tract infections after urodynamic studies [Systematic Review]. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 2012. 10: 10.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23076941>
322. Gurbuz, C., *et al.* Are prophylactic antibiotics necessary for urodynamic study? *Kaohsiung J Med Sci*, 2013. 29: 325.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20377490>
323. Hirakauva, Ey., *et al.* Incidence of urinary infection in women after urodynamic study (UDS). *Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct*, 2011. 22. [No abstract available]
324. Carey, M.M., *et al.* Should We Use Antibiotic Prophylaxis for Flexible Cystoscopy? A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Urol Int*, 2015. 95: 249.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26138144>
325. Garcia-Perdomo, H.A., *et al.* Efficacy of antibiotic prophylaxis in patients undergoing cystoscopy: A randomized clinical trial. *World J Urol*, 2013. 31: 1433.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23412704>
326. Lu, Y., *et al.* Antibiotic prophylaxis for shock wave lithotripsy in patients with sterile urine before treatment may be unnecessary: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Urol*, 2012. 188: 441.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/52059642>
327. Mrkobrada, M., *et al.* CUA Guidelines on antibiotic prophylaxis for urologic procedures. *Can Urol Assoc J*, 2015. 9: 13.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25737749>

328. Hsieh, C.H., *et al.* The Effectiveness of Prophylactic Antibiotics with Oral Levofloxacin against Post-Shock Wave Lithotripsy Infectious Complications: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Surgical Infections*, 2016. 17: 346.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26910613>
329. Liker, Y., *et al.* The role of antibiotics in patients with increased risk of infection during extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy (ESWL) treatment. *Marmara Med J*, 1996. 9: 174.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26377368>
330. Bootsma, A.M.J., *et al.* Antibiotic Prophylaxis in Urologic Procedures: A Systematic Review. *European Urology*, 2008. 54: 1270.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/50098356>
331. Dahm, P., *et al.* Evidence-based Urology. *BMJ Books London*, 2010: 50.
332. Lo, C.W., *et al.* Effectiveness of Prophylactic Antibiotics against Post-Ureteroscopic Lithotripsy Infections: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Surg Infect*, 2015. 16: 415.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26207401>
333. Seyrek, M., *et al.* Perioperative prophylaxis for percutaneous nephrolithotomy: Randomized study concerning the drug and dosage. *J Endourol*, 2012. 26: 1431.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22612061>
334. Tuzel, E., *et al.* Prospective comparative study of two protocols of antibiotic prophylaxis in percutaneous nephrolithotomy. *J Endourol*, 2013. 27: 172.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22908891>
335. Health and Social Care Information Center: Hospital Episode Statistics Admitted Patient Care, England 2013-14.
<https://digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB16719>
336. Brewster, S., *et al.* 5A prospective survey of current prostate biopsy practices among oncological urologists. *Can J Urol*, 2010. 17: 5071.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20398444>
337. Wagenlehner, F.M., *et al.* Infective complications after prostate biopsy: outcome of the Global Prevalence Study of Infections in Urology (GPIU) 2010 and 2011, a prospective multinational multicentre prostate biopsy study. *Eur Urol*, 2013. 63: 521.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22704727>
338. Bruyere, F., *et al.* Is urine culture routinely necessary before prostate biopsy? *Prostate Cancer and Prostatic Diseases*, 2010. 13: 260.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20368725>
339. Wang, N., *et al.* Advantages of caudal block over intrarectal local anesthesia plus periprostatic nerve block for transrectal ultrasound guided prostate biopsy. *Pak J Med Sci*, 2016. 32: July.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27648052>
340. Qiao, L.D., *et al.* A multi-center, controlled, randomized, open-label clinical study of levofloxacin for preventing infection during the perioperative period of ultrasound-guided transrectal prostate biopsy. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis*, 2016. 16: 16.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27530532>
341. Miyazaki, Y., *et al.* A Prospective Randomized Trial Comparing a Combined Regimen of Amikacin and Levofloxacin to Levofloxacin Alone as Prophylaxis in Transrectal Prostate Needle Biopsy. *Urol J*, 2016. 13: 2533.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26945658>
342. Fahmy, A.M., *et al.* Fosfomycin antimicrobial prophylaxis for transrectal ultrasound-guided biopsy of the prostate: A prospective randomised study. *Arab J Urol Print*, 2016. 14: 228.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27547466>
343. Sen, V., *et al.* The use of prophylactic single-dose fosfomycin in patients who undergo transrectal ultrasound-guided prostate biopsy: A prospective, randomized, and controlled clinical study. *Can Urol Assoc J*, 2015. 9: E863.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26788236>
344. Kucur, M., *et al.* Selective Low-Dose Spinal Anesthesia for Transrectal Prostate Biopsy: A Prospective and Randomized Study. *J Endourol*, 2015. 29: 1412.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26176605>
345. Jindal, T., *et al.* Transrectal ultrasonography (TRUS)-guided pelvic plexus block to reduce pain during prostate biopsy: A randomised controlled trial. *BJU International*, 2015. 115: 892.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25046032>
346. Herrera-Caceres, J.O., *et al.* Utility of enemas before transrectal prostate biopsies: Preliminary report. *J Urol*, 2015. Conference: 2015 Annual Meeting of the American Urological Association.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/71858463>

347. De Nunzio, C., *et al.* Transrectal-ultrasound prostatic biopsy preparation: Rectal enema vs. mechanical bowel preparation. *Centr Eur J Urol*, 2015. 68: 223.
<https://www.researchgate.net/publication/280867653>
348. Caskurlu, T., *et al.* Prevalence of antibiotic resistance in fecal flora before transrectal ultrasound-guided prostate biopsy and clinical impact of targeted antibiotic prophylaxis. *J Urol*, 2015. Conference: 2015 Annual Meeting of the American Urological Association.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/71859172>
349. Yu, L., *et al.* Impact of insertion timing of iodophor cotton ball on the control of infection complications after transrectal ultrasound guided prostate biopsy. *Nat Med J China*, 2014. 94: 609.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24762693>
350. Xu, N., *et al.* Meperidine relieves pain during transrectal ultrasound-guided prostate biopsy. *Saudi Med J*, 2014. 35: 454.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24825805>
351. Taher, Y., *et al.* Prospective randomized controlled study to assess the effect of perineal region cleansing with povidone iodine before transrectal needle biopsy of the prostate on infectious complications. *Urology* 2015. 193: e598.
[http://www.jurology.com/article/S0022-5347\(15\)01996-5/abstract](http://www.jurology.com/article/S0022-5347(15)01996-5/abstract)
352. Lista, F., *et al.* Efficacy and safety of fosfomycin-trometamol in the prophylaxis for transrectal prostate biopsy. Prospective randomized comparison with ciprofloxacin. *Actas Urologicas Espanolas*, 2014. 38: 391.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24775812>
353. Heidari Bateni, Z., *et al.* Single-dose versus multiple-dose ciprofloxacin plus metronidazole prophylaxis in transrectal ultrasound-guided biopsy of the prostate: a randomized controlled trial. *Acta Medica Iranica*, 2014. 52: 664.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25325203>
354. Agbugui, J.O., *et al.* Antibiotic prophylaxis for transrectal prostate biopsy: a comparison of one-day and five-day regimen. *Niger Postgrad Med J*, 2014. 21: 213.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25331236>
355. Irani, J., *et al.* Is an extended 20-core prostate biopsy protocol more efficient than the standard 12-core? A randomized multicenter trial. *J Urol* 2013. 190: 77.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23313205>
356. Abughosh, Z., *et al.* A prospective randomized trial of povidone-iodine prophylactic cleansing of the rectum before transrectal ultrasound guided prostate biopsy. *J Urol* 2013. 189: 1326.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23041343>
357. Toi, A., *et al.* Does the addition of apical injection of local anesthesia to basal injection diminish pain related to transrectal ultrasound guided prostate biopsy? *J Urol*, 2012. 187: e895.
[http://www.jurology.com/article/S0022-5347\(12\)02756-5/abstract](http://www.jurology.com/article/S0022-5347(12)02756-5/abstract)
358. Pace, G., *et al.* Cephalosporins periprostatic injection: Are really effective on infections following prostate biopsy? *International Urology and Nephrology* 2012. 44: 1065.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22434340>
359. Ould Ismail, T., *et al.* The contribution of periapical nerve block in transrectal ultrasound-guided prostate biopsy: Results from a prospective randomized trial. *African J Urol* 2012. 18: 78.
<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1110570412000173>
360. Ghafoori, M., *et al.* Decrease in infection rate following use of povidone-iodine during transrectal ultrasound guided biopsy of the prostate: a double blind randomized clinical trial. *Iran J Radiol*, 2012. 9: 67.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23329966>
361. Cormio, L., *et al.* Combined perianal-intrarectal (PI) lidocaine-prilocaine (LP) cream and lidocaine-ketorolac gel provide better pain relief than combined PI LP cream and periprostatic nerve block during transrectal prostate biopsy. *BJU Int*, 2002. 90: 700.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12410751>
362. Chan, E.S., *et al.* Randomized controlled trial of antibiotic prophylaxis regimens for transrectal ultrasound-guided prostate biopsy. *Chinese medical journal* 2012 125: 2432.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22882916>
363. Rodríguez-Covarrubias, F., *et al.* Extended sampling at first biopsy improves cancer detection rate: results of a prospective, randomized trial comparing 12 versus 18-core prostate biopsy. *J Urol* 2011. 185: 2132.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21496851>

364. Kim, S., *et al.* Effect of oral administration of acetaminophen and topical application of emla on pain during transrectal ultrasound- guided prostate biopsy. *Kor J Urol*, 2011. 52: 452.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/>
365. Gurbuz, C., *et al.* Reducing infectious complications after transrectal prostate needle biopsy using a disposable needle guide: is it possible? *International braz j urol*, 37: 79.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21385483>
366. Sataa, S., *et al.* [Local anesthesia in transrectal ultrasound-guided prostate biopsy: apical periprostatic nerve block versus endorectal lidocaine gel. A randomized controlled trial of 100 patients]. *La Tunisie médicale* 2010. 88: 217.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20446252>
367. Palmieri, F., *et al.* Single-dose versus 5-day antibiotic therapy in patients undergoing transrectal prostate biopsy: Our preliminary experience. *Anticancer Research*, 2010. Conference: 20th Annual Meeting of the Italian Society of Uro.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/70219544>
368. Koc, G., *et al.* Does washing the biopsy needle with povidone-iodine have an effect on infection rates after transrectal prostate needle biopsy? *Urol Int*, 2010. 85: 147.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20453481>
369. Klein, T., *et al.* The impact of prostate biopsy and periprostatic nerve block on erectile and voiding function: a prospective study. *J Urol* 184, 1447 DOI: 10.1016/j.juro.2010.06.021.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20727540>
370. Hiros, M., *et al.* Transrectal ultrasound-guided prostate biopsy, periprostatic local anesthesia and pain tolerance. *Bosnian Journal of Basic Medical Sciences*, 2010. 10: 68.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20192935>
371. Gurbuz, C., *et al.* Visual pain score during transrectal ultrasound-guided prostate biopsy using no anaesthesia or three different types of local anaesthetic application. *Scandinavian J Urol and Nephrology*, 2010. 44: 212.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20377490>
372. Chazan, B., *et al.* Antimicrobial prophylaxis for transrectal ultrasound guided biopsy of prostate: A comparative study between single dose of Gentamicin vs. Ofloxacin. *Int J Infect Dis*, 2010. Conference: 14th International Congress on Infectious Diseases (ICID) Miami.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/70125506>
373. Aktoz, T., *et al.* 'Multimodal' approach to management of prostate biopsy pain and effects on sexual function: Efficacy of levobupivacaine adjuvant to diclofenac sodium - A prospective randomized trial. *Andrologia*, 2010. 42: 35.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20078514>
374. Xiangkui, L., *et al.* Lidocaine Hydrochloride Injection preventing pain in patients who underwent transrectal ultrasound-guided prostate biopsy: A single center, prospective, randomized single-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial. *Chinese Journal of Andrology*, 2009. 23: 25.
<https://www.researchgate.net/publication/288162300>
375. Liu, B.Q., *et al.* [Comparison of three different methods of anesthesia during transrectal ultrasound guided prostate biopsy: a prospective, double-blind, randomized trial.]. *Zhonghua Wai Ke Za Zhi*, 2009. 47: 1651.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20137402>
376. Kanjanawongdeengam, P., *et al.* Reduction in bacteremia rates after rectum sterilization before transrectal, ultrasound-guided prostate biopsy: a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of the Medical Association of Thailand* 2009 92: 1621.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20043564>
377. Giannarini, G., *et al.* Combination of Perianal-Intrarectal Lidocaine-Prilocaine Cream and Periprostatic Nerve Block for Pain Control During Transrectal Ultrasound Guided Prostate Biopsy: A Randomized, Controlled Trial. *J Urol*, 2009. 181: 585.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19084860>
378. Chae, Y., *et al.* The Comparison between Transperineal and Transrectal Ultrasound-Guided Prostate Needle Biopsy. *Kor J Urol*, 2009. 50: 119.
<https://synapse.koreamed.org/search.php?where=aview&id=10.4111/kju.2009.50.2.119&code=002OKJU&vmode=FULL>
379. Briffaux, R., *et al.* One preoperative dose randomized against 3-day antibiotic prophylaxis for transrectal ultrasonography-guided prostate biopsy. *BJU Int* 2009. 103: 1069.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19021604>

380. Akan, H., *et al.* Comparison of two periprostatic nerve blockade techniques for transrectal ultrasound-guided prostate biopsy: bilateral basal injection and single apical injection. *Urology* 2009. 73: 23.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18829075>
381. Yamamoto, S., *et al.* Antibiotic prophylaxis for transrectal prostate biopsy: A prospective randomized study of tosufloxacin versus levofloxacin. *International J Urol*, 2008. 15: 604.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18462354>
382. Tuncel, A., *et al.* Does disposable needle guide minimize infectious complications after transrectal prostate needle biopsy? *Urology*, 2008. 71, 1024.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18400273>
383. Takenaka, A., *et al.* A prospective randomized comparison of diagnostic efficacy between transperineal and transrectal 12-core prostate biopsy. *Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis*, 2008. 11: 134.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17533394>
384. Szlauer, R., *et al.* Comparison of lidocaine suppositories and periprostatic nerve block during transrectal prostate biopsy. *Urol Int*, 2008. 80: 253.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18480626>
385. Hara, R., *et al.* Optimal approach for prostate cancer detection as initial biopsy: prospective randomized study comparing transperineal versus transrectal systematic 12-core biopsy. *Urology*, 2008. 71: 191.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18308081>
386. Schaeffer, A.J., *et al.* Comparison of a 3-day with a 1-day regimen of an extended-release formulation of ciprofloxacin as antimicrobial prophylaxis for patients undergoing transrectal needle biopsy of the prostate. *BJU International*, 2007. 100: 51.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17552953>
387. Mari, M. Single dose versus 5-day course of oral prulifloxacin in antimicrobial prophylaxis for transrectal prostate biopsy. *Minerva Urol Nefrol* 2007. 59: 1.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17431366>
388. Argyropoulos, A.N., *et al.* Time of administration of a single dose of oral levofloxacin and its effect in infectious complications from transrectal prostate biopsy. *International Urology and Nephrology*, 2007. 39: 897.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17203352>
389. Tekdogan, U., *et al.* The efficiency of prophylactic antibiotic treatment in patients without risk factor who underwent transrectal. *Turk Uroloji Dergisi*, 2006. 32: 261.
<https://www.researchgate.net/publication/289651865>
390. Song, S.H., *et al.* Effectiveness of local anaesthesia techniques in patients undergoing transrectal ultrasound-guided prostate biopsy: A prospective randomized study. *International J Urol*, 2006. 13: 707.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16834647>
391. Cevik, I., *et al.* Combined "periprostatic and periapical" local anesthesia is not superior to "periprostatic" anesthesia alone in reducing pain during Tru-Cut prostate biopsy. *Urology*, 2006. 68: 1215.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17169645>
392. Bosquet Sanz, M., *et al.* Comparative study between tobramycin and tobramycin plus ciprofloxacin in transrectal prostate biopsy prophylaxis. *Actas Urol Esp*, 2006. 30: 866.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17175926>
393. Trucchi, A., *et al.* Local anesthesia reduces pain associated with transrectal prostatic biopsy. A prospective randomized study. *Urol Int*, 2005. 74: 209.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15812205>
394. Tas, M., *et al.* Comparison of patient comfort and complications of transrectal ultrasonography guided prostate biopsies using 16 and 18 Gauge needles. *Turk Uroloji Dergisi*, 2005. 31: 119.
<http://www.turkurolojidergisi.com/eng/ozet/1097/32/Abstract>
395. Ragavan, N., *et al.* A randomized, controlled trial comparing lidocaine periprostatic nerve block, diclofenac suppository and both for transrectal ultrasound guided biopsy of prostate. *J Urol*, 2005. 174: 510.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16006882>
396. Park, S.M., *et al.* The effects of combination of intrarectal lidocaine-gel with periprostatic lidocaine injection on the pain relief in repeated transrectal prostate biopsy. [Korean]. *Kor J Urol* 46, 1051.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/287475198>

397. Mallick, S., *et al.* Which anaesthesia should be recommended for prostate biopsy? West Indian Medical Journal, 2005. 54: 135.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15999885>
398. Basar, M.M., *et al.* Local anesthesia in transrectal ultrasound-guided prostate biopsy: EMLA cream as a new alternative technique. Scandinavian J Urol and Nephrology, 2005. 39: 130.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16019766>
399. Wang, H., *et al.* Investigation of infection risk and the value of antibiotic prophylaxis during transrectal biopsy of the prostate by endotoxin determination. Zhonghua nan ke xue = National journal of andrology, 2004. 10: 496.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15354517>
400. Sur, R.L., *et al.* A prospective randomized comparison of extensive prostate biopsy to standard biopsy with assessment of diagnostic yield, biopsy pain and morbidity. Prostate Cancer and Prostatic Diseases, 2004. 7: 126.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15111980>
401. Sabbagh, R., *et al.* A prospective randomized trial of 1-day versus 3-day antibiotic prophylaxis for transrectal ultrasound guided prostate biopsy. Canadian J Urol 11, 2216.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15182413>
402. Paul, R., *et al.* Morbidity of prostatic biopsy for different biopsy strategies: Is there a relation to core number and sampling region? European Urology, 2004. 45: 450.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15041108>
403. Mariappan, P., *et al.* Increasing prostate biopsy cores based on volume vs the sextant biopsy: A prospective randomized controlled clinical study on cancer detection rates and morbidity. BJU International, 2004. 94: 307.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15291857>
404. Inal, G., *et al.* Comparison of 2 ml and 6 ml of periprostatic 1% lidocaine injections before transrectal ultrasound guided prostate biopsy. Turk Uroloji Dergisi, 2004. 30: 173. [No abstract available].
405. Emiliozzi, P., *et al.* The incidence of prostate cancer in men with prostate specific antigen greater than 4.0 ng/ml: a randomized study of 6 versus 12 core transperineal prostate biopsy. J Urol, 2004. 171: 197.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14665875>
406. Adamakis, I., *et al.* Pain during transrectal ultrasonography guided prostate biopsy: a randomized prospective trial comparing periprostatic infiltration with lidocaine with the intrarectal instillation of lidocaine-prilocain cream. World J Urol, 2004. 22: 281.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14689224>
407. Peters, H.J., *et al.* Antibiotic prophylaxis in transrectal prostate biopsies: Short- and long-term treatment. Urologe Ausgabe A, 2003. 42: 91.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8975569>
408. Inal, G., *et al.* Periprostatic nerve blockade before transrectal ultrasound-guided prostate biopsy: the Ankara Numune experience. Urol Int, 2003. 71: 165.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12890954>
409. Shivde, S.R., *et al.* Trimethoprim versus gentamicin for the prevention of bacteriuria following transrectal biopsy of the prostate - Do patients need additional anaerobic cover? Urol Int, 2002. 69: 106.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12187039>
410. Petteffi, L., *et al.* Efficiency of short and long term antimicrobial therapy in transrectal ultrasound-guided prostate biopsies. International Braz J Urol, 2002. 28: 526.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15748401>
411. Obek, C., *et al.* Is periprostatic local anesthesia for transrectal ultrasound guided prostate biopsy associated with increased infectious or hemorrhagic complications? A prospective randomized trial. J Urol, 2002. 168: 558.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12131309>
412. Knobloch, R., *et al.* Bilateral fine-needle administered local anaesthetic nerve block for pain control during TRUS-guided multi-core prostate biopsy: a prospective randomised trial. European urology 41, 508.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12074792>
413. Ito, Y., *et al.* Antimicrobial prophylaxis for transrectal prostatic biopsy: A prospective randomized trial using levofloxacin. Japanese Journal of Chemotherapy, 2002. 50: 870. [No abstract available].

414. Cormio, L., *et al.* Antimicrobial prophylaxis for transrectal prostatic biopsy: A prospective study of ciprofloxacin vs piperacillin/tazobactam. *BJU International*, 2002. 90: 700.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12410751>
415. Yang, L., *et al.* Clinical significance of antibiotic prophylaxis for transrectal prostate biopsy. *Zhonghua Wai Ke Za Zhi*, 2001. 39: 940.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16201177>
416. Seymour, H., *et al.* Pain after transrectal ultrasonography-guided prostate biopsy: the advantages of periprostatic local anaesthesia. *BJU Int*, 2001. 88: 540.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11678747>
417. Alavi, A.S., *et al.* Local anesthesia for ultrasound guided prostate biopsy: a prospective randomized trial comparing 2 methods. *J Urol* 166, 1343.
<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022534705657655>
418. Naughton, C.K., *et al.* Pain and morbidity of transrectal ultrasound guided prostate biopsy: a prospective randomized trial of 6 versus 12 cores. *J Urol* 163, 168.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10604338>
419. Lindert, K.A., *et al.* Bacteremia and bacteriuria after transrectal ultrasound guided prostate biopsy. *J Urol*, 2000. 164: 76.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10840428>
420. Aron, M., *et al.* Antibiotic prophylaxis for transrectal needle biopsy of the prostate: A randomized controlled study. *BJU International*, 2000. 85: 682.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10759665>
421. Isen, K., *et al.* Antibiotic prophylaxis for transrectal biopsy of the prostate: A prospective randomized study of the prophylactic use of single dose oral fluoroquinolone versus trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole. *International Urology and Nephrology*, 1999. 31: 491.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10668944>
422. Ergakov, D.V., *et al.* [Efficiency of safocid in the prevention of infectious and inflammatory complications after prostate biopsy]. *Urologii*{combining double inverted breve}a, 1999. 6: 48.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24649764>
423. Kapoor, D.A., *et al.* Single-dose oral ciprofloxacin versus placebo for prophylaxis during transrectal prostate biopsy. *Urology*, 1998. 52: 552.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9763070>
424. Bates, T.S., *et al.* Prophylaxis for transrectal prostatic biopsies: A randomized controlled study of intravenous co-amoxiclav given as a single dose compared with an intravenous dose followed by oral co-amoxiclav for 24 h. *Brit J Urol*, 1998. 81: 529.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9598622>
425. Herranz Amo, F., *et al.* Morbidity of and tolerance to ultrasonography-guided transrectal biopsy of the prostate. *Actas Urol Esp*, 1996. 20: 858.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9139527>
426. Aus, G., *et al.* Infection after transrectal core biopsies of the prostate--risk factors and antibiotic prophylaxis. *Brit J Urol* 1996, 851.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8705220>
427. Brewster, S.F., *et al.* Antimicrobial prophylaxis for transrectal prostatic biopsy: A prospective randomized trial of cefuroxime versus piperacillin/tazobactam. *Br J Urol*, 1995. 76: 351.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7551845>
428. Vaz, F., *et al.* The use of lomefloxacin in the prophylaxis of transrectal prostate biopsy. *Revis Brasil Med*, 1994. 51: 1709. [No abstract available].
429. Fong, I.W., *et al.* A randomized comparative study of the prophylactic use of trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole versus netilmycin-metronidazole in transrectal prostatic biopsy. *J Urol*, 1991. 146: 794.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1908529>
430. Melekos, M.D. Efficacy of prophylactic antimicrobial regimens in preventing infectious complications after transrectal biopsy of the prostate. *International Urology and Nephrology*, 1990. 22: 257.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2210982>
431. Meyer, W.H., *et al.* Transrectal prostatic biopsy: The incidence of fever and sepsis after treatment with antibiotics. *Aktuelle Urol*, 1987. 18: 22. [No abstract available].
432. Ferreira, U., *et al.* A comparative study of the local and systemic use of sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim in the transrectal biopsy of the prostate. *Arch Esp Urol*, 1985. 38: 301.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3933438>

433. Thompson, P.M., *et al.* The problem of infection after prostatic biopsy: The case for the transperineal approach. *Br J Urol*, 1982. 54: 736.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7150932>
434. Sharpe, J.R., *et al.* Urinary tract infection after transrectal needle biopsy of the prostate. *J Urol*, 1982. 127: 255.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7062377>
435. Crawford, E.D., *et al.* Prevention of urinary tract infection and sepsis following transrectal prostatic biopsy. *J Urol* 1982. 127: 449.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6895918>
436. Brown, R.W., *et al.* Bacteremia and bacteriuria after transrectal prostatic biopsy. *Urology* 1981. 18, 145.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7269016>
437. Ruebush, I.T.K., *et al.* A double-blind study of trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole prophylaxis in patients having transrectal needle biopsy of the prostate. *J Urol*, 1979. 122: 492.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/384025>
438. Cam, K., *et al.* Prospective assessment of the efficacy of single dose versus traditional 3-day antimicrobial prophylaxis in 12-core transrectal prostate biopsy. *International J Urol*, 1001. 15: 997.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18721198>
439. Cantiello, F., *et al.* Pelvic plexus block is more effective than periprostatic nerve block for pain control during office transrectal ultrasound guided prostate biopsy: A single center, prospective, randomized, double arm study. *J Urol*, 2012. 188: 417.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22704121>
440. Zani, E.L., *et al.* Antibiotic prophylaxis for transrectal prostate biopsy. *Cochrane database of systematic reviews*, 2011. 5.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21563156>
441. Walker, J.T., *et al.* Reducing Infectious Complications Following Transrectal Ultrasound-guided Prostate Biopsy: A Systematic Review. *Rev Urol*, 2016. 18: 73.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27601966>

5. CONFLICT OF INTEREST

All members of the EAU Urological Infections Guidelines Panel have provided disclosure statements on all relationships that they have that might be perceived to be a potential source of a conflict of interest. This information is publically accessible through the EAU website: <http://www.uroweb.org/guidelines/>. These Guidelines were developed with the financial support of the EAU. No external sources of funding and support have been involved. The EAU is a non-profit organisation, and funding is limited to administrative assistance, travel and meeting expenses. No honoraria or other reimbursements have been provided.

6. CITATION INFORMATION

The format in which to cite the EAU Guidelines will vary depending on the style guide of the journal in which the citation appears. Accordingly, the number of authors or whether, for instance, to include the publisher, location, or an ISBN number may vary.

The compilation of the complete Guidelines should be referenced as:

EAU Guidelines. Edn. presented at the EAU Annual Congress Copenhagen 2018. ISBN 978-94-92671-01-1.

If a publisher and/or location is required, include:

EAU Guidelines Office, Arnhem, The Netherlands. <http://uroweb.org/guidelines/compilations-of-all-guidelines/>

References to individual guidelines should be structured in the following way:

Contributors' names. Title of resource. Publication type. ISBN. Publisher and publisher location, year.